Pain Sensitivity Associated With the Length of the Maximum Interblink Period
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PURPOSE. Pain sensitivity has been identified as a factor that affects how individuals answer dry eye questionnaires, but it is unknown how it affects ocular discomfort. This study used the time that individuals could refrain from blinking as an indicator of ocular discomfort and set out to determine whether it was related to pain sensitivity, while adjusting for ocular surface conditions.

METHODS. Subjects first completed the Pain Sensitivity Questionnaire to quantify pain sensitivity levels. Exposed interpalpebral area, tear meniscus height, tear-film lipid layer thickness, ocular surface cooling, and noninvasive tear breakup were assessed. Subjects were then asked to refrain from blinking until the initial onset of discomfort, which was termed “the maximum interblink period” (MIBP), while ocular surface cooling rate was simultaneously measured. Subjects were seen for four visits over a course of 2 days.

RESULTS. Forty-two subjects (36 females, 6 males) completed the study, with a mean (SD) age of 23.2 (3.8) years. A longer MIBP was associated with decreased pain sensitivity (P = 0.04), lower ocular surface cooling rate (P < 0.001), and Asian ethnicity (P = 0.005). Based on the results from the mixed-effect model, it is estimated that individuals would be able to refrain from blinking for an additional 4 seconds if they had the lowest (0.6) compared to the highest (6.1) pain sensitivity in the study cohort.

CONCLUSIONS. The Pain Sensitivity Questionnaire was associated with the MIBP length even after adjusting for ocular surface conditions, which suggests that pain sensitivity plays a role in influencing how ocular discomfort is perceived.
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An extensive body of research has been done on dry eye, which has helped identify causative factors and elucidate its pathophysiology.¹ However, one enduring question yet to be solved is why discrepancies between signs and symptoms of dry eye are often noted.² It is not uncommon for patients to report symptoms of severe dry eye but with no clinical signs or, conversely, to present with significant clinical signs but be asymptomatic.³,⁴ This has complicated the diagnosis and management of dry eye⁵ and has been a major hindrance in the development of new treatment options.⁶

A possible reason for the discrepancy may be linked with the diverse levels of pain perception, where the same injury can be reported as mild irritation by some, but as severe pain by others. The perception of pain is thought to be primarily mediated by pain sensitivity, and its importance is highlighted by studies that have found pain sensitivity to be associated with how successful a medical treatment is rated, the level of opioid use after surgery, and as an independent risk factor for developing chronic pain.⁷ A recent study by Li et al.⁹ has found that increased sensitivity to pain, measured by using the Pain Sensitivity Questionnaire (PSQ), is associated with a higher Ocular Surface Disease Index (OSDI) score and greater reports of discomfort and dryness on a 100-point visual analog scale.

Although the study has found that pain sensitivity is related to how individuals respond to questionnaires, it is unknown if pain sensitivity merely affects the historic recall of ocular discomfort on questionnaires⁹ or whether it actually influences the perception of ocular discomfort at the inception point, when noxious stimuli occur on the eye. Lacking this information, it is difficult to discern the exact role that pain sensitivity has on the relationship between signs and symptoms of dry eye. To gain greater insight, this study asked subjects to complete the PSQ and then assessed how they reacted to ocular discomfort. Ocular discomfort was induced by having subjects refrain from blinking until the initial experience of discomfort, which was termed “the maximum interblink period” (MIBP).

While having subjects refrain from blinking is not a conventional means of measuring ocular discomfort, it has been previously used in other studies and has several key advantages.¹⁰,¹¹ The first advantage is that discomfort experienced during the MIBP closely mimics the pathophysiology and noxious stimuli in evaporative dry eye.¹²,¹³ A prolonged period of blink refrainment can contribute to localized disruption of the tear-film lipid layer that may cause a nearly 10-fold increase in tear-film evaporation, similar to evaporative dry eye.¹⁴,¹⁵ In addition, the increased tear evaporation leads to greater ocular surface cooling and tear hyperosmolarity, which are considered the primary noxious stimuli associated with evaporative dry eye.¹⁴,¹⁵ The second advantage is that as subjects were instructed to blink only with the initial sensation of ocular discomfort, the method provided a real-time assessment of
ocular discomfort, with length of blink refrainment as a measure of ocular discomfort. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine if pain sensitivity was associated with how long subjects could refrain from blinking. It was hypothesized that a subject with lower pain sensitivity would be less perceptive to ocular discomfort and could refrain from blinking for a longer period of time than a subject with higher pain sensitivity. It would also be important to factor in ocular surface parameters, as they have been shown to influence the length of blink refrainment, and to consider dry eye questionnaires, as they reflect the ocular discomfort that subjects encounter in their daily lives.10,11,16

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Population**

Subjects were recruited from the University of California, Berkeley, and the surrounding community. Subjects taking systemic or ocular medication, or having a history of systemic or ocular disease or surgery, were excluded from the study. Subjects were also excluded if they had undergone refractive surgery, had a history of ocular allergies, or had an eyelid or conjunctival abnormality.

