Novel Mutations in PRPF31 Causing Retinitis Pigmentosa Identified Using Whole-Exome Sequencing
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Purpose. The purpose of this study was to investigate the disease-causing mutations for retinitis pigmentosa (RP) patients and function of mutations.

Methods. We recruited RP families and sporadic RP patients, and performed whole-exome sequencing (WES) to screen for sequence variations. Subsequently, we investigated the expression of green fluorescent protein (GFP) merged expression vectors containing PRPF31 wild type (WT) and its variants. We determined protein stability by cycloheximide (CHX) treatment.

Results. Two frameshift variants, c.547delG (p.E183fs) and c.804delG (p.L268fs), and one stopgain variant, c.1060C>T (p.R354X), in the pre-mRNA processing factor 31 gene (PRPF31) were identified in three RP families. In comparison with WT, the expressions of GFP-fused PRPF31 (GFP-PRPF31) protein with the mutation c.547delG or c.804delG in HEK293 cells were significantly reduced. However, the expression of GFP-PRPF31 containing the stopgain mutation (GFP-PRPF31sg) was increased. CHX treatment of HEK293 showed the GFP-PRPF31sg protein was more stable than GFP-PRPF31 WT. The WT protein expression was localized in the nuclei, and the mutants in both nuclei and cytoplasm. We screened for PRPF31 mutations in 131 sporadic RP patients by WES and successfully identified three novel mutations: c.G781C (p.G260R), c.A1375T (p.Q458L), and c.C1222T (p.R408W).

Conclusions. Our study revealed novel mutations of PRPF31 in RP. Our results also showed that the two mutations (c.547delG or c.804delG) affect gene expression and GFP-PRPF31sg has increased protein stability.
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Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) is a group of inherited diseases that usually start with night blindness and progress to visual field loss and even to irreversible blindness. Although RP exhibits clinical and genetic heterogeneities, the dysfunction and death of photoreceptors in retina is often a common step in the disease progression that leads to vision dysfunction. Genetically, RP is classified into autosomal dominant, autosomal recessive, and X-linked. There are also a small number of digenic and mitochondrial patients. RP patients without clear family history are usually referred to as simplex RP. More and more RP mutations that directly cause the disease are identified over the years, and in recent years with the advancement of technologies, including next-generation sequencing, more than 80 RP genes have been identified. However, there are still many RP cases in whom the disease-causing mutations have not been identified. Novel genes and mutations are still to be discovered and characterized to help our understanding of the genetic architecture and pathogenesis of RP.

As a transformative technology, exome sequencing is a strong tool in generating unbiased genetic data on a whole-genome scale. Identification and functional studies of disease-causing mutations, especially in the coding exons and splicing sites, can lead to important information on the molecular pathogenesis of the disease and help in designing effective therapies. To identify potential genetic etiology of RP we adopted whole-exome sequencing (WES) and bioinformatics to recruited patients with RP including seven affected members from three RP families and 131 sporadic RP patients. We identified novel mutations in the PRPF31 gene. We then analyzed the effects of the PRPF31 mutations on protein expression, stability and cellular localization in the HEK293 or A549 cell lines.

Materials and Methods

Samples Collection, Clinical Examination

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Joint Shantou International Eye Center (JSIEC), Shantou University and the Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shantou, Guangdong province, China, and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from every study subject before recruitment. Peripheral blood samples from three Chinese RP families, 131 sporadic RP patients, and 200 healthy control individuals were collected in JSIEC. Clinical examinations for all study subjects included visual acuity testing, visual field test, slit-lamp examination, fundus photography, optical coherence tomography (OCT),
full-field electroretinogram, and D-15 disc dichotomous color blindness testing.

**Whole-Exome Sequencing**

Genomic DNA of all subjects was extracted from whole blood using the TIANGEN Blood DNA Kit DP318 (TIANGEN, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Genomic DNA of seven affected individuals from the three RP families, and 131 sporadic RP patients were subjected to WES using commercial service provided by ANOROAD (Beijing, China). In brief, the whole exome was captured using Agilent Sure Select Human All Exon Kit V5 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The HiSeq 2500PE100 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) platform was used for paired-end sequencing with read lengths of 100 bp and average coverage depth of at least 100× for each sample.

