With formidable teratogenic effects, Zika virus (ZIKV) has gained global attention since the first American outbreak in Brazil. Vertical transmission of ZIKV during pregnancy can cause a myriad of malformations exemplified by microcephaly. Ocular abnormalities, including microphthalmia, as well as chorioretinal atrophy and gross pigmentation, have been identified in 34% to 55% of infants with microcephaly.2,3 Eye signs and symptoms in adult ZIKV infection have also been reported, with viral RNAs detected in both serum and aqueous humor of the patient.4 ZIKV infection in the eye can affect any part of the uveal tract (iris, ciliary body, retina, and choroid), while most clinical cases have defects in the posterior segment.5–7 There was a case report of severe vision decline after ZIKV infection.4 Beyond the clinical findings, mechanisms of ZIKV pathogenesis in the eye are unclear. Long-term visual prognosis, as well as the social and economic impact, in affected infants remains largely unknown.8,9

ZIKV is a member of the genus *Flavivirus*, which includes the human pathogens dengue and West Nile viruses that are known to cause various ocular diseases in humans.10–12 The type I interferon (IFN) response is a major defense mechanism against most flaviviruses. A129 mice, which are deficient in IFN receptors α and β (*Ifnar−/−*), have been commonly used as a mouse model to study ZIKV infection.13,14 A recent study by Miner et al.15 reported that ZIKV-infected A129 mice developed eye inflammation with retinal enrichment of viral RNA. However, the ocular cell tropism of ZIKV was not fully elaborated and the study did not monitor long-term damage to retinal structure.15

In this current study, we examined eyes of A129 mice infected by two strains of ZIKV. Our results showed that infected animals developed retinal viral infiltration, posterior uveitis, and damages to the photoreceptor neurons and retinal ganglion cells (RGCs). ZIKV preferentially infected retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) and Müller cells, impaired their neurotrophic functions, and elicited retinal inflammatory responses. Eyes infected by the Mex1-7 strain of ZIKV developed long-term pathology such as focal loss of RGCs and optic nerve axonal neuropathy. Our work identified specific retinal cell targets and described the detailed pathologic process of ZIKV eye infection. This knowledge is critical for understanding the mechanisms of ZIKV-induced eye diseases and for developing effective treatments.

**METHODS**

**Animals**

Animal protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the University of Texas.
Medical Branch (UTMB). All procedures were conducted in accordance with the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research. A129 mice were obtained from a colony at UTMB and were maintained under pathogen-free caging and reared until the desired age. Wild-type C57BL/6J mice were initially purchased from Jackson Lab (Bar Harbor, ME, USA). Mice were inoculated with 100 μL ZIKV diluted to 1 × 10^6 plaque-forming units (PFU)/mL in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) via the intraperitoneal route.13 Daily checkups were performed on mice to monitor for signs of illness and morbidity. All mice meeting moribund criteria (tremors, paralysis, severe lethargy, and/or >20% weight loss) were euthanized.13 At the time of necropsy, mice were euthanized by CO2 asphyxiation and exsanguinated by intracardiac puncture. One eye was harvested for viral titration and the other was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for at least 24 hours prior to histology.

**Viruses**

The ZIKV Mex1-7 strain (ZIKV<sup>MEX</sup>) was isolated from a pool of *Aedes* mosquitoes from Mexico in 2015<sup>10</sup> and passed three times in Vero cells prior to use. The FS13025 strain of ZIKV (ZIKV<sup>FS5</sup>) was obtained from the World Reference Collection for Emerging Viruses and Arboviruses (WRC-EVA) cultivated at UTMB. ZIKV<sup>FS5</sup> was passaged in the following cell lines prior to use: 1× AP-1, 1× G6/36, and 5× Vero 2.

