The edge of awareness: Mask spatial density, but not color, determines optimal temporal frequency for continuous flash suppression
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Introduction

The study of how visual processing functions in the absence of visual awareness has become a major research interest in the vision-science community. One of the main sources of evidence that stimuli that do not reach conscious awareness—and are thus “invisible”—are still processed to some degree by the visual system comes from studies using continuous flash suppression (CFS). Why and how CFS works may provide more general insight into how stimuli access awareness. As spatial and temporal properties of stimuli are major determinants of visual perception, we hypothesized that these properties of the CFS masks would be of significant importance to the achieved suppression depth. In previous studies however, the spatial and temporal properties of the masks themselves have received little study, and masking parameters vary widely across studies, making a metacomparison difficult. To investigate the factors that determine the effectiveness of CFS, we varied both the temporal frequency and the spatial density of Mondrian-style masks. We consistently found the longest suppression duration for a mask temporal frequency of around 6 Hz. In trials using masks with reduced spatial density, suppression was weaker and frequency tuning was less precise. In contrast, removing color reduced mask effectiveness but did not change the pattern of suppression strength as a function of frequency. Overall, this pattern of results stresses the importance of CFS mask parameters and is consistent with the idea that CFS works by disrupting the spatiotemporal mechanisms that underlie conscious access to visual input.
has become the most popular tool for investigating visual processing outside of conscious awareness, although the exact nature of some of these effects remains controversial (Moors, Hesselmann, Wagemans, & van Ee, 2017; Sterzer, Stein, Ludwig, Rothkirch, & Hesselmann, 2014; Yang, Brascamp, Kang, & Blake, 2014).

In a typical CFS paradigm, a series of contour-rich, high-contrast masks called Mondrian patterns are continuously flashed to one eye at a steady rate (temporal frequency), causing a static low-contrast image presented to the other eye to be reliably suppressed throughout a viewing period that is substantially longer than in conventional binocular rivalry (Tsuchiya & Koch, 2005; Tsuchiya, Koch, Gilroy, & Blake, 2006). Unfortunately, many studies utilizing CFS do not specify in any detail how the masks were designed. The consensus seems to be that, somewhat resembling the artistic style of the well-known artist Pieter Cornelis Mondriaan (Mondrian), these masks are designed from a number of overlapping rectangles of different colors or luminances.

Temporal factors play a critical role in determining the effectiveness of CFS (Tsuchiya & Koch, 2005; Zhu, Drewes, & Melcher, 2016). Interestingly, the optimal temporal frequency reported in these studies is relatively low, around 6 Hz, with lower or higher frequencies leading to less effective suppression. Another study compared CFS displays with either the high or the low temporal frequencies removed, and found that the high temporal-frequency range was less effective at producing strong suppression (Yang & Blake, 2012). Consistent with these findings, a study comparing detection of flashed targets presented at different times during the sequence of CFS masks found that detection of the flashed probe was most suppressed for mask temporal frequencies between 5 and 10 Hz (Kaunitz, Fracasso, Skujevskis, & Melcher, 2014). Even lower optimal temporal frequencies (around 1 Hz) were reported by Han, Lunghi, and Alais (2016), although the masking in that study was realized as a continuous, smooth noise sequence rather than the common flashed Mondrian masks. Together, these studies suggest that the temporal frequency of the masks plays a critical role in determining the effectiveness of CFS, raising questions about the precise relationship between awareness and temporal masking frequency. However, a wide range of temporal frequencies have been used in previous studies of CFS, ranging up to 32 Hz, and sometimes the frequency is not clearly specified (for a review, see Moors, Stein, Wagemans, & van Ee, 2015; Zhu et al., 2016).

One largely unexplored issue is whether temporal factors might interact with the spatial properties of the CFS masks used. This is difficult to ascertain because across studies, the exact design of the CFS masks varies widely (e.g., Moors et al., 2015). Due to the multitude of different experimental setups, stimuli, and paradigms, a metacomparison of suppression depth does not seem feasible. Despite being somewhat similar overall in being made up of colored patches, Mondrian masks may vary widely in spatial density, luminance, and color contrast.

