Multimodal Imaging Patterns for Development of Central Atrophy Secondary to Age-Related Macular Degeneration
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**PURPOSE.** To evaluate the development of central atrophy in eyes with age-related macular degeneration (AMD).

**METHODS.** Six-year longitudinal multimodal retinal imaging data (MODIAMD study) from 98 eyes of 98 subjects with non–late-stage AMD in the study eye at baseline were analyzed for the presence of central atrophy at each annual follow-up visit. Development, manifestation, and further progression of complete retinal pigment epithelium and outer retinal atrophy (cRORA) by multimodal imaging data were compared with atrophy detection based on color fundus photography only.

**RESULTS.** Seventeen study eyes with development of central cRORA within 6 years (cumulative rate: 17.4%) were identified based on multimodal imaging. In 10 (60%) of these eyes, presence of central manifest atrophy was initially not detectable by color fundus photography. In six (35%) eyes, central cRORA occurred by the spread of existing paracentral atrophy toward the fovea. Drusen-associated atrophy development was noted in eight eyes. In two eyes, atrophy development was associated with refractile deposits, while only pigmentary changes in absence of large drusen or refractile deposits were detectable before atrophy occurrence in one eye.

**CONCLUSIONS.** The earlier and more precise detection of central cRORA by multimodal imaging as compared to atrophy detection solely based on color fundus photography allows for more accurate detection and identification of different pathways for atrophy development. In accordance with previous clinical and histopathologic reports, the results confirm that different precursor lesions may independently proceed to central cRORA in AMD.
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Multimodal Imaging of Atrophy in AMD

Wu et al.26 Recently, the Classification of Atrophy Meetings (CAM) group defined OCT-based criteria for complete RPE and outer retinal atrophy (cRORA) as atrophy in AMD.29

The aim of the current study was to analyze the incidence and development of central atrophy in AMD eyes that were considered at high risk for development of late AMD stages. Hereby, the term “central” referred to the presence of atrophy within the central subfield of the Early Treatment in Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) grid. Three different definitions for central “atrophy” were employed: presence of atrophy according to CFP-based criteria only by using two different definitions for minimal lesion size, and thirdly, presence of cRORA according to OCT-based criteria as recently published by the CAM initiative and also confirmed by additional imaging modalities including cSLO-FAF.

The analysis was performed in eyes that had been prospectively observed over 6 years by multimodal retinal imaging.

METHODS

Study Cohort

The Molecular Diagnostics of Age-related Macular Degeneration (MODIAMD) Study (www.modiamd.de, available in the public domain) is a prospective, noninterventional, observational, monocenter longitudinal natural history study in patients at high risk for developing late-stage AMD in the study eye. Patients were recruited between November 2010 and September 2011 at the Department of Ophthalmology, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany. The study followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the local ethics committee (Ethik-Kommission der Universität Bonn Lfd-Nr: 175/10). Informed consent was obtained from each patient after explanation of the nature and possible consequences of the study. Subjects underwent annual examinations including measurement of best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) using Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) charts and extensive retinal imaging. The study is still ongoing. The details of the initial study design have been described in previous reports.30,31 Briefly, 98 patients > 50 years of age and with retinal changes classified as ARDS 3 or 4 (based on ARDS report no. 6) (i.e., having at least one eye without advanced AMD that would be considered to be at high risk for developing late stages of the disease) were enrolled.32 According to the study protocol, subjects exited the study in the case of conversion to late-stage AMD (i.e., development of either central atrophy or CNV). For the current analysis, the database was screened after completion of 6 years of follow-up (seven study visits: baseline and six follow-up visits) of the MODIAMD cohort. All study eyes (i.e., no advanced AMD at baseline) that had converted to central atrophy since baseline were identified.

Imaging Protocol

Multimodal imaging was performed at each visit according to standardized operating procedures as previously described.22,30,35 After dilation of pupils with 1.0% tropicamide, CFP were recorded using the Visucam 500 (Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany). Additionally, CFP was performed with a field of 45° × 45° and centered to the fovea.

Combined and simultaneous cSLO+SD-OCT imaging (768 × 768 pixels) was performed (Spectralis HRA-OCT, Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany). The acquisition of central 30° × 30° near-infrared reflectance (NIR, λ = 820 nm, Automatic Real Time [ART] at least 15 frames) and fundus autofluorescence with both blue-light excitation (BAF, exc. λ = 488 nm, em. λ = 500–800 nm, at least 15 frames) and green-light excitation (GAF, exc. λ = 514 nm, em. λ = 500–800 nm, at least 15 frames).