Subjects aged 18 to 39 years were eligible for the study and consisted of individuals who were of Asian or non-Asian descent. These two groups were selected on the basis of studies that have found interethnic differences in pain sensitivity17 and dry eye prevalence rate.18,19 Individuals were considered to be Asians if they were of Chinese, Taiwanese, Japanese, or Korean descent, or a mixture of these ethnicities. Individuals were considered to be non-Asians if they were of any other ethnicity (e.g., European white, Latin American, Indian, African descent). Informed consent, with a complete description of the goals, risks, benefits, and procedures of the study was obtained from all participants. This study observed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the University of California, Berkeley Committee for Protection of Human Subjects.

**Instrumentation and Measurements**

Subject pain sensitivity was measured by using the PSQ, which has been validated in normal and chronic pain populations,20,21 and in ocular surface research.2 Subject symptoms associated with dry eye and contact lens discomfort were assessed by using the OSDI, Contact Lens Dry Eye Questionnaire-8 (CLDEQ-8),22 and the University of California, Berkeley Clinical Research Center Dry Eye Flow Chart (DEFC)23 These questionnaires were included as it was thought that subject symptomology might influence MIBP length. Anterior ocular surface health was evaluated with slit lamp biomicroscopy (SL120; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc., Jena, Germany) under white light. Noninvasive tear breakup time (NIBUT) was measured with the Medmont e500 corneal topographer (Medmont International Pty Ltd., Victoria, Australia). Tear meniscus height was measured by using the Oculus Keratograph 5m (Oculus, Inc., Arlington, WA, USA). Average tear-film lipid layer thickness was assessed by using the LipiView interferometry system (TearScience, Inc., Morrisville, NC, USA).

Ocular surface temperature was measured by using an FLIR A655sc (FLIR Systems, Inc., Wilsonville, OR, USA) uncooled microbolometer infrared thermographer, which has a 640 × 480 video resolution, 15-μm pixel size, and 0.1°C thermal sensitivity. The thermographer, mounted on a tripod, was placed 8 to 10 inches from the eye, focused on the ocular surface, and aimed approximately at the geometric center of the cornea, as based on visual inspection. FLIR+ ResearchIR Software Suite (FLIR Systems, Inc., Wilsonville, OR, USA) was used by an experienced observer (WL) to specify a user-defined region of interest corresponding to the cornea in the infrared recordings. The user-defined region in each video recording encompassed 5000 to 5000 temperature measurement points (due to anatomic variation in palpebral aperture size). The points were averaged and used to determine the ocular surface temperature profile over time, with a linear approximation used to obtain the ocular surface cooling rate in deg C/s. Although this methodology has some shortcomings, especially as it relies on the investigator to delineate the region of interest, it is generally considered the standard methodology in determining the ocular surface cooling rate.24 Room temperature and humidity were measured by using a combination digital thermometer and hygrometer (General Tools & Instruments, Secaucus, NJ, USA). Room temperature and humidity were typically at 25°C and 48%, respectively.

**Study Protocol**

Seasoned contact lens wearers were administered a set of baseline questionnaires, which was composed of the PSQ, OSDI, CLDEQ-8, and the DEFC. Subjects were seen for four visits over the course of 2 days (two visits per day, consisting of a morning and an afternoon visit), providing four measurements per subject. All visits had the same set of procedures except that the afternoon visits included an assessment of corneal staining type, depth, and extent with sodium fluorescein instillation using the Cornea and Contact Lens Research Unit (CCLRU) grading scale. Subjects were asked to discontinue contact lens wear for at least 24 hours before their visits. All measurements were done on the right eye only and on an ocular surface without contact lenses. Digital photos were taken of the subject’s eye in primary gaze position, using a smartphone (iPhone 6; Apple, Cupertino, CA, USA) while the subject held a United States 1-cent coin (diameter = 1.91 cm) in the same plane as the eye to help calibrate the magnification. Digital images were then processed with Adobe Photoshop CS5.5 (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA, USA) to determine the exposed interpalpebral area (conjunctiva and cornea) in square centimeter (cm²) by pixel counting.25

