Interface Bonding With Corneal Crosslinking (CXL) After LASIK Ex Vivo
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PURPOSE. Interface bonding with corneal crosslinking (CXL) after LASIK using two different photosensitizers was studied ex vivo.

METHODS. A LASIK flap was created in enucleated rabbit eyes using a femtosecond laser. After the dissection, CXL was performed to seal the interface. In one group interface CXL was performed using rose bengal and green light, whereas in a second group riboflavin and UV-A light was used. In both groups irradiance, radiant exposure, dye concentration, and imbibition time was varied. In a control group, LASIK only was performed. After the procedures, the maximal shear-force required to separate the flap from the stroma was measured. Additionally, corneal transmission spectra were recorded.

RESULTS. Optimized parameters for rose bengal/green-light bonding lead to a 2.1-fold increase in shear-force compared with untreated control eyes ($P < 0.01$). The optimal parameter combination was: irradiance of 180 mW/cm² for 14 minutes (total radiant exposure 150 J/cm²), rose bengal concentration 0.1%, and an imbibition time of 2 minutes. Optimized riboflavin/UV-A light parameters were 0.5% for 2 minutes with a radiant exposure of 8.1 J/cm² obtained by an irradiance of 30 mW/cm² for 4.5 minutes. These optimized parameters lead to a 2-fold increase compared with untreated control eyes ($P < 0.01$). Optical transmission experiments suggest safety for more posterior structures.

CONCLUSIONS. Based on ex-vivo results, interface bonding after LASIK using crosslinking with either rose bengal or riboflavin increases the adhesion between flap and stromal bed. In vivo trials are needed to evaluate the temporal evolution of the effect.
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LASIK has been used since the early nineties to correct refractive errors.1 Due to the fast visual recovery and other advantages it has become the most frequently performed refractive surgery today.2–5 In 1998, the first case of iatrogenic keratocasia after LASIK was reported.6 In the following decade risk scores were evaluated by Randleman and coworkers5 to filter possible keratocasia candidates and avoid LASIK in such cases.

Parallel to the evolution of LASIK, a new technique was introduced experimentally in 1996 to stiffen corneal tissue by inducing crosslinks within the extracellular matrix (Seiler T, et al. IOVS 1996;37:ARVO Abstract 4671).6,7 After more than 10 years of clinical experience, it became evident that this procedure, called corneal crosslinking (CXL), is able to prevent the progression of keratocasia8,9 with a low rate of complications.10

During the past 3 years, combinations of these two techniques have been investigated for the prevention of post-LASIK ectasia and terms like LASIK Xtra11 or SCXL12 were coined. However, treatment parameters used were not based on experimental data and efficacy could not be demonstrated because of the low prevalence of iatrogenic keratocasia. Also, a variety of CXL-parameter combinations are already used clinically. One study12 reported two cases after SCXL needing retreatment, however, the flap could not be relifted at 1 year after surgery indicating a strong bonding effect between flap and stroma.

This bonding effect serves as motivation for an experimental study to test the hypotheses that an immediate bonding is produced between LASIK flap and stroma by CXL and that optimized parameter conditions using either riboflavin or rose bengal can be identified.13 The identification of these optimized parameters is also necessary for a subsequent in vivo study to investigate the bonding effect over time.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cornea Preparation and Flap Dissection

Adult New Zealand White fresh frozen rabbit eyes (Pel-Freez Biologicals, Roger, AR, USA) were thawed in air. The epithelium was removed using a blunt hockey knife in order to standardize the starting condition. Corneo-scleral disks were excised and placed in a 15% dextran wt/wt aqueous solution (Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO, USA) for up to 60 minutes until physiological hydration was obtained, determined by the central corneal thickness of 350 ± 30 μm.14 Central corneal pachymetry was measured by an ultrasound pachymeter (SP-
Tomey, Nagoya, Japan) to ensure the steady state of corneal hydration (<20 μm change in 3 consecutive measurements 5 minutes apart). The LASIK flaps were created using the Femto LDV model Z6 (Ziemer Ophthalmic Systems, Port, Switzerland). For the femtosecond laser dissection, corneas were mounted into an artificial anterior chamber (Ziemer Ophthalmic Systems) with an IOP equivalent of 20 mm Hg with a solution of 15% dextran. The handheld laser delivery head was attached onto the artificial anterior chamber. After contact between the laser head and the corneal surface was reached, the flap dissection procedure was performed. The flap dimensions were: diameter of 9.0 mm, a thickness of 90 μm, and a hinge height of 0.3 mm resulting in a width of 3.2 mm. The side cut was performed with 90° to the applanation.