Definitions and Grading

According to the MODIAMD study protocol, development of central atrophy was originally defined using a multimodal imaging approach that aimed to consider the existing AREDS definition in combination of findings made by imaging modalities beyond CFP. For the current analysis, the definition based on multimodal imaging was adopted to the recent consensus classification system of cRORA by the CAM initiative. Further, two definitions solely based on CFP were employed for presence of central atrophy (Table 1).

Based on CFP, atrophy was defined as a sharply demarcated, usually circular zone of partial or complete depigmentation of the RPE, typically with exposure of underlying large choroidal blood vessels and with no clear or suspicious signs of active or regressed CNV.32 Two different minimal lesion size criteria were used. First, derived from the initial AREDS definition from 2001, the lesion had to be equal to or larger than circle I-1, corresponding to one-eighth disc diameter or 188 μm in diameter (CFP-I).32 Second, derived from the revised definition (as introduced in 2013), the lesion had to be at least as large as circle I-2, corresponding to one-fourth disc diameter or 450 μm in diameter, also taking into account the change in size of the standard disc area from 1500 to 1800 μm (CFP-II).34,35

Finally, based on findings by multimodal imaging, development of central atrophy was defined as the presence of cRORA. These included the following specific OCT-based criteria: (1) region of hypertransmission of at least 250 μm in diameter; (2) a zone of attenuation or disruption of the RPE of at least 250 μm in diameter; (3) evidence of overlying photoreceptor degeneration including loss of the interdigitation zone, ellipsoid zone, and external limiting membrane as well as thinning of the outer nuclear layer; and (4) absence of scrolled RPE or other signs of RPE tear. Further, a retinal area with a markedly reduced FAF signal and a minimum lesion size of 0.05 mm² as quantified by RegionFinder software (Heidelberg Engineering) had to be present. The individual lesion had to be spatially confined to either complete or incomplete depigmentation or other AMD typical changes (e.g., hyperpigmentation, crystalline deposits) on CFP, provided that any hemorrhages or exudates were absent. Further, no leakage had to be present on fluorescein angiography (FA) or any other signs of exudation by other modalities.

For each of these three definitions of atrophy, the atrophy was defined to be “central atrophy” if any part of the atrophy was within the central subfield of the ETDRS grid. Hereby, the centration of the ETDRS grid on the foveal center was verified and, if indicated, manually corrected for each modality and at each visit. To identify the foveal center, the distribution of macular pigment and the shape of the retinal vessels (assuming the foveal center to be approximately 15° temporal and approximately 0.5° inferior to the center of the optic nerve head) were used as landmarks. Further, the dense raster SD-OCT scan was reviewed to identify the center of the foveal depression with the closest contact of the outer nuclear layer to the vitreoretinal interface. For description of SD-OCT layers, the nomenclature according to the proposed lexicon for anatomic landmarks was used.36 Reticular drusen (RDR) were characterized as a group of hyporeflective dots, targets, or a ribbon pattern in cSLO NIR images and as hyporeflective mounds above the RPE in SD-OCT images.31,35

All available imaging data at each visit were carefully reviewed and evaluated for development of central atrophy.
TABLE 1. Criteria for the Three Definitions of Central Retinal Atrophy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>CFP-I</th>
<th>CFP-II</th>
<th>Multimodal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modality</td>
<td>Clinical examination and CFP</td>
<td>FAF, SD-OCT, NIR, CFP, FA*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative features*</td>
<td>• VSharply demarcated, usually circular zone of partial or complete depigmentation of the RPE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Typically with exposure of underlying large choroidal blood vessels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• No clear or suspicious signs of active or regressed choroidal neovascularization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal lesion size</td>
<td>Diameter of 188 ( \mu m )</td>
<td>Diameter of 450 ( \mu m )</td>
<td>Diameter of 250 ( \mu m )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaling</td>
<td>Disc size as reference assuming standard diameter of 1500 ( \mu m )</td>
<td>Disc size as reference assuming standard diameter of 1800 ( \mu m )</td>
<td>Scaling of Heidelberg Eye Explorer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration</td>
<td>At least one lesion (either uni- or multifocal)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Any part of the lesion within the central subfield of the ETDRS grid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All of the listed criteria need to be present.

according to each of the three definitions by two of the authors (ST and SSv). In a further session among all authors, the identified cases were reviewed, including all available imaging data, and then discussed for different patterns and precursor lesions of atrophy development.