**Mutation Analysis**

The raw data from the WES were used for mutation screening. The single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and insertion/deletions were detected by the SAMtools (http://www.htslib.org/, in the public domain) after mapping the divergent reads against the UCSC hg19 via BWA. We adopted the following filtered steps for candidate variants. We first excluded high-frequency variants (MAF >0.05) in the 1000 Genome project (ftp://ftp.1000genomes.ebi.ac.uk/vol1/ftp/, in the public domain) and ExAC (http://exac.broadinstitute.org/, in the public domain), and then excluded intergenic variants, intronic variants, and synonymous mutations. We then checked for mutations in all reported RP genes in the RetNET database (http://sph.uth.edu/retnet/, in the public domain). Remaining mutations were analyzed using the protein structure prediction programs Polyphen-2 and Sorting Intolerant From Tolerant (SiFT). Polyphen-2 uses sequence- and structure-based predictive algorithms and generates a different scale of reported scores showing the effect of identified mutation to the protein function. Through analyzing the conserved sequence in different species, the SiFT tool mainly assesses conserved amino acid positions and analyzes the effect of missense changes on the structure of proteins.

PCR and Sanger sequencing were used to confirm the identified novel variants in patients and available family members. PCR was performed in Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA, USA) PCR machines with the corresponding primers listed in Supplementary Table S1. The products of PCR were purified (OMEGA, Irving, TX, USA) and sequenced in Guangzhou IGE Biotechnology LTD (Guangzhou, China). The mutations detected were subjected to cosegregation analysis in the RP families to determine their causalities. Also, the detected mutations were excluded in 200 healthy controls by Sanger sequencing.

**Expression of PRPF31**

Images of in situ hybridization for PRPF31 transcriptional expression in mouse embryonic tissues were analyzed and selected from GenePaint (http://www.genepaint.org, in the public domain).

**Vector Construction and Site-Directed Point Mutation**

PRPF31 cDNA was kindly provided by Professor Jiahui Han (Xiamen University, Xiamen, China) and cloned into pEGFP-C3 with primers pare listed in Supplementary Table S1 and the resulting vector was named as pEGFP-C3-PRPF31 (WT). The constructed vector was sequenced to confirm the correction of PRPF31 sequence. The three mutations (c.547delG, c.804delG, and c.1060C>T) were introduced into green fluorescent protein (GFP)-fused PRPF31 (WT) by amplified whole pEGFP-C3-PRPF31 vector with primer pairs listed in Supplementary Table S1 by high fidelity of PCR reaction system (Takara, Tokyo, Japan). The resulted PCR products were then digested with DpnI at 37°C for 2 hours. The digested products were directly transformed into Escherichia coli DH5α for positive screen. The grown clones were sent to sequence to confirm the mutation.

**Cell Culture, Transfection, Cycloheximide (CHX) Treatment, and Immunoblotting**

A549 and HEK293 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% FBS (HyClone, Waltham, MA, USA) and double antibiotics (1%). Each vector construct was transiently transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. HEK293 cells transfected with GFP-PRPF31 (WT) and GFP-PRPF31 (stopgain mutant) were treated with 100 μM CHX dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide in designated time points. The level of protein was determined by immunoblotting with anti-GFP antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, UK). Briefly, cell lysis was carried out using 1×NP-40 lysis buffer, containing phosphatase inhibitor and protease inhibitors cocktail (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA). Total protein concentration was determined by Bradford assay (Bio-Rad). Samples with equal amount of protein were loaded onto SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Thermo Scientific, Cleveland, OH, USA). The GFP-PRPF31 (WT) and its mutants were specifically detected by anti-GFP primary antibody (Abcam) at 4°C overnight and their corresponding horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies. ECLPlus Western Blotting Detection Reagents (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI, USA) allowed detection of HRP activity with X-ray films. For the observation of GFP-merged protein expression using confocal laser microscopy, A549 or HEK293 cells transfected with designated GFP-PRPF31 (WT) or stopgain protein expression vectors were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO, USA); 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) was added. After incubation for 30 minutes at 37°C, the cells were washed with PBS three times (5 minutes each time) followed by microscopic and fluorescence studies under the inverted fluorescence microscope (TE2000-S; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) or confocal laser scanning microscope (SP5; Leica, Wetzlar, Germany).