Anterior ocular health was assessed with slit lamp biomicroscopy under white light to ensure there was no evidence of active or preexisting ocular pathology (e.g., corneal scars, infiltrates, excessive corneal epithelial irritation). Subjects were then taken to a different examination room and acclimated to the ambient environment for a minimum of 10 minutes before testing started. Tear-film lipid layer thickness was measured first and then tear meniscus height was measured, with the investigator (WL) marking the height of the tear meniscus directly below the 6-o’clock position of the cornea. NIBUT was measured three times, with a 30-second break between each measurement and an endpoint consisting of the first visible disruption noted on the placido mires or upon a blink. Ocular surface temperature and MIBP measurements were conducted at the same time, where subjects were placed in a slit lamp head- and chinrest assembly, which minimized head movement during recordings; no slit lamp lighting was introduced during this measurement. Instructions to subjects during ocular surface temperature and MIBP measurements were scripted, so during each visit they were asked to “please close your eyes for 2 minutes and after opening your eyes, refrain from blinking until you experience the initial onset of ocular discomfort.” The tone of voice for giving directions was standardized to a neutral affect in an attempt to minimize potential influence on the MIBP (e.g., subjects may try to hold their eyes for longer if the investigator
uses a more forceful voice). The ocular surface temperature recording was later reviewed to determine the MIBP length, using time stamps on the recording.

**Statistical Methods**

The PSQ provides three numerical values: the overall pain sensitivity score (PSQ-Total), and scores for sensitivity to situations with minor (PSQ-min) and moderate (PSQ-mod) pain. Our previous study determined that the PSQ-min score most accurately reflects the influence of pain sensitivity on dry eye questionnaires. Therefore, the analysis will only focus on the PSQ-min score and will be referred to as the “PSQ score.”

Data were analyzed with R statistical package (version 3.3.2; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

A thorough exploratory and descriptive preliminary analysis was conducted by assessing bivariate plots and univariate models to examine for possible significant associations between explanatory and outcome variables, which guided how multivariate modeling was used. The statistical models factored the MIBP length as the outcome variable, with sex, ethnicity, exposed interpalpebral area, tear meniscus height, NITBUT, ocular surface cooling rate, average tear-film lipid layer thickness, and PSQ score as potential explanatory variables. Linear mixed-effects modeling was used to account for potential within-subject correlations related to repeated measurements. Upon examining residual plots, the MIBP length was natural-log transformed to better approximate normality to meet key assumptions for statistical modeling. The results were reported after back-transformation. In all tests, results with \( P \leq 0.05 \) and \( P \leq 0.10 \) were considered statistically significant and borderline significant, respectively.

Univariate linear mixed-effects modeling identified explanatory variables that were associated with the outcome variable. Significant or borderline significant explanatory variables identified in univariate modeling were then examined by using multivariate linear mixed-effects modeling. A stepwise regression procedure with consideration of F-test \( P \) values and examination of residual and other diagnostic plots was used to determine accurate multivariate regression models.

**RESULTS**

**Subject Characteristics**

Forty-two subjects completed the study (36 females, 6 males), with a mean (SD) age of 23.2 (3.8) years and a range of 18 to 34 years. Table 1 shows the clinical measurements from the study cohort. There were 22 subjects of Asian descent and 20 subjects of non-Asian descent. Table 2 shows the measurements between Asians and non-Asians, with no interethnic difference noted in PSQ score (\( P = 0.79 \)), OSDI score (\( P = 0.96 \)), DEFC score (\( P = 0.87 \)), CLDEQ-8 score (\( P = 0.52 \)), ocular surface cooling rate (\( P = 0.82 \)), NITBUT (\( P = 0.78 \)), average tear-film lipid layer thickness (\( P = 0.25 \)), tear meniscus height (\( P = 0.12 \)), and years of contact lens wear (\( P = 0.15 \)). Asians were found to have a longer MIBP (12.4 s vs. 9.2 s; \( P = 0.02 \)), less exposed interpalpebral area (2.7 cm² vs. 2.9 cm²; \( P = 0.04 \)), slightly older (24.5 years versus 21.6 years; \( P = 0.02 \)), and had greater aggregate corneal staining type (0.8 vs. 0.1; \( P = 0.01 \)), extent (0.5 vs. 0.1; \( P = 0.04 \)), and depth (0.5 vs. 0.1; \( P = 0.03 \)) as compared to non-Asians. Subjects were all contact lens wearers.

