Characterization of Rod Function Phenotypes Across a Range of Age-Related Macular Degeneration Severities and Subretinal Drusenoid Deposits
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PURPOSE. To examine spatial changes in rod-mediated function in relationship to local structural changes across the central retina in eyes with a spectrum of age-related macular degeneration (AMD) disease severity.

METHODS. Participants were categorized into five AMD severity groups based on fundus features. Scotopic thresholds were measured at 14 loci spanning ±18° along the vertical meridian from one eye of each of 42 participants (mean = 71.7 ± 9.9 years). Following a 30% bleach, dark adaptation was measured at eight loci (±12°). Rod intercept time (RIT) was defined from the time to detect a −3.1 log cd/m² stimulus. RITSlope was defined from the linear fit of RIT with decreasing retinal eccentricity. The presence of subretinal drusenoid deposits (SDD), ellipsoid (EZ) band disruption, and drusen at the test loci was evaluated using optical coherence tomography.

RESULTS. Scotopic thresholds indicated greater rod function loss in the macula, which correlated with increasing AMD group severity. RITslope, which captures the spatial change in the rate of dark adaptation, increased with AMD severity (P < 0.0001). Three rod function phenotypes emerged: RF1, normal rod function; RF2, normal scotopic thresholds but slowed dark adaptation; and RF3, elevated scotopic thresholds with slowed dark adaptation. Dark adaptation was slowed at all loci with SDD or EZ band disruption, and drusen at the test loci was evaluated using optical coherence tomography.

CONCLUSIONS. Three rod function phenotypes were defined from combined measurement of scotopic threshold and dark adaptation. Spatial changes in dark adaptation across the macula were captured with RITSlope, which may be a useful outcome measure for functional studies of AMD.
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Nonexudative age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is a leading cause of vision loss among the elderly for which no treatments exist. Promising treatments for early and intermediate stages of AMD exist,1 but the feasibility of future clinical trials requires sensitive outcome measures beyond visual acuity. Early visual changes that affect the AMD population include difficulty with driving at night and adjusting to changes in lighting.2–4 These symptoms are consistent with histologic findings from donor AMD eyes showing preferential loss of rods over cones throughout the course of the disease.5–7 Psychophysical measures of rod function include dark adaptation, which measures the rate of recovery of retinal sensitivity following exposure to an intense light, and scotopic thresholds, which measure the minimum light that can be detected once the retina is fully adapted in the dark. Normal scotopic thresholds with slowed dark adaptation implicate reduction in the supply of retinoids whereas an elevation of scotopic thresholds implicates rod function itself.

Within the perifoveal macula of AMD patients, scotopic thresholds are altered along a steep gradient, with the largest deficits closest to the fovea.8,9 Because dark adaptation is more affected than scotopic thresholds in AMD and with aging,8–13 recent studies of AMD have focused on measuring dark adaptation as a functional outcome measure.14–18 Most of these studies have measured dark adaptation from a single retinal locus. Fraser et al.18 recorded dark adaptation from seven retinal loci across the central 12° and reported that slowest adaptation occurred within the central 6°. As measurement of scotopic thresholds and dark adaptation provide different but complementary information regarding rod function, much might be gained by studying spatial variation in both parameters across the parafovea in eyes with AMD.

To what extent reductions in rod-mediated function can be explained by structural changes in AMD-affected retinas remains unclear. Two studies report strong correlation between the presence of drusen and/or other AMD-associated retinal abnormalities across the macula and at the location of
testing. In contrast, other studies find no clear association between the presence of drusen and changes in dark adaptation.\textsuperscript{9,16-21} Recent studies have also shown that subretinal drusenoid deposits (SDD) are associated with notably delayed dark adaptation.\textsuperscript{16,18-19}

Understanding the relationship between scotopic thresholds and dark adaptation across the central retina in AMD in relation to structural changes in the retina/RPE complex would further our knowledge on biological mechanisms involved in AMD progression, may provide a more sensitive means to track progression of disease, and may help identify those patients most likely to benefit from therapeutic intervention. The goals of the current study were to (1) investigate the relationship between dark adaptation and scotopic thresholds in patients with AMD and SDD; (2) determine the effect of retinal eccentricity on psychophysical measures of rod function in AMD and SDD; and (3) determine the effect of local structural changes within the retina/RPE complex on rod function across the central retina.