**RESULTS**

**Clinical Characteristics of the RP Families**

All the three Chinese RP pedigrees followed an autosomal dominant inheritance pattern (Fig. 1). All the affected individuals had an early onset of night blindness at the age of 4 to 10 years, with subsequent loss of peripheral vision after approximately 20 years. The fundus of RP patients showed bone-spicule pigmentation (Fig. 2). Other clinical data, including visual field, OCT, and ERG, all suggested classical RP characters. Detailed clinical information of those three families is described in Supplementary Table S2. In RP-F3, an individual (IV:12) who is normal in fundus, except nyctalopia, also contains the identified stopgain variant. A group of 131
Chinese sporadic RP patients also present the RP phenotype (Supplementary Table S3).

**Mutation Analysis**

To identify potential causative mutations, we performed WES in seven members of the three RP families. A total of 70 GB of sequence data were generated and 95.5% of bases originated from the exon region, resulting in a mean coverage of 100-fold. The identified total SNPs (missense, nonsense, and splice site mutations) and insertions/deletions (short coding insertions or deletions) were 24135 for IV2, 24098 for IV5, and 24599 for VI1 in RP-F1; 23857 for II5 in RP-F2 and 25114 for V6, 24414 for IV6, 25092 for IV15 in RP-F3, respectively (Fig. 3). After the removal of common variants, synonymous single nucleotide variants (SNVs), the candidate SNPs/insertions/
deletions of known RP genes were reduced to two for RP-F1, seven for RP-F2, and one for RP-F3, respectively (Fig. 3). We further excluded the nonpathogenic variants based on SIFT/PolyPhen-2/MutationTaster analysis. Finally, we identified two for RP-F1, three for RP-F2, and one for RP-F3, respectively (Fig. 3).

After inheritance analysis, we detected several heterozygous variants that can be candidate genes for (1) family RP-F1: PRPF31 (NM_015629) c.547delG, and PROM1 (NM_001145851) c.C2288T; (2) family RP-F2: PROM1 (NM_001145851) c.C2431G, EYS (NM_001142800) c.C7679T, and PRPF31 (NM_015629) c.804delG; and (3) family

![Fundus photography of RP patients and healthy controls.](image-url)
RP3: PRPF31 (NM_015629.3) c.1060C>T (Table 1). After Sanger sequencing the individuals from the families, we found the mutations from PROM1, EYS did not cosegregate with the RP phenotype. Only variants c.547delG (p.E183fs), c.804delG (p.L268fs), and c.1060C>T (p.R354X) in PRPF31 are present in all the affected family members and absent in unaffected members. They therefore cosegregate with the disease phenotype in the three pedigrees respectively (Fig. 4). These mutations in PRPF31 were absent in 200 unrelated healthy controls.

Through analyzing PRPF31 genomic and protein structure, we found the deletion mutant c.547delG (p.E183fs), located at the seventh exon, and the c.804delG (p.L268fs), at the eighth exon, which both encode an NOP domain of PRPF31 protein (Fig. 5A). The NOP domain is responsible for the RNA binding (Fig. 5B). The stopgain mutant c.1060C>T (p.R354X), located at the 10th exon, encodes the nuclear localization sequence of PRPF31 (Figs. 5A, 5B). To determine the expression of PRPF31 in vivo, we extracted expression data from the public accessible database GenePaint (http://www.genepaint.org, in the public domain).5 The results showed PRPF31 expresses in all parts of the mouse embryo, including pretectum, with high concentration (Fig. 5C). Multiple orthologous protein sequence alignment revealed that all the three variants identified in three RP families are highly conserved from humans to fish (Fig. 5D). The prediction in silico showed the three mutations are damaging to its protein function (Table 2). The stopgain mutant located at the NOP domain, also showed very high conservation.