RESULTS

A total of 17 patients (17.4%) developed central atrophy in the study eye at year 6, based on the cRORA definition by multimodal imaging. There were 15 females and nine right eyes. The mean age and BCVA at baseline of these subjects was 72.8 ± 6.8 years (mean ± SD; range, 56–89) and 77.4 ± 5.6 ETDRS letters (range, 65–85), respectively. Four of the 17 patients were categorized as AREDS 3 at baseline. Nine of these 17 patients (53%) presented RDR at baseline, while no direct spatial association of RDR and atrophy development was evident.

Of the remaining 81 of 98 patients who did not develop central cRORA in the study eye, CNV occurred in 29 (29.6%) study eyes (21 females, at baseline: age 73.03 ± 7.15 years, BCVA 76.95 ± 8.64 ETDRS letters). In 20 study eyes, there were still no signs of either central cRORA or CNV (15 females, at baseline: age 69.15 ± 6.76 years, BCVA 79.95 ± 6.92 ETDRS letters). A total of 32 (32.7%) patients (17 females, at baseline: age 76.75 ± 6.82 years, BCVA 78.06 ± 5.8 ETDRS letters) had exited the study (5 missing for year 1, 10 for year 2, 17 for year 3, 26 for year 4, 30 for year 5) for various reasons (withdrawal of consent 22, death 1, poor health 9). For a flowchart of the MODIAMD study cohort, see Supplementary Figure S1.

The further analysis of the 17 eyes with development of central cRORA disclosed a mean BCVA of 70.7 ± 15.4 ETDRS letters for each eye at the first study visit when central atrophy was identified. The mean change of BCVA at this visit compared to baseline was -6.71 ± 16.1 ETDRS letters.

Table 2 lists the cumulative number of eyes at each study visit with presence of central atrophy, separately for the three definitions. Overall, a higher number of eyes with development of central atrophy was detected at each of the six follow-up visits by the multimodal imaging data set as compared to both CFP definitions. If central atrophy was present according to CFP, it was also always detected by multimodal imaging.

Within the subgroup of 10 eyes with earlier detection by multimodal versus CFP definitions, further longitudinal data were available for four eyes that had been obtained outside the study as part of routine clinical care. In one eye, central atrophy according to both CFP-I and CFP-II definitions developed 11 months later. Another single eye had developed CNV before atrophy was evident in CFP, and two eyes had not yet developed central manifest atrophy according to CFP, respectively. For the remaining six eyes, no follow-up data after development of central atrophy according to the multimodal definition were available (n = 2 at follow-up 2, n = 5 at follow-up 4, and n = 6 at follow-up 5).

All available imaging data were reviewed of the 17 eyes that had been determined out of the MODIAMD study cohort with development of central cRORA within 6 years. Hereby, the following different phenotypic patterns were identified.

In six eyes, central atrophy occurred as a spread of preexisting paracentral atrophy toward the fovea (type 1) (i.e., presence of atrophy without involvement of the central ETDRS subfield at baseline and inclusion of that subfield during the observational period). In the remaining 11 study eyes, three distinct precursor lesions and patterns for de novo development of central cRORA were identified: (1) drusen-associated ("nascent GA") (type 2a), (2) association with pigmentary changes without presence of large drusen (type 2b), and (3) association with refractile deposits (type 2c).

**Type 1: Spread of Existing Paracentral Atrophy Toward the Fovea**

The mean total lesion size of paracentral atrophy at baseline, that is, sparing of the central subfield of the ETDRS grid, of the six eyes with preexisting noncentral atrophy was 0.97 ± 0.69 mm² (mean ± SD, range, 0.11-1.88) using the RegionFinder...
software and based on combined assessment of FAF and NIR cSLO imaging. In accordance with the wide range of total lesion sizes, variable configurations of atrophic patches with sparing of the fovea were noted. Coalescence of multifocal patches and characteristic SD-OCT findings at the perilesional atrophic zone were observed as previously described, including hyporeflective wedge-shaped bands, migration of hyperreflective material, and changes in drusen height. For assessment of foveal involvement, use of NIR cSLO and SD-OCT imaging was particularly helpful in addition to FAF images, as distinction of macular pigment versus atrophy was challenging when using the latter modality alone. Multimodal imaging (i.e., NIR and SD-OCT) was also felt to be helpful for accurate identification of the foveal center point and thus verifying and, if indicated, correcting the centration of the ETDRS grid as compared to solely using CFP for exact assessment of atrophy involvement of the central subfield. In addition to paracentral atrophy at baseline, all six eyes exhibited RDR. Figure 1 shows a typical example of this pattern for development of central atrophy.