**METHODS**

**Study Population**

Participants included adults older than 50 years both with and without AMD who were recruited from the eye clinic at the National Eye Institute, National Institutes of Health (Bethesda, MD, USA) between September and December 2016. Although not a clinical trial, the study is registered on clinicaltrials.gov (identifier NCT02617966). This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Institutes of Health, is Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act compliant, and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided informed consent.

Study eyes had a best-corrected visual acuity of 20/50 or better. Based on clinical examination and medical records, patients were excluded for (1) inability to dilate pupil to 6.5 mm (see Appendix); (2) advanced AMD in both eyes at baseline visit; (3) any other active ocular or macular disease (e.g., glaucoma, diabetic retinopathy, Stargardt disease); (4) a condition preventing compliance with the study assessment; (5) cataract surgery within 3 months before enrollment; (6) history of vitamin A deficiency; (7) high oral intake of vitamin A palmitate supplement (10,000 international units per day); and (8) active liver disease or history of liver disease.

**Ophthalmic Examination and Imaging**

Participants underwent complete ophthalmic examination and retinal imaging including color fundus photographs, fundus autofluorescence images, and spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) as described in detail previously.\textsuperscript{16} Retinal imaging was completed on a separate visit within 6 months (average = 3 months; range, 0–5.9 months) of the dark adaptation testing described herein.

Participants were placed into one of five AMD severity groups based on fundus features as previously described.\textsuperscript{16} Briefly, eligible eyes were screened for the presence of SDD based on grading of color photographs and both fundus autofluorescence and infrared reflectance images,\textsuperscript{16} and these eyes were placed in a separate group. The remaining participants were grouped by AMD severity as follows: Group 0 had no large drusen (≥125 μm), late AMD, or SDD in either eye; group 1 and 2 participants had large drusen in one or both eyes, respectively; and no late AMD in either eye; group 3 had large drusen in the study eye and late-stage AMD in the other eye.

**Measurement and Analysis of Scotopic Thresholds**

Scotopic thresholds were measured using a Medmont Dark Adapted Chromatic (DAC) perimeter (Medmont, Nunawading, VIC, Australia). Following pupil dilation, the participant was immediately placed in the dark and the DAC “Stopwatch” started. After 20 minutes in the dark, scotopic thresholds were measured monocularly from the study eye at 14 retinal locations, \(2^\circ, 4^\circ, 6^\circ, 8^\circ, 10^\circ, 12^\circ\), and \(18^\circ\) eccentricity along the vertical meridian (Fig. 1). Corrective lenses were inserted into a lens holder to account for the participants’ refraction and 30-cm viewing distance. Fixation was monitored throughout testing via an infrared camera. Participants were asked to focus on the central red fixation light and to respond by pushing a response button when they saw a stimulus flash.

To implement two-color, dark-adapted perimetry, scotopic thresholds were measured first in response to a red (dominant wavelength = 625 nm) stimulus, and then, after a short break, to a green (dominant wavelength = 505 nm) stimulus. Stimuli were 1.75” in diameter (Goldmann V spot size) and presented for 200 msec. Testing included measurement and recording of false positives. DAC starting intensities were 30 and 50 decibel (dB) for the red and green stimuli, respectively. Stimuli were presented in a pseudorandom order across the 14 loci, with each light’s intensity determined by an independent 4-2 staircase. The time at which each threshold was calculated was recorded independently for each locus. Measurement of scotopic thresholds from the 14 loci typically took approximately 3 minutes for each color.

Scotopic thresholds were analyzed in terms of absolute measurements of light (log sc cd/m\(^2\)). The reference ranges of scotopic thresholds to the red and green stimuli were calculated at each retinal locus from the 95% confidence intervals of the control group (AMD group 0).

**Measurement and Analysis of Dark Adaptation**

Pupil size was measured in the dark under infrared illumination with a pupillometer built into a ColorDome ganzfeld (Diagnosys LLC, Lowell, MA, USA). Using the same ganzfeld, participants viewed a full-field background of 347 scotopic candelas per meter squared (sc cd/m\(^2\)) for 5 minutes that was calculated to produce a 50% rhodopsin bleach (see Appendix). After cessation of the background light, the DAC stopwatch was restarted, the fellow eye patched, and the participant returned to the chin rest on the Medmont DAC perimeter.