More generally, the role of temporal factors in CFS is important for debates regarding the nature of “unconscious processing.” Many studies using CFS compare performance for detecting or discriminating stimuli that differ on a particular property, such as upright versus inverted faces (Stein, Reeder, & Peelen, 2016; Stein, Sterzer, & Peelen, 2012). One assumption implicit in these studies is that any feature which influences performance even for “invisible” stimuli must involve a specific computation that can occur even without awareness (Dijksterhuis & Aarts, 2003; Gaillard et al., 2006; Kaunitz, Fracasso, Lingnau, & Melcher, 2013). Given the hierarchical nature of visual processing, one potential explanation is that features that are processed relatively quickly are less disrupted by CFS, while conscious object formation, which may take up to 150–200 ms (for review, see Wutz & Melcher, 2014), is reset by the flash of a new stimulus. In support for this idea, detection under CFS improves for targets presented for longer durations, reaching plateau at around 100–150 ms (Kaunitz et al., 2014). Thus, one interpretation of unconscious processing of CFS is that it is most likely to occur for features that are processed quickly, and less probable for stimulus features that require longer temporal integration periods or for processes that involve extensive feedback from higher level areas.

As described already, the exact nature by which CFS prevents the target stimulus from reaching conscious awareness is still unknown. We have theorized that the more demanding the flashed masks are in terms of visual processing, the more processing resources they may capture over a longer period of time, resulting in reduced availability of resources for the target stimulus. Here we endeavor to investigate the effect of two important spatial factors in Mondrian masks for CFS, to improve understanding of how CFS functions but also to illustrate the need for better methodological documentation in future studies. First, we investigate the role of spatial density on masking effectiveness as measured by suppression duration. In many studies, the masks used are of Mondrian-like design but lack precise descriptions regarding spatial density or general design parameters. Of the multitude of possibly involved visual subsystems, we specifically targeted the edge-detection and segmentation circuitry. Edge detection and segmentation happen early in the visual-processing hierarchy (Hubel & Wiesel, 1959, 1968) and can be challenged in a controlled way by adding or
subtracting mask edges. If indeed a reduced number of edges leads to reduced mask effectiveness (i.e., suppression duration), then we would expect this deficit to be resultant from either the reduced contrast energy in each mask or the reduced workload imposed on the edge-detection and segmentation circuitry of the visual brain. In the latter case, an increase in the temporal frequency of the mask presentations may be able to increase the workload and recover at least some of the deficit. In this case, we would still expect a reduction in mask effectiveness, but coupled with an upward shift of the optimal temporal masking frequency (see Figure 1).

Second, we investigated whether the presence of color in the masks affects suppression effectiveness, and if there may be an interaction between the presence of color and the most effective masking frequency. This parameter also varies between studies, and precise descriptions of the number of colors (respectively, gray levels) per mask are frequently lacking. The removal of color may reduce the workload on the visual system, resulting in reduced mask effectiveness. Hence, we theorized that masks without color might be processed faster than their colorful counterparts, and may thus need higher temporal frequencies to absorb the same amount of processing resources in order to achieve the same suppression duration. Alternatively, the removal of color may simply reduce the general saliency of the masks, reducing mask effectiveness without affecting the optimal temporal frequency.

**Ethics statement**

The experiment was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Trento, and performed according to the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Methods**

**Apparatus**

Visual stimulation was presented on a 21-in. Mitsubishi CRT monitor (1,024 × 768 pixel resolution, 160-Hz frame rate). Subjects viewed the presentation through a mirror stereoscope, with their heads stabilized by a chin-and-head rest. The physical viewing distance was 57 cm, while the optical distance was increased by approximately 9.5 cm due to the detour through the mirror stereoscope. To achieve good fusion of the display, the spatial distance between the left and right presentation areas as well as the angle of the mirrors were adjusted for each observer. Visual stimuli were presented in MATLAB (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA) using the Psychophysics Toolbox (Brainard, 1997).

**Stimuli and masks**

**Target stimuli**

The stimuli used in the experiment were photographic face and house images (160 each) that were previously used by Zhu et al. (2016). The images were converted from RGB color to grayscale using MATLAB built-in rgb2gray routine, which is a simple linear combination of the RGB color channels ($0.2989 \times R + 0.5870 \times G + 0.1140 \times B$). The luminance histograms of the images were equated by using the SHINE toolbox to minimize potential low-level confounds in our study (Willenbockel et al., 2010). Examples of the resulting stimulus images are shown in Figure 2. The stimulus images were cropped into a rectangular shape extending $6.8\times8.5$ and blended into the medium-gray background with a soft oval edge. These images were chosen because they are proven to cause reliable differences in break-through CFS conditions (Zhu et al., 2016), which enables us to verify that our subjects are following the task instructions (see later) rather than just randomly pushing buttons.