Type 2a: Drusen-Associated Development of Central Atrophy

In eight eyes, development of central atrophy in association with large confluent drusen was observed. The characteristic features for this pattern, previously described as “nascent GA,” were noted before presence of atrophy, including subsidence of the outer plexiform layer (OPL) and inner nuclear layer (INL), disruption of the RPE band, breaks in the external limiting membrane (ELM), and traces of choroidal hypertransmission as well as development of a hyporeflective wedge-shaped band along with drusen regression. While all eight eyes showed hypopigmentary changes in CFP analysis, hyperreflective foci (HRF) toward the inner retinal layers were observed in only six eyes by SD-OCT imaging. RDR were detectable in two of the eight eyes before atrophy, while atrophy development itself was not spatially confined to the presence of RDR. By FAF imaging, localized severe signal alterations with levels of increased intensities were observed at the site of drusen regression and before presence of central atrophy. Of note, no marked changes of the FAF signal were observed at the site of other drusen in the same eye that did not develop atrophy within the observational period (Fig. 2).

Type 2b: Association With Pigmentary Changes Without Presence of Large Drusen

Development of central atrophy without the colocalization of large drusen at any time point was identified in one eye (Fig. 3). By CFP, a hyperpigmentary laminar lesion surrounded by a hypopigmentary circle was initially observed that was spatially confined to a “patchy FAF pattern” showing abnormal signal intensities with mainly levels of increased FAF. At the same time, SD-OCT showed hyperreflective material above the RPE/Bruch’s membrane band and widening of the RPE/Bruch’s membrane complex, as well as of the interdigitation and ellipsoid zones, while ELM still showed no disruption. Drusen material was limited to small- or intermediate-size drusen. No RDR were detectable in this eye. During further follow-up, hyperpigmentary deposits transformed to multiple smaller hypopigmentary lesions, showing a “speckled appearance” by CFP. Irregular FAF abnormal intensities changed, evolving to more and more areas of decreased FAF. A rather irregular area of abnormal FAF was noted before development of central atrophy within the lesion area; that is, atrophy did not evolve as a small, sharply demarcated zone of homogenous decreased FAF and then further spread to neighboring retina as is usually seen in larger atrophic areas in advanced atrophic AMD. SD-OCT showed beginning disruption of outer retinal layers, including the ELM, as well as localized thinning but not complete loss of the outer nuclear layer and traces of choroidal hypertransmission. During further follow-up, progressive thinning and disruption of outer retinal layer by OCT as well as increasing focal levels of decreased FAF were noted. It appeared that focal areas of atrophy within the initial lesion occurred first before the entire lesion developed the typical features of atrophy according to the three definitions.

Type 2c: Association With Refractile Deposits

There were two eyes in which central atrophy developed in association with refractile deposits but no local occurrence of large drusen (Fig. 4). Refractile deposits as precursor lesions showed a glistening and yellow-shiny appearance in CFP. By NIR imaging, a corresponding hyperreflective appearance was detected. FAF imaging showed mildly increased intensities or a mottled signal that evolved over time to levels of decreased FAF intensities. The distinctive feature as seen by SD-OCT imaging was a laminar intense hyperreflectivity at the level of Bruch’s membrane or a pyramidal structure at the level of the outer retina (“ghost drusen”). One of the two eyes with refractile deposits presented RDR, while the presence of refractile deposits and the local atrophy development appeared not to be spatially associated with RDR presence. Further, progressive disruption of outer retinal bands by SD-OCT was noted. SD-OCT imaging showed fading of the laminar hyperreflectivity and a dip of the ELM and outer nuclear layer (ONL) loss along with the occurrence of traces of choroidal hypertransmission.
Development of Central Atrophy in the Fellow Eye

Of the 23 study patients with AREDS 3 at baseline, conversion to central atrophy at follow-up year 6 had occurred in a total of three fellow eyes according to the multimodal definition and both CFP definitions. Development of central atrophy was associated with retinal hyperpigmentation (type 2b) without the presence of drusen at baseline \((n = 1)\) and enlargement of preexisting paracentral atrophy (type 1) with foveal involvement \((n = 1)\).