Dark adaptation was measured from eight retinal locations, \(4^\circ, 6^\circ, 8^\circ, \) and \(12^\circ\) eccentricity, along the vertical meridian (Fig. 1). Two minutes after the bleach, thresholds were measured in response to the red stimulus. Subsequently, at \(4^\circ, 6^\circ, 9^\circ, 12^\circ, 15^\circ, 18^\circ, 21^\circ, 24^\circ, 27^\circ,\) and 30-minute time points, thresholds were measured in response to the green stimulus. Testing at each time point took 75 to 90 seconds. At each time point, stimuli were presented in a pseudorandom order; each light’s intensity was determined by an independent staircase, and the time at which each threshold was calculated was recorded independently for each locus.

Figure 2 shows an example of the fit of a dark adaptation curve to the recovery of threshold at two loci (\(4^\circ\) and \(12^\circ\)). Details of the equation and curve-fitting procedure used for analyzing dark adaptation are described in the Appendix. Rod intercept time (RIT), defined as the time to detect a criterion stimulus of 0.005 scotopic cd/m\(^2\), has been widely used to assess dark adaptation in AMD.\textsuperscript{14,17-19,25-27} Using the scotopic to photopic conversion of 0.16 for the dominant wavelength of the green stimulus (505 nm),\textsuperscript{28} the equivalent photopic criterion threshold for the DAC is 0.0008 photopic cd/m\(^2\) or \(-3.1\) log photopic cd/m\(^2\) (dotted line in Fig. 2). At the 12°
locus, recovery to the criterion threshold occurred at 17.1 minutes (RIT12). In this case, although dark adaptation does occur at the 4° locus, the threshold at this retinal location never reaches criterion, and no RIT could be derived.

Structural Measurements of the Retina/RPE Complex and Choroid

An SD-OCT vertical B-scan centered on the fovea was analyzed at eight retinal eccentricities (4°, 6°, 8°, and 12° superior and inferior) for the presence of the following:

- Subretinal drusenoid deposits.
- Interruption of the ellipsoid (EZ) band: EZ1, small/questionable discontinuity; EZ2, interruption < 125 μm; and EZ3, interruption with RPE atrophy.
- Drusen: drusen 1, small (<63 μm); drusen 2, intermediate (63–124 μm); drusen 3, large (≥125 μm); drusen 4, drusen pigment epithelial detachment.

RESULTS

Participant Demographics

Forty-four participants (aged 53–88 years) were enrolled in the study and 42 were included in the analysis. Two participants were excluded from analysis as on the day of dark adaptation testing they were found to have developed central geographic atrophy with visual acuities reduced to 20/160 and 20/250 in the study eyes. The study population was mostly white (n = 41, 93%), and 23 (52%) were women. Four subjects were pseudophakic in the study eye, one participant from each of AMD groups 0, 1, 2, and SDD. There was no significant difference in mean age (P = 0.26) of participants between AMD groups (Table 1). Similarly, there were no significant differences in mean best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) (P = 0.61) or mean pupil size (P = 0.91) of study eyes between AMD groups (Table 1).

Scotopic Thresholds

The upper parts of Figure 3 show scotopic thresholds from representative group 1 (Fig. 3A) and SDD (Fig. 3B) participants. For the group 1 participant, scotopic thresholds to both red (triangles) and green (squares) stimuli were within the reference ranges (shaded rectangles) across all loci. For the SDD participant, scotopic thresholds to both red and green stimuli were markedly elevated across the central macula (−4° to 8°). To investigate the relative function of rods and cones at a given locus, we used the principle of two-color, dark-adapted (2CDA) perimetry 22–24 to determine the difference in red and green thresholds, which reveals whether the responses are mediated by rods, cones, or a mix of rods and cones. The lower parts of Figure 3 show the threshold differences at each locus (black circles). For the group 1 participant (Fig. 3A), threshold differences predominantly lie within the reference range (light gray shaded rectangles), indicating that scotopic thresholds to both red and green stimuli were mediated solely by rods (loci indicated by “R”). For the SDD participant (Fig. 3B), the difference thresholds over the central 2° were near zero (dark gray band), indicating thresholds were mediated solely by cones (indicated by “C”). Both participants had difference thresholds that lay between the reference range and dark gray band, indicating mixed rod/cone thresholds (indicated by “M”) where scotopic thresholds to the green stimuli were mediated by rods and thresholds to the red stimuli were mediated by cones.22–24 From the participant’s perspective, thresholds mediated by rods are perceived as white, and thresholds mediated by cones are perceived as the color of the stimulus.