**Cell Culture**

Primary cultures of mouse retinal Müller cells were established from neonatal mice (postnatal day [P]3–P5), following established methods with modifications.17–20 Briefly, retina tissues were dislodged into single-cell suspension after collagenase digestion, filtered through 40-μm nylon strainer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and collected by centrifugation. Cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM)/F12 containing 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO, USA), 20% Eagle's medium (DMEM)/F12 containing 20% fetal bovine serum and N1 supplements (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.). Before seeding, the plates and wells were coated with collagen (STEMCELL Technologies, Vancouver, BC, Canada). Cells between passages 3 and 6 were used for experiments. Viral infection was performed in growth media at 10:1 multiplicity of infection (MOI) for 1 hour. Afterward the viral inoculation was removed and cells were replenished with fresh medium.

**Titrations of Tissues and Serum Viral Load**

Upon necropsy, one eye with the optic nerve was collected and homogenized with TissueLyser II (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). Blood from the sample animal was spun at 3000g for 5 minutes and serum was transferred to a separate tube. All titrations were performed as previously described.12,15 Briefly, Vero cell monolayers were infected with 10-fold serial dilutions of samples for 1 hour, followed by overlaying of semisolid 4% methylcellulose in DMEM. Cultures were incubated for 3 days prior to removing the overlay, washed once with PBS, and fixed with a 50:50 vol/vol mixture of methanol and acetone for 30 minutes. ZIKV-infected foci were visualized by immunohistochemistry.15 Final titers were reported as PFU/mL serum or PFU/g tissue. The average eye weight of 0.016 g was used for calculations.

**Histology and Immunofluorescence Microscopy**

Paraffin sections of posterior eyes were prepared as described previously.22 Sagittal sections of 4-μm thickness were cut from cornea to optic nerve and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). For immunofluorescence labeling, an antigen retrieval step was performed by boiling sections in 10 mM sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.2) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 20 minutes. The sources of antibodies used for the study are listed in Supplementary Table S1. Fluorescence images were acquired on a Carl Zeiss Observer Z1 microscope (Thornwood, NY, USA) equipped with Apotome and ZEN imaging software.

**Dual Fluorescent RNA In Situ Hybridization**

In situ hybridization was performed with the ViewRNA ISH tissue assay kit (Affymetrix, Cleveland, OH, USA), following the manufacturer's recommendations. Sections of 8-μm thickness were deparaffinized and digested with protease at 40°C for 15 minutes to unmask the RNA targets. Two sets of RNA probes, targeting either ZIKV polyprotein (VFI-19981-06) or GS (VB6-16850-06), were hybridized with the samples for 2 hours at 40°C. Alkaline phosphatase-conjugated detection probes and signal amplifiers were used in sequential reactions to develop signals from gene-specific probes. ZIKV polyprotein was visualized after chromogenic reaction with fast red substrate, which showed red color in bright-field and fluoresced in Cy3 channel. GS staining was visualized as blue in bright-field and fluorescent in the far red channel.

**Magnetic Activated Cell Sorting (MACS)**

Müller cells were isolated using the MACS cell separation system (Miltenyi Biotec, San Diego, CA, USA). ZIKV<sup>FS5</sup>-infected retina tissues were harvested at 6 days post infection (dpi) and digested with collagenase. The cell suspension was first labeled by FITC-conjugated anti-CD44 antibody (eBioscience, San Diego, CA, USA), followed by binding to magnetic bead-conjugated anti-FITC antibody. Tagged cells were isolated with a MidiMACS column (Miltenyi Biotec, San Diego, CA, USA). Both Müller cells and flow-through fractions were collected for downstream RT-PCR analyses.

**RNA Isolation, cDNA Synthesis, and Quantitative RT-PCR**

Total RNA was isolated with TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and treated with DNase (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) to remove residual trace of genomic DNA. Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized from 0.2 to 1 μg total RNA using random hexamer (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Gene-specific primers used for RT-PCR amplification are listed in Supplementary Table S2. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed on an ABS 7500 real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Results were presented as fold change after normalization to the expression level of β-actin.22

**Small Interfering RNA (siRNA)**

siRNA duplexes were designed and synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA, USA). Sequences of siRNA
are listed in Supplementary Table S3. Cells were transfected with 100 pmol siRNA duplexes by Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were used for ZIKV infection at MOI of 10:1. Viral RNA expression was measured by quantitative RT-PCR 24 hours thereafter.