In the third eye, development of central atrophy was associated with flattening of a large serous pigment epithelium detachment (PED) (Fig. 5). In this example, confluent drusen with ill-defined hypopigmentary changes and central hyperpigmentary clumping were seen by CFP at baseline. FAF imaging showed a cartwheel-like configuration with levels of increased and decreased signal intensities. Hyperreflective irregularities were visible by NIR imaging. On SD-OCT imaging, a dome-shaped elevation of the RPE along with HRF in inner and outer retinal layer, corresponding to pigment clumping, was detected. Fluorescein angiography did not show any leakage or evidence for CNV at baseline (not shown).

Over time, coalescence of brownish pigment clumps, as seen by CFP, was associated with progressive development of FAF abnormalities with, especially, levels of decreased intensities and hyperreflective irregularities by NIR, respectively. SD-OCT imaging showed an initial increase of both height and diameter of the PED. Progressive disruption of outer retinal layers and the RPE occurred. These gaps in the RPE were associated with an initial faint hyperreflective signal below the RPE. Finally, the number of the HRF within retinal layers decreased.

At year 3, a sharply demarcated area of decreased intensities was detectable by FAF imaging in the center of the lesion, resembling the typical appearance of an atrophic area. The borders of this lesion were also traceable by CFP and NIR. In OCT, a progressive disruption of the RPE was visible. The area of the lesion, as seen by en face imaging, corresponded to a strong hyperreflectivity below the RPE. This latter signal resembles the typical signal intensities of choroidal hyper-
reflectivity in the presence of atrophic areas. However, it was not tomographically located at the level of the choroid, but at the level of the inner retina as compared to other retinal areas in the same eye as the retina itself at the site of the lesion was elevated. Only later over time, the PED flattened and retinal thickness became markedly reduced with typical loss of outer retinal morphology. At the same time, the area size of the central lesion by en face imaging enlarged, showing a large central area with decreased FAF intensities. This additional type of atrophy development was not observed in study eyes in the cohort and was included as type 3 in the classification system (Table 3).

DISCUSSION
This study demonstrates distinct phenotypic patterns for development of central retinal atrophy based on multimodal imaging findings in eyes with non–late-stage AMD that were considered at high risk for development of central atrophy. The results underscore the role of SD-OCT and cSLO imaging in earlier and more precise detection of atrophy compared with CFP alone.

The key observation of the current study is the detailed analysis and the distinction of three major types of development of central atrophy (i.e., cRORA), by multimodal imaging findings: spread of preexisting paracentral atrophy with subsequent central involvement [type 1]; de novo development with immediate localization in the macular center at first detection [type 2]; and flattening of a preexisting PED [type 3]. Further, three distinct precursor lesions were seen for type 2, namely large drusen ("nascent GA"), hyperpigmentary changes, and refractile deposits. These distinct phenotypes may indicate variations in the pathogenesis of atrophy development. A better understanding of atrophy development from different precursor lesions may also be helpful for the assessment of new therapeutic interventions and the development of new therapeutic strategies.

Due to the AREDS definitions, existing paracentral atrophy is not considered to be late AMD, and therefore encroaching of atrophy in the fovea by paracentral atrophy was included in the current study as type 1 for central atrophy development.\(^\text{32}\) We would suggest, in accordance with the more recent AMD classification by Ferris and colleagues,\(^\text{44}\) classifying any atrophy within the macula already as late AMD. Of note, BCVA decline was only moderate in the case of foveal involvement (mean \(-6.7 \) letters over a mean period of 2.6 years), similar to findings in previous studies.\(^\text{13,45}\) Further, it can be assumed that paracentral atrophy may already be associated in dependence of the topography with severe visual disabilities in daily life (e.g., reading).

The systematic analysis of the longitudinal data recorded in the MODIAMD natural history study is in accordance with the view that large drusen are the most common precursor lesion for outer retinal atrophy development. In addition, we could confirm the recent observations by Wu et al. using SD-OCT imaging, who described features of so-called "nascent GA."\(^\text{26}\) Furthermore, our findings suggest that abnormal FAF findings at the site of large drusen may be also prognostic for atrophy development while normal FAF intensities may indicate that...
FIGURE 3. Illustration for development of central retinal atrophy in association with pigmentary changes without presence of large drusen (type 2b). Same arrangement of images as in Figure 1. SD-OCT imaging at baseline (baseline: black box) visit reveals hyperreflective material above the RPE/Bruch’s membrane band (1), while the ELM is still continuous (2). The hyperpigmentary laminar lesion in CFP corresponds to a “patchy pattern” in FAF image (baseline: red dashed lines). Over the time, the initial increase in FAF signal becomes reduced, presenting an irregular area of more and more decreased FAF (months 12 and 24: black arrows). The atrophy-associated reduction in FAF shows a progradent inhomogeneous pattern with focal areas of hypoautofluorescence occurring first, while CFP image reveals more clearly the lesion’s extent (month 24: red dashed lines).

