Xanthohumol Protects Morphology and Function in a Mouse Model of Retinal Degeneration
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PURPOSE. To investigate whether treatment with xanthohumol (XN), the principal prenylated chalconoid from Humulus lupulus (hops), is protective in a mouse model of light-induced retinal degeneration (LIRD).

METHODS. Mice (129S2/SvPasCrl) were intraperitoneally injected with vehicle or XN prior to toxic light exposure and every 3 days thereafter. Retinal function was assessed by electroretinograms at 1, 2, and 4 weeks following toxic light exposure. Visual acuity was tested by optokinetic tracking 1 week and 4 weeks after toxic light exposure. Retina sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin for morphologic analysis or by TUNEL. Redox potentials were assessed in retinal tissue by measuring levels of cysteine (CYS), cystine (CYSS), glutathione (GSH), and glutathione disulfide (GSSG) using HPLC with fluorescence detection.

RESULTS. Toxic light significantly suppressed retinal function and visual acuity, severely disrupted the photoreceptor cell layer, and significantly decreased the number of nuclei and increased the accumulation of TUNEL-labeled cells in the outer nuclear layer. These effects were prevented by XN treatment. Treatment with XN also maintained GSSG and CYSS redox potentials and the total CYSS pool in retinas of mice undergoing toxic light exposure.

CONCLUSIONS. XN treatment partially preserved visual acuity and retinal function in the LIRD mouse. Preservation of retinal CYSS and of GSSG and CYSS redox potentials may indicate that XN treatment induces an increased antioxidant response, but further experiments are needed to verify this potential mechanism. To our knowledge, this is the first study to report protective effects of XN in a model of retinal degeneration.
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followed the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research. Adult (3 months old) 129S2/SvPasCrl (129SV) male mice were obtained from Charles River Laboratory (Wilmington, MA, USA) and were housed under a 12:12-hour light-dark cycle (7 AM on and 7 PM off). During the light cycle, light levels measured at the bottom of mouse cages ranged from 5 to 45 lux. Mice had access to standard mouse chow (Lab Diet 5001; LabDiet, Inc., St. Louis, MO, USA) ad libitum and weighed 28 to 32 g throughout the study; XN treatment did not significantly alter weight (data not shown). Mice were euthanized with compressed CO₂ for all experiments.

**Drug Administration**

A stock solution of 141.11 µM XN (CAS: 6754-58-1; Sigma Life Science, St. Louis, MO, USA) was prepared on the first injection day of each experiment by dissolving XN in a vehicle of Cremophor (CAS: 61791-12-6; Sigma Life Science), ethanol, and normal saline in a 1:1:4 ratio.⁴ XN and vehicle solutions were made fresh at the beginning of each experiment and stored in darkness at 4°C when not directly in use. 129SV mice (n = 5 per group) received IP injections of either vehicle or XN (0.4 or 0.8 mg/kg dose; specified by experiment as reported in Results) using an injection volume of 10 lL of solution per gram of mouse body weight in accordance with in vivo rodent experiments of Yen et al.⁴ Injections were administered the day before toxic light exposure, a second time 1 hour before light exposure the following day, and every 3 days afterward for the duration of the experiment. This dosing regimen was previously established during in vivo testing of another candidate neuroprotectant.¹³ ¹⁴

**Light Damage**

Atropine eye drops (1%) (NDC: 17478-215-02; Akorn, Inc., Lake Forest, IL, USA) were administered at 1 hour and again at 30 minutes prior to toxic light exposure. For experimental light exposure, animals were individually housed in white polypropylene cages for 2 to 6 hours with food and water ad libitum while exposed to standard (50 lux) or toxic (50,000 lux) levels of light from a white light-emitting diode light source (Fancier Studio, Hayward, CA, USA).¹⁵ After this exposure, animals were returned to home cages under normal lighting conditions for the remainder of the experiment.