**Western Blot Analyses**

Cells were lysed in buffer containing CelllyticM cell lysis reagent (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.) and 2× Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) at 1:1 ratio, 10 mM glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate, 10 mM pyrophosphate, 1 mM NaF, 1 mM Na3VO4, and protease inhibitor cocktails. After sonication, samples were resolved on SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad). Membranes were probed with antibodies against ZIKV envelope protein (ENV) (BioFront Technologies, Tallahassee, FL, USA), AXL, TYRO5 (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), and β-actin (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.). Signals were detected by an Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA).22

**Statistical Analyses**

All experiments were repeated at least three times. Data were analyzed with the GraphPad Software (La Jolla, CA, USA) and presented as mean ± SEM. The significance of differences between two groups was evaluated using a Student’s *t* test. For multiple comparisons, 1-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison tests was used. Differences were considered statistically significant when the *P* value was less than 0.05.

**RESULTS**

**ZIKV Retinopathy in Young A129 Mice**

We employed two strains of ZIKV to study the mechanism of viral pathogenesis in the eye. ZIKV<sup>FSS</sup> is a member of the Asian lineage and shares >98% nucleotide sequence identity with all American strains.24 ZIKV<sup>MEX</sup> was isolated from a pool of *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes from the first outbreak in Mexico in 2015.16 When A129 mice were infected by ZIKV<sup>FSS</sup> at the time of weaning (3 weeks), viral ENV was detected at 4 dpi around the blood–ocular barrier sites in the ciliary body, major retinal vessels, and underneath the RPE (Fig. 1A). At 6 dpi, ZIKV<sup>FSS</sup> broke through the barrier and spread to focal areas of the retina, and ENV was detected in the nerve fiber layer, inner nuclei, inner plexiform, and the RPE (Figs. 1B, 2G, Supplementary Fig. S1B). Infectious viral particles were found in the eyes of ZIKV<sup>FSS</sup>-infected mice on day 4 but waned to nearly undetectable levels by day 6 (Fig. 1C). Most infected animals in this group were euthanized after 6 dpi due to excessive weight loss and/or neurologic signs of illness such as tremors, paralysis, or severe lethargy.13

Compared to ZIKV<sup>FSS</sup>, the contemporary ZIKV<sup>MEX</sup> strain caused relatively moderate systemic signs and lower viremia. However, high levels of infectious particles (~3.6 × 10<sup>6</sup> PFU) persisted in the eye tissue 1 week after the clearance of viremia, suggesting prolonged replication within an immunologically privileged site (Fig. 1C). At 9 dpi, retinal viral antigen staining of ZIKV<sup>MEX</sup> was comparable to that in 6-dpi ZIKV<sup>FSS</sup>-infected eyes (Figs. 1D, Supplementary Fig. S1D). Viral infiltration progressed dramatically with time, and ZIKV<sup>MEX</sup> infected the entire thickness of the neural retina by 17 dpi (Fig. 1D, Supplementary Fig. S1E). Only young A129 mice were susceptible to ZIKV. When infection was performed in 11-week-old A129 mice, no viral staining was found in the retina (Supplementary Fig. S1C).

We next infected newborn wild-type mice 5 to 14 days after birth, and measured the presence of ZIKV ENV in the retina at 6 and 9 dpi. Viral ENV staining was detected in the retina only at 6 dpi (Fig. 1E). The infectivity rapidly decreased with postnatal age. Retinas from 5-day-old mice showed diffuse staining patterns of viral ENV protein, but only small numbers of cells were infected in the retina of 7-day-old mice (Fig. 1E). Thus, ZIKV infection of the retina was confirmed in both A129 and immunocompetent mice. However, given the constraints of developing a model based upon 5-day-old mice, we chose to continue the mechanism and pathology studies with young A129 mice.