Figure 4 shows scotopic thresholds to the green stimulus from each participant in AMD severity groups 1, 2, 3, and SDD. Gray boxes show the reference range defined as mean threshold ± 2 SD from group 0. Relative to group 0 thresholds, group 1 did not have any eyes with elevated thresholds at two or more loci. However, scotopic thresholds were elevated at two or more loci in 4 of 12 (33%) group 2 participants, and the majority (>75%) of group 3 and SDD participants. Intragroup variability increased with AMD severity. Within the SDD group,
four study eyes displayed elevated thresholds as far out as 12° to 18°, while thresholds from the two remaining study eyes were largely within the reference range. Two group 3 eyes and four SDD eyes had cone-only mediated thresholds mostly at the 2° loci (Fig. 4; circled symbols).

As in group 0 eyes, normal functioning should reveal rod-mediated thresholds at all test loci to both colored stimuli. We examined the number of loci that demonstrated a greater loss of rod function relative to cone function (i.e., demonstrate mixed or cone threshold responses) for each study eye across AMD groups (Supplementary Fig. S1). For all AMD groups, a greater percentage of mixed/cone thresholds were present in the macula, the percentage of loci with mixed/cone thresholds averaged 13% for AMD groups 1 and 2, which doubled to 26% of loci at 8° for AMD groups 3 and increased further to 50% of loci for SDD (Supplementary Fig. S2).

**Dark Adaptation**

Figure 5 shows dark adaptation curves measured across four retinal eccentricities (4°, 6°, 8°, 12°) from representative group 1 (Fig. 5A) and SDD (Fig. 5B) participants. For the group 1 participant, dark adaptation was similar across all four eccentricities (Fig. 5A). For the SDD participant, dark adaptation occurred quickest at 12° eccentricity and became progressively slower with decreasing eccentricity (Fig. 5C). RIT was used to compare dark adaptation between AMD severity groups. The derived RITs at 12° (RIT₁₂) and 4° (RIT₄) are indicated for the two participants with dashed arrows.

For some loci in certain study eyes, RIT could not be determined as the thresholds did not recover to the criterion level (Fig. 2). Across AMD groups, we found that there were more points that did not reach criterion in group 3 and SDD (25%) than in groups 0 to 2 (<1%). In looking at the distribution of these loci among group 3 and SDD eyes, we found that the superior SDD had a considerably higher number (1.5- to 3-fold) of loci where RIT could not be derived compared with the inferior retina (Supplementary Fig. S3). As a result, we focused our analyses of dark adaptation on measurements from the inferior retina.

Figure 6 plots mean RIT as a function of retinal eccentricity (Fig. 6A) and AMD group (Fig. 6B). For each test locus, mean RIT increased as a function of AMD group severity (Fig. 6A). An analysis correlating RIT with AMD and eccentricity reveals a significant effect of both AMD and eccentricity on RIT (2-way ANOVA of RIT with AMD group and eccentricity $P < 0.0001$ for
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**FIGURE 3.** Scotopic thresholds to red (triangles and dashed lines) and green (squares and solid lines) stimuli and the difference between these two thresholds (circles) from participants representative of each rod function phenotype. Participants: (A) group 1, 68 years old, BCVA = 20/25; (B) group SDD, 78 years old, BCVA = 20/52. Green and red bars indicate the reference ranges (group 0; mean ± 2 SD) for the green and red stimuli, respectively; gray bars similarly indicate the reference range for the threshold differences (red minus green). The letters “R,” “C,” and “M” in the different parts of the figure indicate, respectively, whether scotopic thresholds at each eccentricity were mediated by rods only, cones only, or a mixed response of both rods and cones. Negative eccentricities correspond to the inferior retina, positive eccentricities to the superior retina.
all). Post hoc analysis indicated significantly longer mean RIT for the SDD group relative to the control group at 4°, 6°, and 8° eccentricity ($P < 0.0001$ for all). For all AMD groups, mean RIT increased as a function of decreasing retinal eccentricity (Fig. 6B). The effect of eccentricity on mean RIT became more pronounced with increasing AMD severity. Relative to mean RIT at 12° eccentricity, post hoc analysis indicated significantly lower mean RITs at 4° and 6° for group 3, and 4°, 6°, and 8° for SDD (Fig. 6B). A qualitatively similar pattern of results was observed for mean RIT values from the superior retina (Supplementary Fig. S4). In addition to the AMD severity groupings described in Table 1, we also examined RIT with regard to an alternative AMD severity scale. Increasing simplified severity score was also associated with increasing mean RIT (Supplementary Fig. S5).