**Electroretinograms (ERGs)**

The complete ERG protocol was detailed previously.¹³ Briefly, mice were dark-adapted overnight. In preparation for ERGs, mice were anesthetized with IP injections of ketamine (100 mg/mL: AmTech Group, Inc., Tempe, AZ, USA) and AnaSed xylazine (20 mg/mL; Santa Cruz Animal Health, Dallas, TX, USA).¹³ Proparacaine (1%; Akorn, Inc.) and tropicamide (1%; Akorn, Inc.) eye drops were administered to reduce eye sensitivity and dilate pupils. Once anesthetized, mice were placed on a heating pad inside a Faraday cage in front of a desktop Ganzfeld stimulator (UTAS-3000; LKC Technologies, Gaithersburg, MD, USA). A DTL fiber active electrode was placed on top of each cornea. A drop of Refresh Tears (Allergan, Dublin, Ireland) was added to each eye to maintain conductivity with the electrode fibers. The reference electrode was a 1-cm needle inserted into the cheek, and the ground electrode was placed in the tail. ERGs were recorded for the scotopic condition (–3.0 to 2.1 log cd·s/m² and increasing flash stimulus intervals from 2 to 70 seconds). Mice recovered from anesthesia individually in cages placed partly on top of heated water pads. ERGs were performed 1 week after light damage and again at 2 and 4 weeks following light exposure.

**Optokinetic Tracking (OKT)**

Visual acuity as a function of spatial frequency threshold assessment of mice was measured by a trained observer/operator inducing and recording visual, reflexive, tracking behavior (OKT) of individual mice using a virtual optomotor
system (OptoMotry; Cerebral Mechanics, Inc., Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada). Briefly, a mouse was placed on a platform inside a chamber with four walls comprised of computer monitors displaying vertical dark and white lines in motion. The perceived visual environment was that of being inside a revolving cylinder of vertical stripes. The mouse moved its head left or right (depending on the direction the lines were spinning) in order to visually follow the movement of the lines in a reflexive tracking motion. The spatial frequency in cycles per degree (i.e., the thickness of the dark lines) was progressively narrowed in a staircase pattern by the trained observer/operator until the mouse no longer made detectable movements.

Figure 2. XN (0.4 mg/kg) treatment preserves mouse visual function for several weeks following toxic light exposure. Scotopic ERG a-wave (A, C, E) and b-wave (B, D, F) amplitudes from 129SV mice at 1 (top), 2 (middle), and 4 weeks (bottom) after light exposure. Mice injected with vehicle and exposed to 50,000-lux light for 6 hours (open black circles, black dashed lines) exhibited the most severe decreases in a- and b-wave amplitude, whereas mice given XN and subjected to toxic light (open red squares, red dashed lines) exhibited partial a-wave and b-wave preservation as compared to vehicle and XN-treated mice exposed to 50 lux (filled black and red squares, respectively). For each treatment group $n = 5$, $a, b P < 0.05$ versus all other groups.
head-tracking movements. The highest spatial frequency threshold at which tracking motions were still present was recorded as the visual acuity capability of the mouse. OKT assessments were conducted under photopic conditions and at 100% line contrast 1 week and 4 weeks following toxic light exposure.16,18

Terminal Deoxynucleotidyl Transferase dUTP Nick End Labeling

Independent experiments were conducted to determine the effect of XN treatment on LIRD TUNEL-positive cells using the same light damage conditions described above. Mice were injected with 0.4 mg/kg XN or vehicle once on the day before and once on the day of toxic light exposure. Mice were euthanized at 0, 6, or 24 hours following light exposure, and eyes were fixed in buffered zinc formalin (Z-fix; Anatech, Inc., Battle Creek, MI, USA) for 17 hours (n = 4 per time point). Eyes were dehydrated, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned through the sagittal plane on a microtome at 5-μm increments. Sections containing the optic nerve and center of the cornea were selected for staining to ensure that consistent regions were examined between animals. Slides were deparaffinized in Coplin jars with 100 mL of xylene for 5, 3, and 2 minutes, respectively. Slides were then rehydrated in a series of 100-mL ethanol solutions for 3 minutes each: 100%, 90%, 80%, 70%, 60%, 50%. Slides were immersed in PBS for 5 minutes each. After dehydration, a TUNEL assay was performed on the sections according to the protocol for TUNEL (DeadEnd Fluorometric TUNEL Kit; Promega, Fitchburg, WI, USA). Stained sections were imaged using fluorescent microscopy, and TUNEL-positive cells in the outer nuclear layer (ONL) were manually counted by an observer (636.5×636.5-μm fields of view located immediately adjacent to the optic nerve head for each retina using software (Adobe Photoshop Creative Suite 4; Adobe Systems, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA).13

Tissue Collection and Morphology

Mice were euthanized at designated intervals following LIRD. For experiments in which mice were treated with XN over the course of 4 weeks, retinas were collected from the left eye and flash-frozen at −80°C. Right eyes were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight and washed with PBS the following morning. Eyes were dehydrated and paraffin-embedded as described above. Eyes were then sectioned at width of 5 μm and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E).19 All sections were imaged with a bright-field microscope (Leica DM LB; Leica, Buffalo Grove, IL, USA) at 20X power.19

ONL nuclei were counted within 100-μm-wide segments spaced at 250, 750, 1250, 1750, and 2250 μm from the optic nerve head in both the dorsal and ventral directions. Mean counts of n = 4 to 5 retinas per group were plotted as a spidergram diagram.