FIGURE 4. Example for development of central atrophy associated with refractile deposits (type 2c). Same arrangement of images as in Figure 1. At baseline and month 36, small green lines on CFP and NIR image indicate the position of the cropped SD-OCT scans (1) and (2) directly through the refractile lesions. At baseline and month 36, a pyramidal structure at the RPE level on top of the drusen (“ghost drusen”) is visualized by SD-OCT, while CFP and NIR show clearly this feature originating from the refractile lesions.
the "point of no return" to manifest atrophy has not yet been reached.

There have been only few reports on "primary age-related atrophy" without "obvious association with drusen," as described by Sarks et al., in the context of AMD. The AREDS reported "retinal hyperpigmentation" and "refractile drusen" as precursor lesions for atrophy development. However the analysis was based on CFP only over a period of 4 years, while the study herein provides an analysis by various imaging modalities over a 6-year review. In accordance with a more detailed detection of multimodal high-resolution imaging compared to solely CFP, the relative rate of central atrophy was higher in the current study (67% vs. 24%). It may be speculated that these precursor lesions, although not as common as large drusen, are more definitely associated with atrophy development. For example, we have recently demonstrated that refractile deposits represented a high-risk factor for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Study Eyes, ( n = 17 )</th>
<th>Fellow Eyes, ( n = 3 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type 1, spread of preexisting paracentral atrophy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2, de novo development of central manifest atrophy, associated with:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Large drusen</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Pigmentary changes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Refractile deposits</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 3, PED collapse</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All eyes with iAMD at baseline of the MODIAMD study and development of central atrophy within 6 years are listed.
late AMD development while large drusen may also regress without atrophy development.\textsuperscript{22} No spatial association with the presence of RDR and development of cRORA over time has been detected over 6 years in this cohort.\textsuperscript{31} Of note, the development of “outer retinal atrophy” in areas with RDR, also called subretinal drusenoid deposits, is typically not characterized by complete atrophy of the RPE and outer retinal layers.\textsuperscript{46}

A major limitation for comparison of different studies is the use of inconsistent definitions of central atrophy. The AREDS definitions are based on CFP only and have been altered over time.\textsuperscript{32,34} The AMD classification by Ferris and coworkers,\textsuperscript{44} also based on CFP or clinical examination, does not define a minimum size of atrophy. Given the major technological advances in retinal imaging, more detailed classification and definition are prudent.\textsuperscript{24} For this study, we adopted the recently proposed definition of cRORA that has been brought forward as part of the CAM program consensus classification system.\textsuperscript{29} A better classification that becomes widely established would be also helpful for interpretation of other potential precursor lesions that have been recently described by SD-OCT, such as thinning of retinal layers in the presence of drusen regression, evolution of HRF, and disintegrated RPE dots.\textsuperscript{25,26–28,47–51}

Various limitations of this study need to be considered. The number of included subjects is relatively small, and a substantial high number of subjects dropped out of this natural history study (a total of 32.7% at year 6). Therefore we cannot exclude that we missed other typical precursor lesions and patterns for atrophy development. For example, acquired vitelliform lesions were not included in this cohort. As patients exited the study at the time of either central atrophy by multimodal imaging or CNV development, further longitudinal data after such events were not systematically assessed. Therefore we cannot analyze the extent of the time lag for atrophy detection of CFP imaging as compared to the multimodal imaging approach. An important strength of the study is the prospective design of data acquisition within a natural history study employing extensive and standardized multimodal high-resolution retinal imaging at each study visit. This design was an important perquisite for the systematic analysis of different variations in the development and manifestation of central atrophy based on imaging findings beyond CFP.

In summary, the current study reports the incidence of central atrophy development secondary to AMD based on various imaging modalities. Three major precursor lesions for atrophy development were noted, and another three subphenotypes were additionally identified for de novo atrophy development. More detailed insights into atrophy development by multimodal longitudinal imaging may be helpful for a better understanding of the prognostic markers and in the context of future interventional clinical trials in patients with iAMD.
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