We define a parameter RITslope to characterize the change in RIT with decreasing retinal eccentricity evident in Figure 6B. RITslope is the linear fit of the plot of RIT as a function of decreasing retinal eccentricity (Figs. 5B, 5D). For the group 1 participant, RITslope was close to zero, as RIT varied minimally with eccentricity (Fig. 5B). The SDD participant had an RITslope of $3.7$ min/deg reflecting the 4-fold increase in RIT from the test locus at 12° to the test locus at 4° (Fig. 5D). Hereafter, we analyze and report RITslope as an absolute value so that larger values of RITslope indicate a greater increase in RIT with decreasing eccentricity.

Figure 7 shows that mean RITslope increases as a function of AMD severity (ANOVA: $P < 0.0001$). Post hoc analysis indicates significantly higher mean RITslope for the SDD group relative to groups 0, 1, and 2 ($P = 0.0001$ for all); group 3 RITslope was also significantly greater than for group 0 ($P = 0.008$). Mean RITslope (±SD) for the study groups were as follows: group 0, 0.21 ± 0.24 min/deg; group 1, 0.27 ± 0.49 min/deg; group 2, 0.59 ± 0.49 min/deg; group 3, 1.54 ± 1.33 min/deg; group SDD, 2.81 ± 0.74 min/deg. A qualitatively similar pattern of results was observed for RITslope values from the superior retina (Supplementary Fig. S6). Mean RITslope also increases with AMD severity when the study eyes are graded using the simplified severity score as an alternative grading system (Supplementary Fig. S7).

We sought to determine whether RIT or RITslope could better distinguish the differences in dark adaptation between our AMD groups. We compared RITslope from the inferior retina (RITslope$^{inf}$) with RIT at inferior 8° eccentricity (RIT$^{inf}_8$). This inferior eccentricity was chosen to provide a balance between obtaining a high number of participants with a measurable RIT and separation in mean RIT between AMD groups. Figure 8 shows the scatter plot of RIT$^{inf}_8$ (Fig. 8A) and RITslope$^{inf}$ (Fig. 8B) plotted over the 95% confidence intervals of the mean from the control group (gray zones). Seven participants from both groups 2 and 3 had an RITslope$^{inf}$ value outside the reference range (Fig. 8B). By comparison, a smaller number of group 2 ($n = 3$) and group 3 ($n = 4$) participants had RIT$^{inf}_8$ values outside their reference range (Fig. 8A). All SDD participants were outside the reference range for both RIT$^{inf}_8$ and RITslope$^{inf}$. 
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Rod Function Phenotypes

Three rod function phenotypes emerged from the combined analysis of the scotopic thresholds and dark adaptation from all eight loci (4°, 6°, 8°, 12°) where both measures were recorded. Figure 9 shows example scotopic thresholds and dark adaptation from each phenotype; for clarity, data are shown at a single locus (4° inferior). For rod function phenotype 1 (RF1), scotopic thresholds and dark adaptation (i.e., RIT) were within their reference ranges (orange symbols/line). Rod function phenotype 2 (RF2) participants had normal scotopic thresholds but dark adaptation was delayed (blue symbols/line). For rod function phenotype 3 (RF3), both scotopic thresholds and dark adaptation were outside their reference ranges (green symbols/line). A given eye was categorized as RF2 if scotopic thresholds were normal but RIT was outside the reference range at two or more loci. An eye was categorized as RF3 phenotype if scotopic thresholds and dark adaptation were outside the reference range at two or more loci.