The femtosecond laser parameters were set for the stroma to an energy level of 100% and a velocity of 10 mm/s, for the side-cut the energy level was set to 130%.

**Photobonding of the Flap With Rose Bengal and Green Light**

After lifting the flap, rose bengal (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.) was applied onto the stroma bed for 60 or 120 seconds. After the imbition, the stroma was wiped dry using a sponge (K-Sponge II; Katena Products, Denville, NJ, USA) and the flap was repositioned. Green light obtained from a high-power light-emitting diode (LED; Thor Labs, Newton, NJ, USA) was focused onto the cornea using a self-built light delivery device (Fig. 1) with an irradiance of 180 mW/cm². The LED spectrum peaked between 530 and 550 nm. The spatial profile generated on the cornea had a top hat shape with a radiant intensity of around 60% at 3.5-mm eccentricity compared with the center of the profile.

The following crosslinking parameters for rose bengal were selected according to previous experiments: concentrations of 0.02%, 0.1%, and 0.5% wt/wt in PBS, radiant exposures of 50, 100, and 150 J/cm², and imbition time of 1 or 2 minutes. We considered a 25% difference in the means between results of treatments to be significant. A standard deviation of 20% was used (based on previous similar measurements), P < 0.05 and 80% power. According to the calculated sample size and the selected combinations of treatment parameters a total of 77 corneas, including the control group, were used. Throughout the entire crosslinking procedure, the cornea was misted with PBS every 180 seconds to prevent corneal drying.

**Photobonding of the Flap With Riboflavin and UV-A Light**

Similar to the rose bengal experiments, the stromal bed was imbied with riboflavin-5-monophosphate (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.) in PBS. UV-A light was generated by the Food and Drug Administration–approved KXL-System (Avedro, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), which uses narrow band UV diodes emitting at 365 ± 5 nm. The spatial profile generated on the cornea had a Gaussian shape with a radiant intensity of around 60% at 3.5-mm eccentricity compared with the center of the profile. The crosslinking parameters were based on clinical proposals published: riboflavin concentration (0.02%, 0.1%, and 0.5% wt/wt in PBS), radiant exposure (2.7, 5.4, and 8.1 J/cm²), irradiance (9, 18, and 30 mW/cm²), and imbition time (1 or 2 minutes). We considered a 25% difference in the means between results of treatments to be significant. A standard deviation of 20% was used (based on previous similar measurements), P < 0.05 and 80% power. According to the calculated sample size and the selected combinations of treatment parameters a total of 84 corneas, including the control group, were used. Throughout the entire crosslinking procedure, the cornea surface was misted with PBS every 180 seconds to prevent corneal drying.
only (no dye), and then exposed to either green light or UV-A irradiation.

**Detection of Adhesion**

For measurement of the adhesion between the stroma and the flap a 7-mm central disk was punched out of the corneal sample (Donor Cornea Punch; Katena Products, Denville, NJ, USA). Prior to the shear measurement, central corneal thickness was again measured. Specimens with a difference of more than 30 μm compared with the thickness prior to the LASIK flap creation were discarded. The remaining disks were then mounted between modified jaws of a commercially available tensiometer (eXpert 4000; Admet, Norwood, MA, USA). The setup is depicted in Figure 2. The posterior stromal layer of each sample was mounted onto a needle cushion. The needle cushion consisted of 30-G needle tips glued into perforated plastic, shown in Figure 2A. It was mounted on the jaw of the tensiometer that is connected to the load cell. The other jaw, connected to the motor, was attached to the flap side of the corneal sample. To guarantee similar conditions for each measurement, a 100-g weight was placed on top of setup (Fig. 2B). After mounting, the jaw connected to the motor was pulled with a speed of 10 mm/min. The loadcell connected to the left jaw detected the force [mN] each 10 ms during the measurement cycle. After the measurement, the specimen was visually inspected under a microscope to assure a proper separation of flap and stroma. The data acquired were exported and evaluated using MS Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA). Maximal forces needed to separate flaps from stroma in different groups were compared using ANOVA. The problem of multiple comparisons was considered using the least significant difference (LSD) correction (Winstat, R. Finch, Germany). Statistical significance was accepted if $P < 0.05$.

**Optical Transmission Measurements of Corneal Tissue**

To estimate the safety for more posterior structures light transmission measurements were carried out. Transmissions were investigated using a Cary 300 Scan UV-Visible spectrophotometer with an integrating sphere (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) for all relevant groups obtained from the adhesion experiments ($n = 3$). First, a baseline value was recorded using the 1-mm thick quartz glass sample holder. Then a baseline-corrected transmission spectrum of the entire cornea was acquired and evaluated using MS Excel.