**Müller Cells and RPE as ZIKV Host Cells in the Retina**

After confirming the presence of ZIKV in the retinal tissue, we next examined the viral tropism in major retinal cells. The branching staining pattern of ZIKV in the inner retina first prompted us to focus on the astrocytes and Müller cells (Fig. 2A). On immunostaining of retinal sections, both ZIKV<sup>FSS</sup> and ZIKV<sup>MEX</sup> ENV showed colocalization with glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), a marker protein of astrocytes and activated Müller cells (Figs. 2B, 2C). This was further validated with costaining of GS, a Müller cell–specific marker (Fig. 2D).21 Müller gliosis was preceded by the appearance of ZIKV<sup>MEX</sup> ENV protein (Fig. 2C). ENV staining was also detected in the RPE (Fig. 2G), consistent with the previously reported focal RPE change in both congenital and acquired cases of human eye infection.7,25 Ionized calcium binding adapter molecule 1 (Iba1)-positive retinal microglia/macrophages increased with time, but did not show costaining of viral protein (Fig. 2E). Occasional ganglion cell infection was identified, but the photoreceptor neurons were not infected (Fig. 2F).

The presence of viral RNA in the infected cells was measured by in situ hybridization, using probe specific for ZIKV RNA.15 Müller cells were labeled in the same reaction with a probe specific for GS gene. Consistent with the data from immunostaining, ZIKV RNA was detected in Müller cells that expressed mRNA for GS in A129 mice infected with the ZIKV<sup>MEX</sup> (Fig. 3A). Next we measured viral RNA expression in retinal Müller cells purified from ZIKV<sup>FSS</sup>-infected mice, with MACS and anti-CD44 antibody.26,27 The enrichment of Müller cells was validated by their expression of GS (Fig. 3B). GS cells was expressed in Müller cells infected with either ZIKV<sup>FSS</sup> or ZIKV<sup>MEX</sup>, indicating that RPE cells were highly permissive to productive infection. Of note, there was no significant time-dependent increase of viral titer in the supernates of ZIKV-inoculated Müller cells.

In addition to the in vivo experiments, we used cultured RPE and Müller cells for in vitro ZIKV infection. Infected RPE and Müller cells expressed ENV and NS1 proteins, as shown by immunostaining (Figs. 4A, 4B, Supplementary Figs. S2A, S2B) and Western blot analyses (Fig. 4C). The presence of replication-competent ZIKV in culture supernatant fractions of infected cells was further determined by a standard focus forming assay in vero cells.13 As shown in Figure 4D, viral titers had a time-dependent increase in supernates from RPE cells infected with either ZIKV<sup>FSS</sup> or ZIKV<sup>MEX</sup>, indicating that RPE cells were highly permissive to productive infection. Of note, there was no significant time-dependent increase of viral titer in the supernates of ZIKV-inoculated Müller cells.

Previous studies reported that entry of ZIKV into cells was dependent on cell surface receptors including CD209/DC-SIGN, TIM1, TYRO5, and AXL.28 Both RPE and Müller cells
expressed TYRO3 and AXL (Figs. 4C, 4E). While TIM1 is considered as an entry coreceptor for ZIKV,29 we did not detect TIM1 transcripts in examined tissues (Fig. 4E), indicating low, if any, expression in the posterior eye. There was weak expression of CD209, a C-type lectin receptor, in RPE tissues (Fig. 4E). Cultured retinal microglia, however, did not express the TAM family receptors (Fig. 4E), which is consistent with their lack of ZIKV infection in vivo (Fig. 2E). Knockdown of both TYRO3 and AXL by siRNA suppressed the amount of viral RNA in cultured Müller or RPE cells infected by ZIKV (Fig. 4F). The average efficiency of gene knockdown by siRNA was approximately 40%. Altogether, these data support-
FIGURE 2. Cell type specificity of ZIKV infection in the retina. (A) Retinal structure outlined by a schematic illustration. AS, astrocyte; RGC, retinal ganglion cell; M, Müller cell; MG, microglia; BP, bipolar cell; PR, photoreceptors. (B–F) Dual immunostaining of ZIKV ENV with cell type–specific markers, including GFAP for astrocytes and activated Müller cells (B, C), GS for total Müller cells (D), IBA1 for microglia (E), and RBPMS for ganglion cells (F). (G) ENV staining in the RPE. Quantification of infiltrated microglia was performed at different time points after infection. Each time point represents at least three infected animals. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01. 1-way ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test. Scale bars: 50 μm.
FIGURE 3. ZIKV RNA in retinal Müller cells. (A) Dual staining of ZIKV polyprotein (red) and GS (blue) RNA by in situ hybridization, in retina of a 3-week-old A129 mice infected by ZIKV<sup>MEX</sup> at 17 dpi. Fluorescent images of the boxed area were enlarged to illustrate the colocalization. (B) Schematic illustration of magnetic activated cell sorting of Müller cells from ZIKV-infected retina. RT-PCR analyses of ZIKV RNA and Müller cell marker in both isolated Müller cells and flow-through fraction. RNA was isolated from A129 mice infected by ZIKV<sup>FSS</sup> at 6 dpi. Scale bars: 200 μm (A); inset = 50 μm.
ed RPE and Müller as major target cells for ZIKV infection. RPE is highly permissive to ZIKV and could be a major source of active viral production at early time points. Müller cells can be chronically infected but probably do not support productive infection.