Rod Function Phenotypes in AMD and SDD

Figure 5. Measurement of dark adaptation across four retinal eccentricities for the same group 1 (A) and SDD (C) participants from Figure 3. Solid lines are the fits of Equation A1 (Appendix). Colored open symbols in the gray region show the scotopic thresholds recorded at the same retinal eccentricity as dark adaptation. The gray and teal regions illustrate time of the measurement of scotopic threshold and delivery of the bleach, respectively. They are for graphic illustration only and are not drawn to scale. The black dotted line indicates the criterion stimulus intensity (~5.1 log cd/m²) used for calculation of RIT (Equation A2, Appendix). Derivation of RIT at 4° (RIT₂) and 12° (RIT₁₂) is indicated by the downward arrows. (A) RIT₂ = 6.7 minutes; RIT₁₂ = 9.1 minutes; (C) RIT₂ = 44.5 minutes; RIT₁₂ = 11.8 minutes. (B, D) RIT plotted as a function of retinal eccentricity for the two participants. RITslope was derived from the linear fit (lines) of RIT versus retinal eccentricity. Black and gray symbols/lines indicate data from the superior and inferior retina, respectively. RIT could be derived only at 12° in the superior retina for the participant shown in (D).

Figure 6. RIT shown as a function of inferior retinal eccentricity and AMD group. (A) Mean RIT plotted as a function of eccentricity from the inferior retina and stratified by AMD severity group (see legend). Post hoc comparisons to group 0 by eccentricity: **P < 0.0001. Number of subjects with data at all eccentricities for 2-way ANOVA were group 0, n = 8, group 1, n = 7, group 2, n = 11, group 3, n = 6, SDD, n = 4. (B) Mean RIT plotted as a function of AMD severity group and stratified by inferior retinal eccentricity (see legend). Post hoc comparisons of RIT at 4°, 6°, and 8° relative to 12° by group; *P = 0.0015, **P = 0.0001; errors bars in both graphs indicate standard error of the mean (SEM).
Table 2 shows the number of participants from each AMD group with each rod function phenotype. There is a clear trend for more severe AMD eyes to have a less normal rod function phenotype. Only one eye in group 1 has a rod function phenotype that is not RF1. Strikingly, all eyes in the SDD group have a rod function phenotype outside of RF1. However, Table 2 also highlights that different rod function phenotypes can be observed within a given AMD severity group.

Association of Rod Function With Structural Measurements of the Retina/RPE Complex and Choroid

We sought to determine whether changes in scotopic thresholds and/or dark adaptation at individual loci could be explained by local structural changes in the retina/RPE complex. A total of 272 loci (34 participants × 8 loci) were examined from study eyes of groups 1, 2, 3, and SDD. Table 3 details the relationship between changes in retina/RPE structure and associated measurements of rod function at each locus. Disruption of the EZ band/RPE complex (EZ2 and EZ3) was associated with abnormal rod function at all loci with these changes. SDD were similarly associated with delayed dark adaptation (100% loci) and elevated thresholds (80% loci). Loci with intermediate or large drusen (drusen 2 and 3, respectively) or drusen with PED (drusen 4) were also strongly associated with delayed dark adaptation (64%-85%), but somewhat fewer of these loci were associated with elevated scotopic thresholds (31%-57%). Small drusen (drusen 1) were not associated with changes in rod function except at two loci (9%) that showed delayed dark adaptation. Notably, of the 167 loci without any of the retina/RPE structural changes listed above, dark adaptation was delayed at 53 (32%) loci and scotopic thresholds were elevated at 14 (8%) loci.

We also examined whether choroid thickness was associated with changes in scotopic thresholds and/or dark adaptation. Choroidal thickness measurements were made at 8° (superior and inferior) from a vertical SD-OCT B-scan recorded with enhanced depth imaging. Neither RIT nor RITslope was correlated with choroidal thickness (Supplementary Fig. S8).