Assessing Redox Potential and CYS Pool in Retina HPLC With Fluorescence Detection

In a separate experiment, mice injected with 0.8 mg/kg XN the day before and the morning of toxic light exposure were euthanized after 2 and 4 hours of exposure (n = 3). Retinas were collected immediately following death and were flash-frozen at −80°C. Retinas were used for mechanistic analysis via HPLC measuring reduced GSH/GSSG, CYS/CYSS concentrations. GSH, GSSG, CYS, and CYSS levels can indicate a system’s ability to mediate oxidative stress. An equal volume of 10% perchloric acid and preservation solution containing iodacetic acid (6.7 μM) and boric acid (0.1 M) with 5 μM γ-glutamyl-glutamate as an internal standard were added to the aforementioned tissues as previously described in Yeligar et al.20 A bicinchoninic acid assay (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA) was used to normalize protein levels.21 GSH, GSSG, CYS, and CYSS levels in the retina were measured by HPLC with fluorescence detection after samples were derivatized with dansyl chloride.22 The redox potentials for the GSH/GSSG

FIGURE 3. XN (0.8 mg/kg) treatment preserves mouse retinal function following toxic light exposure. Scotopic ERG a-wave (A) and b-wave (B) amplitudes measured at 2 weeks following toxic light exposure. Mice injected with vehicle and exposed to 50,000-lux light for 6 hours exhibit the greatest reduction in a- and b-wave amplitudes. Mice treated with XN and exposed to toxic light show moderate a-wave preservation, while b-waves are more preserved. For each group n = 3, P < 0.05 versus all other groups.
and CYS/CYSS thiol pairs were calculated using the Nernst equation:

\[ E_b = E_0 + \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \left( \frac{[\text{GSSG}]}{[\text{GSH}]^2} \right) \]

and

\[ E_b = E_0 + \frac{RT}{2F} \ln \left( \frac{[\text{CYSS}]}{[\text{CYS}]^2} \right) \]

for each respective thiol pair. \( E_0 \) is the standard potential for the respective redox couple, \( R \) is the gas constant, \( T \) is absolute temperature, and \( F \) is the Faraday constant. A more negative \( E_b \) indicates a lower level of oxidation of the GSH/GSSG or CYS/CYSS thiol pair.⁵

### Statistical Analyses

Gr aphs and analyses were conducted using statistical software (Prism 7; GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). One-way and 2-way repeated measures ANOVAs and Student’s t-tests were performed for ERG, OKT, HPLC, and morphometric data. For all analyses, results were considered statistically significant if \( P < 0.05 \). All graphs display data as mean ± SEM. The stated \( n \) is the number of animals used in each group.

---

**Figure 4.** XN treatment provides complete protection of spatial frequency threshold as measured by OKT at 1 and 4 weeks after light exposure. One week after light damage (A), vehicle-treated mice exposed to 50,000 lux for 6 hours (black striped bar) exhibited visual acuities that were significantly lower than mice exposed to 50 lux treated with either XN or vehicle (all dim groups). XN-treated mice exposed to 50,000-lux light for 6 hours (red striped bar), however, exhibited full preservation of visual acuity as compared to mice treated with XN or vehicle exposed to 50 lux (solid red and black bar, respectively). These data were consistent when measured at 4 weeks following toxic light exposure (B). For XN-treated mice exposed to 50 lux, \( n = 3 \); for all other treatment groups \( n = 5 \) (\( P < 0.05 \) vs. other groups).

**Figure 5.** XN (0.4 mg/kg) treatment preserves retinal cell layers 4 weeks following light damage. Paraffin-embedded retinal sections (5 µm) stained with H&E. Ganglion cell layer (GCL), inner nuclear layer (INL), and ONL are labeled. Sections are average representations of mice in each treatment group. Vehicle-treated mice exposed to 50,000 lux showed near complete loss of photoreceptor cell and ONLs. XN-treated mice exposed to 50,000 lux showed preservation of both photoreceptor cell layer and ONL.