**Mask stimuli**

While Mondrian’s paintings were the result of careful study and planning (Jaffé, 1956/1986), Mon-
Mondrian masks typically involve a randomization process that combines different, overlapping rectangles. Here, we define in detail the design of the masks used in this study, an overview of which can be seen in Figure 3. For each type, 200 individual masks were generated. **Normal Mondrian masks:** Samples of all mask types used can be seen in Figure 3. The masks used in this study (see also Zhu et al., 2016) were created by a computer algorithm, starting with a white rectangle of $8.5\times10.6^\circ$, onto which a sequence of 1,000 rectangles of random size, color, and position was then painted. Individual rectangles were sized between $0.12^\circ$ and $1.2^\circ$ visual field, with horizontal and vertical size randomized independently. Colors were randomly chosen from RGBCMYKW, as these are all the possible colors when allowing only zero or the available maximum as the RGB intensities of each color. This way, any two colors of the chosen palette have at least one color channel differing at maximum, which should ensure good contrast between colors in terms of both chroma and luminance.

These parameters used in mask creation were chosen in an attempt to create masks that are of repeatable, random construction but visually similar to CFS masks used in other studies. **Reduced-density masks:** In order to evaluate the effect that the spatial density (the number of colorful patches per mask) might have on mask effectiveness, we created reduced-density masks by magnifying the center region of the normal masks to 200%, 400%, and 800%, resulting in masks with respective densities of 50%, 25%, and 12.5% relative to the original masks.

We determined the size of the average patch on each mask type by means of a scan-line length analysis. For each horizontal line and vertical column of pixels of each mask, we measured the average length before a change of color occurred. All measured lengths across all masks were then pooled into histograms, as shown in Figure 4A. For Mondrian-type masks as the ones used here, the composition of the spatial-frequency spectrum results from the edges between colored patches. As magnifying and cropping a mask by a certain factor inevitably reduces the total number of edges by the same factor, a corresponding reduction of average amplitudes in the Fourier spectrum results (see Figure 4B). As is to be expected from the rectangular, rigid design of the masks, most of the Fourier energy resides on the cardinal axes of the spectrum, corresponding to horizontal and vertical orientations. However, the reduction of energy following the reduced number of edges in the lower-density masks is also found on the oblique angles of the spectrum, as shown in Figure 5, even though the amplitudes here are substantially reduced compared to the cardinal angles, as can also be seen from Figure 4B.
Pixel-noise masks: These masks were made up of color patches (still chosen from the same eight colors), with the color randomly selected for each pixel. The maximum density a computer display is capable of is achieved by setting a different random color for every pixel. While this represents the maximum possible density, it is likely that the resolution capacity of the observer’s eye will be exceeded, resulting in spatial averaging that reduces the effective density and contrast of the masks.

Flat-color masks: By design the opposite of the pixel-noise masks, these masks are of a single random color and have no spatial structure.

### Experimental paradigm

Every subject completed six sessions of two blocks each, with one mask type per session. The order of mask types was randomized across subjects. In each block there were 80 face trials, 80 house trials, and 32 empty (no target stimulus) catch trials. All of the images were presented in random order, and only once per session for each subject. House, face, and catch trials were also balanced across masking frequencies. As in our previous study (Zhu et al., 2016), 10 masking frequencies were used: 0, 1, 3, 5, 7, 10, 13, 16, 20, and 32 Hz. All frequencies occurred equally often in each block. A total of 200 trials were collected in each block, with 16 target-present trials and four catch trials per frequency, resulting in a total of 2,400 trials per subject.