**RESULTS**

**Adhesion Between Stroma and Flap**

Figure 3 depicts two typical shear force-displacement curves: in crosslinked samples (red line) phase A shows a nonlinear increase in force until a maximum is reached and separation of flap and stroma begins. After reaching the maximal shear force the flap begins to separate, labeled as phase B in Figure 3. When separation is completed, the sample exhibits dynamic friction (phase C). In contrast, in nonbonded controls (blue line) a different behavior is observed with a gradual increase in shear force with a maximum leading to a smooth transition into dynamic friction.

The maximal shear force needed to separate the flap from the stroma for all the groups is shown in Figures 4 and 5. Either with rose bengal or with riboflavin, the optimized parameters lead to an enhancement in maximum shear-force by a factor of approximately 2 compared with the control group.

For riboflavin, all flap-bonded groups show an adhesion significantly stronger than the control group ($P < 0.05$), except for 0.02% riboflavin ($P = 0.53$). The imbibition time, 1 versus 2 minutes, did not significantly influence adhesion (Fig. 4A). Increasing the radiant exposure, using an irradiance of 9 mW/cm$^2$, lead to a significantly enhanced adhesion in the riboflavin group reaching a maximum at 8.1 J/cm$^2$ (control versus 2.7 J/cm$^2$ [$P = 0.015$], control versus 5.4 J/cm$^2$ [$P = 0.015$], 5.4 J/cm$^2$ versus 8.1 J/cm$^2$ [$P = 0.057$]; Fig. 4B). No significant difference between different irradiances (9, 18, and 30 mW/cm$^2$) was detected at a radiant exposure of 8.1 J/cm$^2$.

For rose bengal, all concentrations tested led to a significant bonding effect ($P < 0.05$) as illustrated in Figure 5. Longer imbibition time did not differ from the shorter one (Fig. 5A). A trend toward greater maximal shear force with higher radiant exposures was identified (versus control, 50 J/cm$^2$ [$P = 0.031$], 100 J/cm$^2$ [$P = 0.007$], 150 J/cm$^2$ [$P = 0.004$], 50 vs. 150 J/cm$^2$ [$P = 0.057$]; Fig. 5B). Using a lower irradiance of 120 mW/cm$^2$ did not result in a different bonding strength compared with 180 mW/cm$^2$.

**Optical Transmission**

The transmissions of flap-bonded corneas are illustrated in the Table. All investigated groups used an imbibition time of 2
minutes with the listed concentrations. Higher concentrations lead to a lower transmission.

**DISCUSSION**

The main findings of this study are as follows: (1) the combination of CXL and LASIK results in enhanced adhesion compared with untreated LASIK corneas, due to bonding between flap and stroma; (2) optimized parameters for rose bengal/green light achieve flap bonding equivalent to riboflavin/UV-A light flap bonding. Rose bengal 0.1% with an imbition time of 2 minutes followed by an irradiation 150 J/cm² at 180 mW/cm² was chosen, whereas for riboflavin 0.5% for 2 minutes with an irradiance of 30 mW/cm² and a radiant exposure of 8.1 J/cm² was identified to give maximum adhesion; (3) the bonding strength is not dependent on irradiance, but increases with the amount of light (radiant exposure) supplied to the interface for crosslinking; and (4) transmission experiments suggest safety for more posterior structures.

The principle of bonding collagen tissue by inducing crosslinks has been investigated previously. Photoactivated dye generates reactive species, including singlet oxygen, that initiate covalent protein–protein crosslinking by oxidizing amino acid side chains. For crosslinked corneal tissue, Hayes et al. showed by means of x-ray scattering that the crosslinks are located within the collagen fibril, on the fibril surface as well as in the interfibrillar space. Those interfibrillar crosslinks connect neighboring proteoglycans and such crosslinks may explain the newly formed connections between LASIK flap and stroma.

This is not the first approach to bond the flap to the stroma: in 2011, Mi and colleagues investigated methods to increase flap adhesion in LASIK-treated bovine corneas. Besides the application of activated stromal fibroblasts or interleukins, they also investigated the effect of riboflavin/UV-A crosslinking after LASIK. Although using a different experimental design (200 μm flap, 0.1% riboflavin application to the surface of the entire cornea, peel test instead of shear measurement) they found that adhesion increased by a factor of 2, surprisingly very similar to the increase in shear force measured here. Another study investigated the combination of fibrinogen, riboflavin, and UV-A light to bond two corneas together. Using this approach, a maximal increase in adhesion of a factor of 5 was found.