**Figure 6.** XN (0.4 mg/kg) preserves nuclei of the retinal ONL in mice exposed to 50,000 lux. Nuclei were counted in discrete regions of retinal sections starting at 250 µm from the optic nerve head and extending every 500 µm outward along both the dorsal (positive values on abscissa) and ventral periphery (negative numbers on abscissa). Vehicle-treated mice exposed to 50,000 lux showed significant loss of nuclei close to the optic nerve head. XN-treated mice exposed to bright light do not differ significantly from vehicle-treated mice exposed to dim light. XN-treated mice exposed to 50,000 lux showed preservation of these nuclei throughout the length of the retina (\( n = 5 \) for vehicle-treated mice exposed to 50,000 lux, and \( n = 4 \) for all other groups). Means are ±SEM. Two-way ANOVA multiple comparisons test; *\( P < 0.05 \).
with XN prevented this loss of visual acuity in mice exposed to 50,000 lux, as seen in Figure 4.

**XN Treatment Preserved Retinal Morphology Following Exposure to Toxic Light**

Microscopy of H&E-stained sections of eyes harvested 4 weeks after toxic light exposure shows that exposure caused a marked degradation of morphology in the outer retina (Fig. 5). Photoreceptor cell inner segments and outer segments and most of the nuclei of the ONL were eliminated across the span of the retina (Fig. 5). Treatment with XN prevented much of this degeneration (Fig. 5). Compared to mice exposed to 50 lux, mice exposed to 50,000-lux light for 6 hours had loss of nuclei across the ONL on the sagittal plane (Fig. 6). This loss was prevented in mice treated with XN (Fig. 6).

**XN Treatment Delayed and Diminished Retinal Cell Death Following Exposure to Toxic Light**

Representative paraffin-embedded retinal sections from vehicle-treated mice euthanized at 0, 6, and 24 hours following toxic light exposure show that immediately following light exposure (0 hour time point), the number of TUNEL-positive cells in ocular sections from mice exposed to toxic light and treated with vehicle or XN were statistically indistinguishable, with an average of 30 ± 11 (SEM) cells per retina. TUNEL-positive cell numbers in vehicle-treated mice increased to 360 ± 58 cells 6 hours following exposure and further increased to 489 ± 23 cells 24 hours following exposure (Fig. 7). Treatment with XN significantly prevented this increase in TUNEL-positive cell number (P < 0.001 compared to vehicle-treated group at 6 hours and P < 0.0001 compared to vehicle-treated group at 24 hours). In XN-treated mice, at 6 hours following exposure, TUNEL-positive ONL counts increased to 173 ± 36, but at 24 hours counts increased to only 173 ± 24, indicating no additional increase between 6 and 24 hours post exposure, as was observed in the vehicle-injected condition (Fig. 7).

**Effect of XN Treatment on Retina Redox Potential and Total CYS and GSH Pools**

Retinal levels of CYS, CYSS, GSH, and GSGG were measured to gauge the capacity for retinal tissue to respond to oxidative stress–associated toxic light exposure.10,26 CYS levels were decreased in vehicle-treated mice exposed to 50,000-lux light for 2 hours compared to vehicle-treated mice exposed to 50 lux. Treatment with XN prevented this loss (Fig. 8A). Retinal CYSS redox potential was diminished in vehicle-treated mice exposed to 50,000-lux light compared to mice exposed to 50-lux light. Treatment with XN prevented this loss (Fig. 8B). Retinal GSGG redox potential was diminished in vehicle-treated mice exposed to 50,000-lux light compared to mice exposed to 50-lux light. Treatment with XN prevented this loss (Fig. 8D). The total GSH pool did not differ across treatment groups (Fig. 8C), suggesting a decoupling between the GSH/GSSG and CYS/CYSS recycling processes, as commonly found in other redox systems.10,26 including in retinal degenerative disease models and patients.9–12

**DISCUSSION**

Our data indicate that systemic injections of XN protected photoreceptor cells from death in a mouse model of LIRD, possibly by maintaining retinal redox potential and diminishing oxidative stress and damage. XN treatment preserved retinal and visual function as assessed by ERG and OKT, respectively.
Treatment prevented loss of nuclei and significantly delayed and reduced the accumulation of TUNEL-positive cells in the ONL. XN treatment also maintained retinal CYS pools and CYSS and GSSG redox potentials. The functional and morphologic protective effects are in agreement with similar outcomes from a rat stroke model in which rats undergoing cerebral ischemia following MCAO had brain function and structure maintained following systemic XN treatment.4 The current protective finding also is in agreement with studies showing that polyphenols, flavonoids, and chalconoids are protective in several light damage models of retinal degeneration.27–32

In the rat stroke model study4 and in studies of hepatocyte injury,5 it was concluded that XN treatment was protective via mechanisms that maintained redox potentials and reduced oxidative stress, inflammation, and lipid peroxidation. Similarly, and as hypothesized based on data from AMD patients and retinal culture models,9–12 we found that XN treatment prevented changes to retinal CYS, CYSS, and GSSG levels and redox potentials, but not GSH levels.