**Univariate and Multivariate Modeling**

In univariate modeling (Table 3), a longer MIBP was associated with a reduced ocular surface cooling rate (\( P < 0.001 \); Fig. 1), a lower PSQ score (\( P = 0.04 \)); Fig. 2), Asian ethnicity (\( P = 0.02 \)); Fig. 3), and male sex (\( P = 0.01 \)); it was borderline associated with a longer NITBUT (\( P = 0.06 \)) and greater aggregate corneal staining depth (\( P = 0.08 \)). No association was noted with age (\( P = 0.35 \)), years of contact lens wear (\( P = 0.34 \)), aggregate corneal staining type (\( P = 0.15 \)), aggregate corneal staining extent (\( P = 0.19 \)), tear meniscus height (\( P = 0.64 \)), average tear-film lipid layer thickness (\( P = 0.61 \)), exposed interpalpebral area (\( P = 0.67 \)), OSDI score (\( P = 0.26 \)), DEFC score (\( P = 0.72 \)), and CLDEQ-8 score (\( P = 0.60 \)). Interaction terms were considered but none were found to be significant.

In multivariate modeling (Table 4), a longer MIBP was associated with a reduced ocular surface cooling rate (\( P < 0.001 \)), a lower PSQ score (\( P = 0.04 \)), and Asian ethnicity (\( P = 0.003 \)). No association was noted with aggregate corneal staining depth (\( P = 0.72 \)), NITBUT (\( P = 0.21 \)), and sex (\( P = 0.22 \)). Based on the model, there would be an estimated 8.7-second increase in the MIBP length when comparing the lowest (0.002°C/s) to the highest (0.27°C/s) ocular surface cooling rate. In addition, there would be an estimated 3.6-second increase in the MIBP length when comparing the lowest PSQ score (0.6) to the highest PSQ score (6.1). Supplementary Video S1 shows an example of how MIBP length varied between two subjects (Subject EP: 4 s versus Subject JF: 13 s) even though they had the same ocular surface conditions, but it would be unsurprising if a weak-to-moderate correlation between measurements and dry eye symptoms is still observed. There are similar parallels to when clinical tests measuring skin conductance and cytokine levels were hailed as potential gold standards for measuring

**Discussion**

Research on ocular discomfort related to dry eye has primarily focused on understanding how conditions on the ocular surface (e.g., ocular surface cooling, tear hyperosmolarity) influence the level of discomfort experienced. Advances in technology will improve the accuracy of measuring ocular surface conditions, but it would be unsurprising if a weak-to-moderate correlation between measurements and dry eye symptoms is still observed. There are similar parallels to when clinical tests measuring skin conductance and cytokine levels were hailed as potential gold standards for measuring
pain because they objectively assess physiological markers for pain. Tellingly, these tests were never readily adopted by clinics and have been relegated to niche use.31,32 Nevertheless, although the development of new diagnostic technology is important, the results from the study argue that it may also be important to understand how intrinsic factors such as pain sensitivity, ethnicity, and sex influence the relationship between signs and symptoms of dry eye. When assessing the multivariate model, ocular surface cooling rate had the greatest estimated effect size on the MIBP length, which is not surprising as ocular surface cooling represents a noxious stimulus on the ocular surface.33 Of interest, although PSQ is unrelated to ocular surface conditions and is considered an intrinsic factor of a subject’s characteristic, it had a relatively large effect size on MIBP length, as the maximum effect size for the PSQ on MIBP length of 3.6 s represents nearly a third of the

TABLE 2. Mean, Standard Deviation, and Range for Clinical Measurements of Asian and Non-Asian Subjects, and P Values From Comparing the Two Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Asian (n = 22)</th>
<th>Non-Asian (n = 20)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 24.5 (4.5) y</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 21.6 (2.6) y</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of contact lens wear</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 8.8 (4.9)</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 7.1 (2.2)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal staining type (aggregate)</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 0.8 (1.7)</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 0.1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal staining extent (aggregate)</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 0.5 (0.9)</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 0.1 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal staining depth (aggregate)</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 0.5 (0.9)</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 0.1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSQ score</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 2.9 (1.3)</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 2.8 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSDI score</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 9.7 (9.3)</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 9.6 (8.2)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEFC score</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 2.8 (1.4)</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 2.7 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLDEQ-8 score</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 10.1 (6.1)</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 11.5 (7.1)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocular surface cooling rate</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 0.08 (0.06)°C/s</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 0.09 (0.06)°C/s</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NITBUT</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 10.6 (9.9) s</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 11.1 (9.0) s</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average tear-film lipid layer thickness</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 55 (15) nm</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 59 (18) nm</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tear meniscus height</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 0.24 (0.07) mm</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 0.27 (0.09) mm</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIBP length</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 12.4 (9.0) s</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 9.2 (8.2) s</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposed interpalpebral area</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 2.7 (0.3) cm²</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 2.9 (0.4) cm²</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The arbitrary reference groups for ethnicity and sex were Asian and female, respectively.