**ZIKV-Induced Müller Cell Dysfunction and Neuronal Loss**

Müller glia have essential neural supporting functions, such as secretion of neurotrophic factors and removal of excessive...
FIGURE 5. Functional consequences of ZIKV infection of the retina. (A, B) Quantitative RT-PCR analyses of neurotrophic and proinflammatory gene expression in cultured Müller cells infected with either ZIKV£ or ZIKVMEX (n = 4). (C) H&E-stained retinal histology sections from infected A129 mice. The age of the animals was either 3 or 11 weeks at time of viral inoculation. V, vitreous cavity. Arrows: intravitreal infiltrates; open arrows: retinitis with cell infiltration; asterisks: outer nuclear layer damage; arrowheads: subretinal inflammatory cells; open arrowheads: RPE defects. (D) H&E-stained sections of the optic nerve head (ONH). Immediately neighboring sections were stained for viral ENV and IBA1+ cell infiltration. Arrowheads: necrotic lesion; arrows: mononuclear cell infiltrate; asterisk: same blood vessel on adjacent sections. (E) Representative micrograph of cleaved Caspase-3/DAPI staining in Müller cells infected with ZIKV£ or ZIKVMEX. (F) Graph showing RGC density in the central and peripheral retina of infected mice. (G) Representative micrograph of PNA/DAPI staining in Müller cells infected with ZIKV£ or ZIKVMEX. (H) Graph showing retinal vessel density in the central and peripheral retina of infected mice.
of cleaved caspase-3 staining. The boxed area at the top was enlarged to show nuclear condensation and fragmentation (arrows). Caspase-3-positive cells were quantified on six slides from each animal (n = 4 each group). (F) Immunostaining of central and peripheral retinal ganglion cells from mock- or ZIKV\textsuperscript{MEX}-infected mice at 60 dpi. Quantitative data were based on the average number of RBPMs-positive cells on 10 retinal sections (n = 3 each group). (G) Staining of cone cells by peanut agglutinin (PNA) at central and peripheral retina. (H) Focal photoreceptor degeneration. Scale bars: 100 \mu m (C, D); 50 \mu m (E-H). Data were analyzed by 1-way ANOVA with Turkey post hoc test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.001.

**DISCUSSION**

In recent years, numerous clinical studies have reported retinal infection and posterior uveitis caused by emerging and re-emerging viruses,\textsuperscript{52} such as Ebola,\textsuperscript{53,54} West Nile,\textsuperscript{55,56} Chikungunya,\textsuperscript{57} dengue,\textsuperscript{12} and ZIKV.\textsuperscript{6,58} Viral persistence in the ocular tissue is a potential threat of disease transmission,\textsuperscript{15,59} and can lead to long-term irreversible damages to the neural retina. ZIKV infection is congenital. However, a recent study showed that in mice with intrauterine infection, viral RNA was detectable in brain 1 week after birth, and the well-developed retina of these animals still showed progressive degeneration during the first 2 months after birth.\textsuperscript{58} A recent clinical study also reported that some of the ZIKV-infected infants showed microcephaly around 1 year after birth.\textsuperscript{69} Therefore, it is likely that, in addition to the developmental defects, neuroinflammation and degeneration in adult retina and brain will contribute to ZIKV-induced pathology and functional defects.