In a break-through CFS paradigm, the masks were flashed to the dominant eye at predefined frequencies while the target stimulus image was presented to the other eye (Figure 6). The presentation size of the masks was $8.5 \times 10.6^\circ$. Two static frames ($10.2^\circ \times 12.6^\circ$) surrounded the outer border of target stimuli and masks presented on the two sides of the screen, such that both frames were visible only to their respective corresponding eye. Prior to the first trial of each block, the mirror setup and the distance between the two surrounding frames were adjusted individually for each subject, to allow good fusion between both frames. Each trial started with a central fixation cross extending...
shown for a random period between 800 and 1,000 ms. Masks were then flashed for a random period between 1,500 and 2,000 ms before the target stimulus began to ramp up to the other eye. The contrast of the stimulus image increased from 0% to 100% linearly in 6 s (around 0.1% per display refresh with 8-bit precision), while the repetitive mask display to the dominant eye continued. Subjects were instructed to press the space button as quickly as possible when they saw any part of the target image, but not before they could see the target (break-through CFS). Subjects were informed that there could be catch trials without a target, on which no response was to be given. Images disappeared after subjects pressed the button, or after the trial timed out once full contrast was reached (6 s).

Analysis

As a measure of central tendency, the mean of the break-through contrast of each masking frequency and each mask type was computed per subject on log-transformed data. In order to identify the optimal masking frequency at a finer scale, a skewed, raised Gaussian function was fitted to the measured break-through contrast (starting at 3 Hz), and the location of the peak in the fitted function was determined. To test for statistically significant differences between peak frequencies, a hybrid bootstrap procedure was applied. Random sets of subjects were drawn ($N = 15$, with replacement), and the fitting procedure was then performed on the random set. In every repetition ($N = 10,000$), the peak frequency of the resulting fit was calculated. Finally, the bootstrapped peak frequencies of the compared conditions were subtracted from each other, and a sign test (two-tailed) was performed on the result. For other comparisons, as indicated, the break-through contrast (determined as the percentage of contrast at the time when the subject pressed the button) was analyzed by an ANOVA design for repeated measures with the masking frequency (10

Figure 5. Fourier amplitude comparison along cardinal and oblique directions of the spectrum, averaged across 200 masks each. (A) Spectral amplitude per mask type. (B) Relative change in spectral energy when mask density is reduced (separate for each step—original to 50%, etc.).

Figure 6. Experimental paradigm (break-through CFS). The contrast of the stimulus images increased continuously from 0% to 100% over a period of 6 s. Subjects were instructed to press the space bar as quickly as possible when they saw an image. By this design, the measured break-through contrast was equivalent to the response time or duration of suppression.
different frequencies), mask type (6 levels), and stimulus type (faces vs. houses) as within-subject factors. Greenhouse–Geisser adjustments to the degrees of freedom were applied when appropriate and are reported in subscript.

Subjects

A group of 15 subjects participated in the experiment (14 women, one man; aged 19–29 years, $M = 23$, $SD = 2.9$). The participants were students or postdoctoral fellows recruited from the University of Trento and were paid for their participation. All participants reported normal or corrected-to-normal vision and were unaware of the purpose of the experiment. Ocular dominance was determined by an ABC test (Miles, 1929, 1930).

Results

Replicating previous results (Zhu et al., 2016), for the normal mask the break-through contrast differed significantly between temporal masking frequencies, $F(9,211) = 0.352, p < 0.001$. The same held for all reduced-density masks and the pixel-noise masks, but not for the flat-color masks—50% density: $F(9,221,34.54) = 17.711, p < 0.001$; 25% density: $F(9,249,126.27,28) = 12.52, p < 0.001$; 12.5% density: $F(9,193,212,267.05) = 15.75, p < 0.001$; pixel-noise: $F(9,38,126.22,1) = 11.42, p < 0.001$; flat-color: $F(9,3,20,1264.86) = 0.89, p = 0.54$. Significant differences in average break-through contrast were also found between the different mask types, $F(5,44,70,20,17) = 20.20, p < 0.001$, even though all mask types appeared to follow the same overall trend, with the lowest break-through contrast at either 0 or 32 Hz and a maximum in between 3 and 20 Hz (see Figure 7A). The highest overall break-through contrast was found with the normal masks (maximum of 29% contrast, equaling 1.75 s), with contrast decreasing in step with mask density for the reduced-density masks (26.5% = 1.59 s, 25% = 1.50 s, and 19.5% = 1.18 s). Pixel-noise masks resulted in even lower overall break-through contrast (15% = 0.90 s), and the lowest suppression effectiveness was found with the flat-color masks (12.5% = 0.74 s).