TABLE 3. Separate Univariate Models Showing the Association Between Natural-Log-Transformed MIBP Length and Explanatory Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Explanatory Variable</th>
<th>Intercept</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ocular surface cooling rate</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>-4.84 (per 1°C/s)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSQ score</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>-0.10 (per unit on the PSQ score)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity: Asian</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: Female</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NITBUT</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>0.01 (per s of NITBUT)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of contact lens wear</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal staining type (aggregate)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal staining extent (aggregate)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal staining depth (aggregate)</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tear meniscus height</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average tear-film lipid layer thickness</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposed interpalpebral area</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSDI score</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEFC score</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLDEQ-8 score</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
mean MIBP length of 10.8 s. This agrees with studies that have found similar trends in other areas of the body\textsuperscript{20,21} and supports our previous work in which the PSQ has been found to influence OSDI by up to 9 points and up to 31 points on a 100-point visual analog scale for comfort and dryness, respectively.\textsuperscript{9} It should be noted that Kaido et al.\textsuperscript{34} have found that greater corneal sensitivity to pain is associated with increased dry eye symptomology. Although greater corneal sensitivity to pain is a byproduct of dry eye, it is possible that baseline corneal sensitivity to pain (unaffected by contact lens wear or dry eye) is associated with an individual’s pain sensitivity, and further study is warranted on this topic.\textsuperscript{20,21,34}

In addition to pain sensitivity, ethnicity was another intrinsic factor associated with MIBP length, with Asians having a longer MIBP than non-Asians. Although ocular surface parameters and symptoms play a mediating role in when blinks occur, there was only a minor interethnic difference in corneal staining, which has been previously noted, and no differences in dry eye symptoms.\textsuperscript{10,11,16,23,35,36} Therefore, the interethnic difference in MIBP length appears to be primarily mediated by ethnicity as an intrinsic attribute.

First, it may be related to distinct differences in eyelid anatomy between the two groups, with Asians having a smaller vertical palpebral aperture, more oblique palpebral fissure, and

---

**Figure 1.** Scatter plot showing the association between ocular surface cooling (OSC) rate and natural-log-transformed maximum interblink period (lnMIBP) length ($P < 0.001$). Each subject provided a total of four data points in the scatter plot.

**Figure 2.** Scatter plot showing the association noted between the PSQ score and lnMIBP length ($P = 0.04$). Each point represents the average MIBP length (from four measurements) for each subject.
greater herniation of orbital fat in the eyelids.\textsuperscript{37} This causes a smaller surface area of the eye to be exposed to tear-film evaporation, which assuming a similar tear film, would allow Asians more time to refrain from blinking before they experience ocular discomfort.\textsuperscript{38} In this study, Asian subjects were found to have a smaller exposed interpalpebral area than non-Asian subjects; however, the difference in the exposed area was small (<10\%). More important, the exposed interpalpebral area was not found to be associated with the MIBP length in both univariate and multivariate models, possibly owing to a small range of interpalpebral area obtained in this cohort. Further studies are warranted to improve our understanding about the relationship among exposed interpalpebral area, MIBP, ocular surface cooling, and comfort.

Second, the previous reports of greater tear-film instability\textsuperscript{39,40} and corneal staining in Asians compared to non-Asians\textsuperscript{23} may suggest that Asians are continually exposed to a higher frequency and greater magnitude of noxious stimuli on the ocular surface.\textsuperscript{25,41,42} It is possible that Asians might adapt to a greater proclivity for noxious stimuli by decreasing corneal nociceptor sensitivity as a compensatory mechanism.\textsuperscript{43} Evidence to support this reasoning is seen in the study of Tran et al.,\textsuperscript{23} where dryness symptoms are associated with the severity of corneal staining in non-Asians, but not in Asians. However, owing to the relatively small sample size and makeup of the study cohort, it is impossible to make any conclusive statements on the role of ethnicity on dry eye symptoms and further research is needed. It should be noted that the subjects were all contact lens wearers; studies suggest that contact lens wear may influence corneal sensation.\textsuperscript{55} In addition, studies suggest that contact lens wearers perceive ocular discomfort and dryness differently than non-contact lens wearers, so the results found in this study may not hold true in non-contact lens wearers and further investigation is warranted for different subgroups.\textsuperscript{56,57}

Ultimately, a large cross-sectional study is needed to determine...
the exact role that pain sensitivity has on the relationship between signs and symptoms of dry eye. If pain sensitivity is found to play an important role, then it may require a paradigm shift in how dry eye is diagnosed and treated.
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