Therefore, we considered those mutants as novel mutations. Mutants from the sporadic RP patients, of which Q568L and R408W both are located at the C-terminus of PRPF31, and p.G261R, which is located at the NOP domain, also showed very high conservation.

**Mutations Changed the Expression, Stability, and Localization of PRPF31**

To examine whether the variants c.547delG, c.804delG, and c.1060C>T affect PRPF31 expression and localization in the cells, we constructed GFP-fused PRPF31 wild type (GFP-PRPF31) (WT). Using site-directed mutagenesis, we constructed GFP-fused PRPF31 mutant expression vectors. As shown in Figure 6A, when those constructs were transfected into HEK293 cells, the GFP-PRPF31 (WT) demonstrated high GFP expression. However, deletion mutant c.547delG of PRPF31 failed to express the GFP-fused PRPF31 protein, and the mutant c.804delG showed reduced GFP expression in comparison with the GFP-PRPF31 (WT). Interestingly, compared with the GFP-PRPF31 (WT), the GFP-PRPF31sg showed a higher level GFP expression (Fig. 6A). The expression from above constructs was further confirmed by immunoblotting (Fig. 6B). Because the transcriptions of GFP-PRPF31 (WT) or its mutants were controlled under the same promoter, transcription-mediated enhancement in their expressions was excluded. To explain the potential mechanism underlying this phenomenon that GFP-PRPF31sg has a higher level of protein than that of GFP-PRPF31 (WT), we treated HEK293 cells with 100 μM protein synthesis inhibitor CHX for designated time points (Fig. 6C). The immunoblotting was performed to detect change of protein. Our results showed the protein started to degrade at 36 hours for GFP-PRPF31sg and at 12 hours for GFP-PRPF31 (WT), after the inhibition of protein synthesis with CHX, suggesting the protein of GFP-PRPF31sg is more stable than that of WT (Fig. 6C).

To examine whether additional mutations in PRPF31 could be identified in unrelated Chinese subjects, we enrolled 131 sporadic RP patients and sequenced their genomic DNA by WES. Through the same filtering procedures, we identified another three heterozygous missense variants: c.G781C:p.G261R, c.A1373T:p.Q458L, c.C1222T:p.R408W (Supplementary Table S3), which were not shown in the in-house Chinese exome database, and they were predicted to be harmful by the three programs, as mentioned above. After Sanger sequencing for 200 unrelated ethnically matched healthy controls, we did not find all three. These variants also are absent in the database of a probably benign variation, which is consistent with the results predicted by informational analysis. Because these mutations are absent in both the existing database of disease-causing mutations and in the reported literature, we thereby considered those mutants as novel mutations. Mutants from the sporadic RP patients, of which Q568L and R408W both are located at the C-terminus of PRPF31, and p.G261R, which is located at the NOP domain, also showed very high conservation.
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TABLE 1. Identified Variants, Population Frequencies, and In Silico Predictions of Pathogenic Functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exonic Variant</th>
<th>Inheritance</th>
<th>ExAC Frequency</th>
<th>Polyphen2</th>
<th>SIFT</th>
<th>HDIV</th>
<th>LRT</th>
<th>MT</th>
<th>MA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family Gene</td>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>Amino Acid Change</td>
<td>EXAC</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>SIFT</td>
<td>hdIV</td>
<td>lrt</td>
<td>mt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRPF31</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>No Frameshift deletion</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>0.00005</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROM1</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Nonsense SNV</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EYS</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Nonsense SNV</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRPF31</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Stopgain</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AD, autosomal dominant; AR, autosomal recessive; D, damaging; ExAC, the Exome Aggregation Consortium; LRT, likelihood ratio test; M, medium damaging; MA, Mutation Assessor; MT, Mutation Taster; N, neutral; P, polymorphism; Polyphen2, Polymorphism Phenotyping v2; PS, possibly damaging; SIFT, Scale-Invariant Feature Transform; T, tolerant; U, unknown; Dot, this mutation couldn't be predicted by the designated software.