GSH/GSSG and CYS/CYSS redox potentials can provide a rational basis to distinguish general contributors of pro-oxidant events and antioxidant defenses to oxidative stress.10,22 A more negative redox potential is an indication that GSSG is more likely to be regenerated into GSH. This would indicate that the system favors the regeneration of GSH, thus increasing its radical scavenging capabilities. CYS is more reactive than GSH; oxidants will preferentially oxidize CYS so that the redox balance can be preserved by the supply of GSH located in the tissue.10 While there is no significant difference seen in GSH levels between treatment groups in our current experiments, the GSH/GSSG and CYS/CYSS recycling pathways are known to act independently of one another.10 Oxidative stress caused by toxic light exposure may alter CYS levels at a greater rate than GSH levels, as suggested by data from AMD patients and models.9–12

Based on the mechanism by which bright-light exposure is thought to induce retinal degeneration, it may be that XN protects against LIRD by reducing oxidative stress in photoreceptor cells. Upon exposure to bright light, rapid retinol recycling occurs within the photoreceptors at a level well above normal.33 Such processes create an environment that produces many oxidative free radicals.33 Prolonged exposure to these oxidative radicals can impair mitochondrial respira-

**Figure 8.** XN treatment maintains retinal CYS level and CYSS and GSSG redox potentials during toxic light exposure. (A) Mice exposed to 50,000-lux light for 2 hours and treated with vehicle showed significantly lower total retinal CYS compared to that of mice exposed to 50-lux light or mice treated with XN (*P < 0.05 vs. other groups). Here and in other panels, solid black bar represents vehicle-treated mice exposed to 50-lux light; solid red bar represents XN-treated mice exposed to 50-lux light; striped black bar represents vehicle-treated mice exposed to 50,000-lux light; striped red bar represents XN-treated mice exposed to 50,000-lux light. (B) Mice treated with vehicle and exposed to 50,000-lux light have significantly reduced CYSS redox potential compared to all other groups (P < 0.01 vs. other groups). (C) GSH levels do not significantly differ among treatment groups. (D) Redox potential of GSSG was significantly reduced in vehicle-treated mice exposed to 50,000 lux compared to all other groups (P < 0.05 vs. vehicle 50 lux and 0.8 mg/kg XN 50,000 lux; bP < 0.05 vs. vehicle 50 lux). Treatment with XN at 0.8 mg/kg restores redox potentials of GSSG and CYSS. Error bars represent the SEM and n = 3 per group for all panels.)
tion/metabolism and lead to apoptosis of photoreceptor cells, resulting in decreased retinal and visual function. While the TUNEL assay is able to label double-strand DNA breaks associated with cell death possibly resulting from oxidative stress, there is debate as to whether or not the TUNEL assay labels only apoptotic cells. It is clear that mice exposed to toxic light in this study had increased levels of cell death in their retina compared to dim-light exposed animals. Further studies would be needed to determine whether this cell death is specifically apoptotic. Regardless, a potential mechanism of action is that XN can act as an antioxidant, operating through the nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) and antioxidant response element (ARE) signaling pathways (though many other potential mechanisms are possible). This mechanism of action for XN would be similar to another study that investigated the mechanism of protection of PC12 neuronal cells with XN. Yao et al. showed that XN displayed moderate free radical scavenging capabilities but upregulated many cytoprotective genes, for example Nrf2, and their gene products such as glutathione.

XN has the potential to be used in a therapeutic clinical environment as it has the ability to cross the blood–brain barrier and thus possibly cross the blood–retina barrier. Our current data indirectly support this, since IP injections of XN had effects in the retina. However, we did not demonstrate the transport of XN into the neural retina, which awaits future pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic studies beyond the intended scope of this project. Several pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic studies in rats and humans indicate that neuroprotective plasma concentrations cannot be achieved by beer consumption. Further studies with XN are warranted to examine its potential protective capabilities as well as a deeper investigative study examining the mechanism of protection.
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