DISCUSSION

Participants with SDD or intermediate AMD display elevated scotopic thresholds and delayed dark adaptation within the macula. In the current study, dark adaptation was more affected than scotopic thresholds, results consistent with...
previous reports that examined both parameters in AMD.8,9,12,13 The high percentage of loci with mixed- and cone-mediated thresholds indicates greater loss of rod-mediated function relative to cone function across the central macula (2°–6°). Increasing AMD severity was associated with a greater proportion of loci with rod-mediated losses within this central macula. These results are consistent with histologic studies of AMD eyes that demonstrate preferential loss of rods over cones throughout the course of the disease.5–7 Our scotopic threshold results confirm and extend previous studies that have reported elevated scotopic thresholds across the perifoveal macula in AMD with the largest deficits closest to the fovea.8,9,18,21 RITslope analysis indicated dark adaptation was altered along a steep gradient across the macula with slower dark adaptation occurring nearest to the fovea; RITslope increased with AMD severity. Our results are consistent with a previous report showing slower dark adaptation for central loci (4°, 6°) compared with more peripheral loci (12°) in patients with AMD or SDD.18

Steinmetz et al.8 reported changes in scotopic threshold and dark adaptation equivalent to our phenotypes RF2 and RF3 in 12 patients with age-related changes in Bruch’s membrane who were symptomatic for poor vision in the dark. The current study and that of Steinmetz et al. indicate that rod function phenotype may provide an additional means to stratify AMD patients beyond imaging assessments. Whether rod function phenotype is a better predictor of disease progression than current AMD classification systems awaits further study.

We defined a new parameter, RITslope, that describes the spatial change in dark adaptation across the macula. RITslope may prove advantageous as an outcome measure in AMD as this parameter is still calculable in participants who fail to adapt to RIT criterion at a single retinal locus. In our small sample, RITslope was outside the reference range for a greater proportion of participants in AMD groups 2 and 3 than for RIT measured at 8° inferior. A larger study will be required to determine if RITslope is the more sensitive measure of dark adaptation in AMD.

In the present study, eyes with SDD had marked elevation of scotopic thresholds and dark adaptation was greatly slowed or absent, consistent with previous reports.16,18,20 All 24 loci with underlying SDD were associated with delayed dark adaptation, and most of these loci (80%) were associated with elevated scotopic thresholds. In vivo examination of SDD with adaptive optics imaging and postmortem histologic examination indicate morphologic changes in photoreceptors overlaying SDD.30,31 The combined results establish SDD as a specific fundus phenotype, and strongly suggest a link between SDD and marked rod dysfunction.

SDD is a major risk factor for AMD progression distinct from drusen.32,35 Therefore, an imperative exists to develop a dark adaptation protocol that would enable longitudinal follow-up for SDD. Previous studies of SDD report that between 80% to 100% of study eyes did not reach criterion threshold to derive RIT within the allotted 20-minute18 to 40-minute16 test times. In the current study, the number of loci where RIT could not be derived in the allotted 30 minutes was highest for central loci (4°–8°), and this was particularly so for the superior retina of group 3 and SDD. Because so many SDD and group 3 participants fail to reach criterion threshold, future studies will need to consider testing more eccentric loci, longer test durations, elevated criterion thresholds, and lower rhodopsin bleaching for the most affected groups.

Our AMD severity groups 1, 2, and 3 were defined by the presence of large drusen and/or evidence of large drusen or advanced AMD in the fellow eye. Delays in dark adaptation correlate with drusen severity as defined by their size and number across the macula.34 While we found dark adaptation was delayed in over 60% of loci with our drusen grades 2 to 4, the presence of drusen alone did not predict changes in dark adaptation in AMD, a result consistent with previous studies.22,27,16 We also found delayed dark adaptation and elevated scotopic thresholds at 8% and 32% of loci, respectively, that had no evident changes in retina/RPE structure. Whether other structural changes may be observed at these loci with further advances in imaging remains an open question.

The classification of rod function phenotypes provides insight into the retinal mechanisms altered in AMD. The increase in scotopic thresholds that in part define phenotype RF3 could result from changes in rod outer segment structure (e.g., length, volume), a reduction in rhodopsin density, or a reduction in the number of rods.5,50 The delay in dark adaptation observed in phenotypes RF2 and RF3 may be attributable to either an abnormal supply of 11-cis-retinal to the RPE and/or transport of 11-cis-retinal from the RPE across the subretinal space to the rods.5,50 Owlesy et al.5,18 outlined biological evidence for accumulation of lipids and the retaining of RPE-secreted lipoprotein particles by Bruch’s
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loci with no retinal/RPE changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total loci†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Two points with two coincident retinal/RPE changes (n = 10), which were (bolded font indicates pathology chosen for analysis): SDD and drusen 1: n = 9, SDD and drusen 3: n = 1; EZ1 and drusen 2: n = 1; EZ1 and drusen 4: n = 1.
† Not included in the Table is a single locus categorized as EZ band 1 that had normal dark adaptation and a normal scotopic threshold.
membrane as structural changes that might slow the delivery of retinoid to the RPE and account for the delayed dark adaptation in AMD. The subretinal deposits characteristic of SDD could conceivably slow retinoid transport from the RPE to the rods.