transcriptional factor, play an important role in the mRNA decay (NMD). The two identified frameshift mRNA is degraded by a mechanism called nonsense-mediated frequently result in haploinsufficiency, as their corresponding termination codons caused by frameshift mutation in PRPF31 binding of pre-mRNA16,17 (Fig. 4), cause the protein frame domain (NOP) of PRPF31, which is responsible for the mutations, locating at the small nucleolar RNA binding the molecular mechanism is largely unknown. There are several models to explain this phenomenon. First, mutations in pre-mRNA splicing genes only influence the splicing of retina-specific genes, and second, the haploinsufficiency model, which mainly focuses on the small nuclear ribonucleoprotein (snRNP) concentration. Third, there is a model called the cell type-dependent snRNP assembly disorder caused by pre-mRNA splicing gene mutation.3,13,14 The premature termination codons caused by frameshift mutation in PRPF31 frequently result in haploinsufficiency, as their corresponding mRNA is degraded by a mechanism called nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD).15 The two identified frameshift mutations, locating at the small nucleolar RNA binding domain (NOP) of PRPF31, which is responsible for the binding of pre-mRNA16,17 (Fig. 4), cause the protein frame shift at p.183 and p.268, respectively. These frameshifts result in early termination at p.195 after coding for 12 missense amino acids and p.319 for 51 amino acids, respectively. Based on the results of immunoblotting and fluorescence, we found the GFP-PRPF31 (WT) protein was expressed at a higher quantity than GFP-PRPF31 with c.547delG or c.804delIG mutation (Fig. 6). These results indicate the mRNA transcripts from those two deletion mutants might be NMD-sensitive and therefore were degraded by this mechanism. The stopgain mutation (c.1060C>T [p.R354X]), located at the 10th exon, was reported before in an autosomal dominant family.6 However, our current RP family is an incomplete penetrance family. Therefore, the penetrance rate of PRPF31 caused by this mutation might be family-dependent. Interestingly, the expression level of GFP-PRPF31sg (c.1060C>T [p.R354X]) was higher than that of GFP-PRPF31(WT). The enhancement of expression in protein is not due to the increase of transcriptional activity, but the protein stability (Fig. 6). Our current results are contradictory with the haploinsufficiency model (Fig. 6). Therefore, to further understand the potential mechanism, more investigations are required in protein function studies and pathway investigations in the tissue cells. PRPF31 localizes at nuclei through binding to the CTNNBL1 (catenin-like 1), with its NLS (RKKRGGGRYRKMKER).18 We found, in HEK293 cells, GFP-PRPF31sg localized at both nuclear and plasma independent on the cell types (Figs. 7A, 7B).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we found three variants of PRPF31, showing disease-causing capability, after sequencing seven family members from three RP families using WES. Families RP-F1 and RP-F2 show a classical autosomal dominant inheritance among generations. However, in family RP-F3, one of the mutation carriers (IV12) has no obvious RP pathologic change in retina except a history of 2-year night blindness. We therefore considered RP-F3 as an incomplete penetrance inheritance (Fig. 1). The percentage of penetrance is 100% in family 1 and family 2 and 87.5% in family 3. Previous reports have shown incomplete penetrance of PRPF31 in causing RP,7 which is consistent with our RP-F3 family. It was reported that some transcriptional factors, such as the minisatellite repeat element (MSR1),8 which is adjacent to the core promoter of PRPF31, and CNOT3 which is a cis-acting transcriptional factor,9 play an important role in the regulation of incomplete penetrance of PRPF31 via modulating its mRNA transcription.
the GFP-PRPF31 (WT) localized in the nuclei, consistent with a previous report, whereas GFP-PRPF31sg (p.R354X) is localized both in nuclei and plasma.