We analyzed the relationship between density and temporal frequency in two ways. First, we measured the peak suppression frequencies, as determined by fitting a skewed Gaussian to the data. This peak suppression frequency inversely followed the spatial density of the masks: The lowest peak frequency resulted from the pixel-noise masks, and the highest from the flat-color masks (although the quality of fit with the flat-color masks is questionable due to the overall rather flat profile of the measured results). The statistical results of the pairwise comparisons of peak masking frequency can be found in Table 1 and Figure 7B. The flat-color masks were excluded from the statistical tests, as the fitting of the peak frequency was unreliable due to the very weakly expressed peak characteristics of suppression—only with the flat-color masks does the standard error exceed the distance between the minimum and maximum of the measured curve. The break-through contrast also differed significantly between the different target stimuli (faces vs. houses), $F(1, 14) = 23.29, p < 0.001$, again replicating the results reported by Zhu et al. (2016). Subjects responded rarely on catch trials (average = 4.6, $SD = 5.9$, maximum = 25.4%), indicating good compliance with the instructions given.

Further scrutinizing the relationship between peak masking frequency and mask spatial density, we correlated the spatial density of the mask type with the associated peak temporal frequency (Figure 8). In the presence of noise, the fitting procedure can sometimes fail to achieve a good quality of fit for individual subjects. In the case of the 12.5% density stimuli, the peak in the suppression characteristic is also expressed only weakly, which, again in the presence of noise, causes less reliable fits—this explains the increase in variance between the individual data points. We therefore excluded those data points from the correlation analysis where the peak frequency resulting from the fitting procedure was below 1 Hz or above 30 Hz. The normal, 50% density, 25% density, and 12.5% density masks were included in the analysis. The correlation between decreasing spatial density and increasing temporal frequency was significant (Pearson: $R = −0.94, p = 0.030$; Spearman: $R = −1, p = 0.042$; one-tailed).

In a second analysis, we investigated whether the shift in peak influenced the suppression effectiveness of the theta-frequency (5–7 Hz) Mondrian mask. As shown in Figure 7, the break-through time/contrast at 6 Hz was similar to the peak suppression contrast. Thus, the main effect of reducing density was to extend the range of the peak suppression effect, reducing the temporal tuning of the function. It is interesting to note that for all of the Mondrian masks, performance converged to a similar value at 32 Hz, while for the pixel-noise and flat-color masks, break-through time/contrast converged at a much lower value (around 700 ms or 12% contrast, rather than 1,200 ms or 20% contrast). One possible interpretation is that this reflects the involvement of two processes, one requiring flashing of CFS masks at a theta to alpha frequency (perhaps involving the parvocellular and high-spatial-frequency, low-temporal-frequency visual system) and the other (present even for the 32-Hz displays) more reflecting the contribution of the magnocellular system (Han et al., 2016).
In the second experiment, we tested masks of two different spatial densities (normal and 25% density) in either full color or grayscale. We tested the hypothesis that the removal of color, which is thought to be processed more slowly than luminance, might require greater temporal frequencies in order to reach peak suppression. We also tested whether removing color might only diminish the image saliency, leading to less effective masks in general but the same peak temporal frequency range.

### Subjects

A second group of 16 subjects was recruited for the second experiment (eight women, eight men; aged 19–29 years, $M = 23$, $SD = 2.9$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mask Type</th>
<th>Pixel-noise</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>50% density</th>
<th>25% density</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0.3814</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% density</td>
<td>0.1088</td>
<td>0.4812</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25% density</td>
<td>0.0448</td>
<td>0.0448</td>
<td>0.0440</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.5% density</td>
<td>0.0162</td>
<td>0.0160</td>
<td>0.0392</td>
<td>0.4812</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Pairwise statistical comparisons of peak suppression frequency between mask types (Bonferroni–Holm corrected). Significant results are emphasized.
The focus of the second experiment was on a comparison of colored masks and their grayscale counterparts. From Experiment 1, the normal masks and the 25% density masks were selected, as they showed the overall longest suppression durations while having significantly different peak frequencies. This also allowed us to replicate the main finding of the first experiment (faster temporal frequency required for less dense masks) in a second set of participants. Grayscale masks were generated from the colored masks by use of MATLAB’s built-in rgb2gray function (see Target stimuli, earlier), turning the eight colors (RGBCMYKW) into six distinct shades of gray plus white and black. While the experimental paradigm was unchanged from Experiment 1 aside from the masks used, the setup was calibrated for linear luminance on all three RGB channels to avoid introducing unintentional bias from the grayscale conversion. Using a Minolta CS100A photometer, eight luminance intensities distributed evenly across the monitor’s luminance range were measured for each RGB channel separately. A compensation function for each RGB channel was then interpolated from these measurements and applied to the display code. The procedure ensured that whites and blacks in the grayscale masks were identical to the whites and blacks of the color masks, with even distribution of the gray levels in between. Absolute luminance contrast for both color and gray masks was therefore identical.