The results of our measurements of the shear-force required to separate flap and stroma confirm experimentally a previous clinical observation of increased bonding of flap and wound bed after riboflavin/UV-A CXL.

Interface bonding by CXL after LASIK was achieved by either rose bengal/green light or riboflavin/UV-A light treatment. The potential advantage of faster keratocyte recovery in rose bengal/green-light crosslinking stands in contrast to generally longer light application times and probably more intraoperative glare compared with riboflavin/UV-A CXL. The optimized parameters identified in this study for LASIK combined with riboflavin CXL suggest that the clinically used protocols are by far not optimized, and that an increase in shear-force by a factor of 2 over non-CXL treatment is possible. On the other hand, it remains unclear how much CXL is needed to compensate for the weakening induced by LASIK. Recently, it was found that human corneas are weakened by only approximately 10% following creation of a 90-μm flap. The need for optimization of the CXL-treatment after LASIK is supported by a very recent case report describing a postoperative ectasia after LASIK Xtra. The eye was treated using 0.22% riboflavin for 90 seconds and after rinsing of the interface a radiant exposure of only 2.7 J/cm² was applied. In addition, the ectasia was noticed later than 1 year after treatment.

Irradiance seems not to influence the result when using 9, 18, and 30 mW/cm² in the riboflavin groups, indicating that Bunsen-Roscoe’s law is valid for planar interface CXL. This stands in contrast to volume CXL for tissue stiffening, where Bunsen-Roscoe’s law is known to be invalid. A possible explanation might be the negligible oxygen diffusion into a depth of 100 μm during a continuous irradiation. Thus, the

![Figure 3](http://arvojournals.org/)
clinically anticipated reduced efficacy of CXL with higher irradiances and shorter treatment times due to lower oxygen availability has no impact here. In addition, shorter treatment times with higher irradiances allow less diffusion of the photosensitizer from the stroma into the flap and consequently more light is transmitted to the interface to induce more crosslinks there.

In contrast, the applied total energy (radiant exposure) is related to the bonding effect. Thus, more new bonds are created when using higher radiant exposures. The similar maximal increase with both used dyes suggests a possible upper limit of induced crosslinks between flap and stroma.

The fraction of the incident light that is transmitted through the cornea is different for rose bengal and riboflavin. In rose bengal–treated corneas both concentrations examined suggest safety for more posterior structures of the eye. We have chosen 0.1% as the optimal concentration in order to use the lowest concentration necessary. For riboflavin-treated corneas, 0.5% and 0.1% concentrations produced the same bonding strength. However, we selected 0.5% as the optimal concentration because the total corneal transmission is lower and more UV-A light can be absorbed within the cornea creating further stromal crosslinks.

A limitation of this study is the use of ex vivo rabbit eyes because only the immediate effect can be studied. Clinical studies have shown that the transition zones adjacent to the interface showed morphologic changes for several months indicating a healing response that may modulate the biomechanical effect. Consequently, further investigations in vivo must be carried out for the evaluation of safety and efficacy of
both procedures, in particular to document endothelial integrity. In such studies, the ablation of the stroma with an excimer laser should be included as well as a possible increase of the applied energy by 20% to compensate for epithelial absorption should be considered.

In summary, the results of this study quantitatively demonstrate CXL-initiated bonding of LASIK flaps. In vivo trials in rabbits are needed to evaluate a possible further enhancement of flap bonding during the healing phase as the immediate effect alone does not sufficiently explain the inability to relift the flap after a year. These future studies may also indicate whether the increased stiffening and the flap bonding may compensate for weakening of the cornea induced by LASIK.

FIGURE 5. Maximal shear force needed for separation of photobonded LASIK flap from stroma using rose bengal and green light. (A) Rose bengal concentration and imbibition times were varied. Irradiance used was 180 mW/cm$^2$ with a radiant exposure of 150 J/cm$^2$. Significant differences ($P < 0.05$) compared with the PBS control are indicated with an asterisk. (B) Radiant exposure and irradiance were varied using 0.1% rose bengal with an imbibition time of 2 minutes. Significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between an experimental group and the no irradiation control are marked with an asterisk. Double asterisks indicate significant differences versus 50 J/cm$^2$.

TABLE. Transmission Values for Rose Bengal Crosslinked Corneas Measured at 550 nm and for Riboflavin Crosslinked Corneas at 365 nm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0.1% Rose Bengal</th>
<th>0.5% Rose Bengal</th>
<th>0.1% Riboflavin</th>
<th>0.5% Riboflavin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transmission at 550 nm</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission at 365 nm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All evaluated groups had an imbibition time of 2 minutes with the listed concentrations.
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