An issue in testing both scotopic thresholds and dark adaptation on the same day is the length of testing. In preparation for the current study we estimated that 20 minutes of dark adaptation was sufficient to obtain maximum scotopic thresholds, if the participant’s light exposure was carefully managed. For example, dilation drops were given just prior to the participant’s being placed in the dark. Given the average ambient light in our waiting room (100 cd/m²), we calculated that a participant with natural pupils (2-mm pupil) would reach a steady-state level of illuminance of 1.242 log scotopic trolands (background light illuminance of 1.242 log scotopic trolands (background light of a given duration and retinal illuminance). Using their Equation 4 and assuming an 8-mm pupil, a 30% partial bleach of rhodopsin was achieved following exposure to a full-field background for 5 minutes with a retinal illuminance of 1.242 log scotopic trolands (background light = 347 scotopic candelas per meter squared [sc cd/m²]). For calculation of fractional bleach, we assumed a time constant of 7 minutes for rhodopsin regeneration (τₐ₀) and a bleach rate constant (log₁₀τₐ₀) of 7.0 log troland sec (Td-s) based on measurements from the parafovea (∼10⁻⁷). Since delayed dark adaptation is a feature of AMD, we sought to determine the effect of a longer time constant of rhodopsin regeneration on rhodopsin bleaching levels. For the 5-minute background light exposure, even a 50% increase in time constant of rhodopsin regeneration would increase fractional bleach only slightly to 33% of rhodopsin. The activation of phototransduction, as measured from the bright flash a-wave, is not altered in AMD, suggesting that the bleach rate constant does not vary within the AMD population. Thus, we concluded that variation of these constants within the AMD population would not significantly alter the proportion of rhodopsin bleached.

The retinal illuminance (1.242 log sc Td) used to achieve a 30% rhodopsin bleach accounts for background light intensity and assumes a pupil size of 8 mm. Pupil size is an important determinant of retinal illuminance, and a 1-mm change in pupil diameter will increase/decrease absolute bleach level by approximately 6%; for example, with a 7-mm pupil, the partial rhodopsin bleach will be 24%. The time taken for rods to recover to a criterion level of retinal sensitivity during dark adaptation increases linearly with the size of the bleach for partial bleaches greater than 20%. Therefore, only participants who could be dilated to ≥6.3 mm (20% fractional bleach) were included in the study.

**Derivation of Scotopic Threshold From Dark Adaptation Curve**

We used the following modified version of the equation derived by Dimitrov et al. to describe the recovery of threshold following a bleach (Fig. 10):

\[
T(t) = \begin{cases} 
Tf + Ti & \text{for } t < t_{BC} \\
\log(10^{Ti/Tf} + R - R_{t_{BC} - t}) + Tf & \text{for } t > t_{BC}
\end{cases}
\]  

where \(T\) (log candela per square meter [log cd/m²]) is the threshold at time \(t\) (min) after cessation of the bleaching background. Derived parameters were \(Tf\) (log cd/m²), the asymptotic threshold; \(Ti\) (log cd/m²), the elevation in threshold above the asymptote prior to the rod-cone break; \(t_{BC}\) (min), the time to the rod–cone break; \(R\) (decades/min), the rate of rod decay. The variable \(R\) represents the second component of dark adaptation described by Lamb. Equations 1A was fit to
Rod Function Phenotypes in AMD and SDD

We used Equation A2 to derive RIT from all dark adaptation curves. RIT was measured as the time when the fitted curve crossed the RIT criterion threshold. If this criterion threshold was not reached during dark adaptation, Tj was constrained to the pre-bleach scotopic threshold. RIT could not be derived for participants who did not reach criterion threshold.

\[
\text{RIT} = \left( \frac{t \log \text{cd/m}^2}{C_0} \right) + \left( T_j + \log(10^{-3.1} - 10^{t/2}) \right) / R
\]
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