Analysis steps were performed analogously to Experiment 1.

Results

Figure 9 shows the general results of Experiment 2. First, the color versions of the masks replicated the results from Experiment 1, with the higher density masks most effective at a slower temporal frequency than the less dense masks. In addition, the grayscale versions of both the normal and the reduced-density masks proved to be less effective than their respective color versions—normal: $F(1, 15) = 29.62, p < 0.001$; 25% density: $F(1, 15) = 37.36, p < 0.001$; however, the general frequency profile remained very similar after removal of color, and the differences in peak frequency were not significant for either the normal masks ($p = 0.91$) or the reduced-density masks ($p = 0.50$). Color masks achieved a maximum suppression of 31$, equaling 1.87 s, and 20$, equaling 1.20 s (normal vs. 25% density); gray masks achieved 27.8$, equaling 1.67 s, and 16.5$, equaling 0.99 s. Catch-trial analysis again revealed good compliance with the instructions given (average $= 2.0\%, SD = 2.2\%, maximum = 9.2\%$).

Discussion

The main finding of this study was that mask density, but not color, influenced the relationship between the temporal frequency of the mask and CFS effectiveness. We replicated previous findings that the optimal frequency for CFS appears to be around 6 Hz.
However, we also showed that this relationship depends on the stimulus density, which was related to the average size and number of patches (and the Fourier amplitude along the cardinal and oblique directions of the spectrum). This finding is consistent with the hypothesis that with less dense images, which would presumably require fewer processing resources over a shorter amount of time, faster temporal frequencies would be useful to mask the target. In contrast, removing color from the masks reduced suppression effectiveness but did not affect the optimal temporal frequency.

What determines the optimal temporal frequency for any type of mask? It is interesting to note that the most effective masking frequency across the various mask types was around 6 Hz (the original masks, Figure 7A), in the high-theta to low-alpha range. This frequency range has been implicated in detection of near-threshold stimuli (particularly oscillations in the alpha range: Busch, Dubois, & VanRullen, 2009; Mathewson, Beck, Fabiani, Ro, & Gratton, 2011; VanRullen, Busch, Drewes, & Dubois, 2011), in oscillations in spatial attention (low alpha or high theta: Fiebelkorn et al., 2011; Fiebelkorn, Saalmann, & Kastner, 2013; Landau & Fries, 2012; Song, Meng, Chen, Zhou, & Luo, 2014), and in recurrent processing (theta: Drewes, Zhu, Wutz, & Melcher, 2015; Wutz & Melcher, 2014; Wutz, Muschter, van Koningsbruggen, & Melcher, 2014; Wutz, Weisz, Braun, & Melcher, 2014). On the one hand, theta (5–7 Hz) has been implicated in many tasks involving image categorization or binding features to specific objects or locations (Drewes et al., 2015; Wutz, Weisz, et al., 2014; Wutz & Melcher, 2014). Higher frequencies, in the alpha band, have been implicated in the temporal resolution of perception (Cecere, Rees, & Romei, 2015; Cravo et al., 2015; Gho & Varela, 1988; Milton & Pleydell, 2016; Samaha & Postle, 2015; Varela et al., 1981). In between these two ranges, 7–8 Hz has been frequently implicated in studies of the temporal frequency of attention (Dugué, Marque, & VanRullen, 2015; Dugué, McLelland,
Lajous, & VanRullen, 2015; Landau & Fries, 2012; Song et al., 2014).

The finding that CFS effectiveness peaks between 5 and 10 Hz also speaks to current findings regarding theories of perceptual cycles (for review, see Van-Rullen, 2016; VanRullen & Koch, 2003). There is accumulating evidence for one or more key temporal cycles in visual processing, described in terms of perceptual cycles, attentional sampling (Busch & VanRullen, 2010; Fiebelkorn et al., 2013; Landau & Fries, 2012), or temporal integration windows (Cecere et al., 2015; Samaha & Postle, 2015), and these have repeatedly been reported to be in the range of around 5–10 Hz. It has also been suggested that, for example, in the case of the flash-lag illusion, conscious updating is a rhythmic process with a temporal frequency in the range of 5–10 Hz (Chakravarthi & VanRullen, 2012). Thus, CFS might interact with this visual sampling frequency.