Data from our current study show that, before the blood-retinal barrier and host immunity are well developed,\textsuperscript{40} ZIKV may enter the retinal tissue and establish an infection of susceptible cell populations (Figs. 1–3) and eventually lead to irreversible retinal injury (Fig. 5). ZIKV preferentially infects RPE and Müller cells (Figs. 2, 4), which are key supporting cells for neuronal survival, function, and injury repair in the retina.\textsuperscript{50} Müller cell ablation causes neuronal and vascular pathologic effects resembling ocular features of congenital ZIKV eye disease.\textsuperscript{31} Viral RNA was detected in Müller cells by in situ hybridization and RT-PCR (Fig. 3), suggesting that the presence of ZIKV ENV was not due to engulfing neighboring infected cell debris.

Organs with immune privilege, such as brain, testis, placenta, and the posterior eye, tend to have higher viral loads and are more prone to ZIKV-induced tissue damage in A129 mice.\textsuperscript{62} Initial viral entry appeared through both the RPE (Fig. 2G) and the pigmented ciliary epithelium (Fig. 1A); viral spread may involve the breakdown of the blood-retinal barrier or blood-aqueous barrier at either site. The eyes of 11-week-old A129 mice were not infected by ZIKV (Supplementary Fig. S1C), suggesting that the ocular barrier exerted protection in adult mice. On the other hand, the IFN responses in mice are much more robust than those in primates, due to the differences in viral protease-mediated cleavage of STING, a viral RNA sensor that initiates the IFN responses.\textsuperscript{42} Future studies will be needed to further define whether similar mechanisms of ZIKV pathogenesis are applicable to humans or nonhuman primates.

Previously ZIKV had not been considered as a major health threat, and infection in adults usually caused moderate clinical symptoms and signs, like Zika fever and skin rash, which are self-resolved. In the current ZIKV outbreak in the Americas, however, infected newborns have developed severe neurologic complications, in particular microcephaly, and a wide spectrum of ocular abnormalities.\textsuperscript{2,3} The route of vertical transmission and the time of infection that occurred during early stages of gestation and fetal development were determining factors of the clinical outcomes. On the other hand, it is also possible that ZIKV gained pathogenicity through mutations in its genome as it spread to the Americas.\textsuperscript{2,3} The latter is supported by our findings that the more contemporary ZIKV\textsuperscript{MEX} strain displayed distinct properties in infected mice.

While viremia and tissue viral load of ZIKV\textsuperscript{FSS} were transient, ZIKV\textsuperscript{MEX} proteins and RNA were detected in the retina long after viremia had subsided (Fig. 1C). The persistent infection caused Müller glialosis (Figs. 2B, 2C) and structural damage to the RGCs and optic nerve axons (Fig. 5). In contrast, retinal pathology was not observed in ZIKV\textsuperscript{FSS}-infected eyes (Fig. 5C) and was not reported in the recently published study by Miner and colleagues.\textsuperscript{13} Strain differences were also reported by a recent study that compared differential gene expression in cultured neural progenitor cells infected by either ZIKV\textsuperscript{FSS} or an African strain MR766.\textsuperscript{63} The underlying molecular mechanisms of strain-specific differences in pathologic effects, as well as the functional consequences of viral infection in specialized neuronal tissues, will be further
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explore d in future studies. It is also possible that ZIKVMEX is a unique isolate among strains in the Americas. If so, genetic differences in the virus will provide insightful details as to the progression of long-term ocular ZIKV sequelae.

In summary, our studies have shown that ZIKV can infect the retina in both immunodeficient and immunocompetent mice. Infection with the ZIKVMEX strain caused structural damage to the neuronal tissues in the retina that likely has long-term effects on visual function. Müller and RPE cells are the main host cells of ZIKV in the retina. A better understanding of the mechanisms of viral pathogenesis in susceptible retinal cells, and further characterization of the strain differences, will benefit the development of novel target-based therapy against ZIKV.
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