In addition, we identified three PRPF31 missense variants in 131 sporadic RP cases. Although these variants are absent in our controls and available databases, and predicted to be possibly damaging based on in silico prediction, it is still questionable whether these missense variants are pathogenic or not. Further studies investigating the function of the above mutants are needed to understand their roles in the pathogenesis of RP. Furthermore, copy number loss of PRPF31 was also reported to cause RP. Therefore, we here cannot exclude this possibility in explaining our results. We will investigate the copy number variations of PRPF3 in our further studies.

In summary, we have identified six variants in PRPF31, three of them from the RP families and three from the sporadic RP patients. All the variants were predicted to be damaging to protein function. Our study has expanded the known mutation spectrum of PRPF31 in RP. We also determined their protein expression and localization of three mutants from RP families.

**Figure 4.** Sanger sequencing confirmation of three PRPF31 mutations identified in our pedigrees.

**Figure 5.** PRPF31 mutant, structure, and its expression in mouse embryo. (A) Variants, genomic structure, encoded protein with designated domain and motif of PRPF31; blue square, exon. (B) Three-dimensional structural model for NOP domain and their binding with RNA. (C) Sagital in situ hybridization image in a mouse embryo at embryonic day 14.5 (GenePaint) shows PRPF31 expression in the neocortex, superior colliculus, and pretectum of the midbrain. (D) Multiple sequence alignment shows the evolutionary conservation of the indicated residues. The RP caused by PRPF31 mutations has been identified in the seven species listed. h, human; mo, *Mus musculus*; pt, *Pan troglodytes*; rn, *Rattus norvegicus*; xt, *Xenopus tropicalis*; fa, *Fopius arisanus*; dr, *Danio rerio*. 

**Figure 6.** PRPF31 mutant, structure, and its expression in mouse embryo. (A) Variants, genomic structure, encoded protein with designated domain and motif of PRPF31; blue square, exon. (B) Three-dimensional structural model for NOP domain and their binding with RNA. (C) Sagital in situ hybridization image in a mouse embryo at embryonic day 14.5 (GenePaint) shows PRPF31 expression in the neocortex, superior colliculus, and pretectum of the midbrain. (D) Multiple sequence alignment shows the evolutionary conservation of the indicated residues. The RP caused by PRPF31 mutations has been identified in the seven species listed. h, human; mo, *Mus musculus*; pt, *Pan troglodytes*; rn, *Rattus norvegicus*; xt, *Xenopus tropicalis*; fa, *Fopius arisanus*; dr, *Danio rerio*. 
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### Table 2. Putative Pathogenic Variants Identified in PRPF31 Gene From Three RP Families

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Ancestry, Age of Diagnosis, Sex</th>
<th>PRPF31 Variants</th>
<th>Protein Consequence</th>
<th>Frequency of Variant in Control and ExAC</th>
<th>Prediction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RP-F1-II2</td>
<td>Chinese, 49, male</td>
<td>C.547delG</td>
<td>p.E183fs</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Damaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-F1-II5</td>
<td>Chinese, 44, female</td>
<td>c.804delG</td>
<td>p.L268fs</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Damaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-F1-VI1</td>
<td>Chinese, 8, female</td>
<td>c.1060C&gt;G</td>
<td>p.R354X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Damaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-F3-V6</td>
<td>Chinese, 17, male</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-F3-IV6</td>
<td>Chinese, 67, female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-F3-IV15</td>
<td>Chinese, 44, female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 6.** Expression analysis of GFP-PRPF31 (WT) and its mutants. (A) Expression analysis of GFP-PRPF31 (WT) and its mutants in HEK293 cells by inverted in fluorescence microscopy. (B) Expression analysis of GFP-PRPF31 (WT) and its mutants in HEK293 cells by Western blotting (WB). (C) Protein stability analysis after CHX treatment in designated time points by WB. The targeted plasmids were transfected into HEK293 for 24 hours, and then cells were used for direct observation with inverted fluorescence microscope, or lysate with 1×NP40 lysis buffer for WB, or continued treatment with 100 µM CHX for the designated time points. Then, the CHX-treated cells were used for WB analysis to detect amount of GFP-PRPF31 and GFP-PRPF31sg protein.
in A549 and HEK293 cells and confirmed these mutations could affect its protein stability and localization.
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