In order to optimally disrupt this process prior to the stimulus being fully processed and made available for awareness, the temporal frequency of the mask should be slightly faster than the perceptual cycle. This would suggest that perceptual cycles operating in the theta range would require masks flashing with at least the same frequency to optimally interrupt each cycle before it can be completed. In principle, more masks in each cycle would then increase the chance for successful interruption, implying that the higher the masking frequency, the more effective the masking should become.

In the current study, peak suppression was consistently found at around 6 Hz, with more dense displays showing a rapid drop-off in suppression effectiveness but more sparse Mondrian patterns yielding a slower decay in effectiveness. In both studies, performance with Mondrian masks converged to a similar value at 32 Hz. As described already, this may indicate the involvement of two processes. Consistent with the suggestion of Han et al. (2016), the lower-temporal-frequency, high-spatial-frequency masks might preferentially activate the parvocellular system, while the high-temporal-frequency and low-spatial-frequency stimulation (32-Hz displays) may show the contribution of the magnocellular system.

Alternatively, entrainment of a forced rhythm close to the frequency of the perceptual moments might interfere with perceptual sampling at an early level. However, the peak suppression frequency found here covers more than two octaves as mask density is reduced, which would imply that the frequency of the perceptual cycles would shift by a similar amount. Such a high range of frequency entrainment seems unlikely. In addition, as it is generally not obvious how the density of the masks would affect the frequency of the perceptual cycles, we would rather expect a mostly constant peak frequency if entrainment of a forced rhythm were the underlying cause of suppression. We found, instead, a variable rather than a constant optimal frequency, which makes entrainment seem unlikely as the main mechanism of suppression.

Another possibility is that CFS might suppress awareness of the target by repeatedly biasing competition toward the highly salient mask within the same level of visual processing, as in traditional binocular rivalry (Alais & Melcher, 2007; Han et al., 2016; Tong, Meng, & Blake, 2006), before target processing can be completed. This may also explain the effectiveness of the continuous, low-temporal-frequency (nonflashing) noise used by Han et al. (2016). Those authors further proposed a particular involvement of the parvocellular network, which is known to be most sensitive to high spatial and low temporal frequency. Color is also one of the features processed mainly in the parvocellular network (Ungerleider & Mishkin, 1982). In principle, this may explain the reduced suppressive power found when we removed color from our masks (Experiment 2). However, if the removal of color changed the balance of involvement between the parvocellular (slow) and magnocellular (fast) networks, we would have expected a change also in the peak suppressive frequency (toward faster rates). However, no such change was found, which means that either the magnocellular network plays no significant role in CFS or the mechanism is in fact more complicated than assumed in this thought. A simpler explanation would be that the removal of color simply reduced the overall saliency or effective contrast of the masks, as there are indications that color may not be processed exclusively in the parvocellular pathway (Claeys et al., 2004).

A change in balance between magnocellular and parvocellular pathways may, however, be an alternate explanation of the shift in preferred frequency and the generally reduced suppression effectiveness with lower spatial mask densities. As seen from Figure 5B, when mask density is reduced, Fourier energy is shifted away from high spatial frequencies and toward lower spatial frequencies, contrary to the preference of the parvocellular pathway. This may result in a lesser impact on the parvocellular (slow) pathway and a higher impact on the magnocellular (fast) pathway, requiring higher temporal frequencies for optimal effectiveness.

A further possibility underlying CFS would be interference with the process which allows target-related information to be widely transmitted to other brain regions (e.g., Global Workspace Model: Dehaene, Kerszberg, & Changeux, 1998; Newman, Baars, & Cho, 1997).

However, there are likely other factors playing a role in CFS masking. An additional limiting factor to the improvement in mask effectiveness with higher temporal frequencies may be flicker fusion. While critical
(absolute) flicker fusion (the point where a flickering patch gives the same perceptual impression as a patch of constant brightness) is generally reported at higher frequencies than tested in the current study (Brindley, Du Croz, & Rushton, 1966; Capilla & Aguilar, 1993). perceived contrast can already be significantly reduced at much lower frequencies. Increasing the temporal frequency of the mask display may therefore reduce the effective contrast of the masks, in turn reducing masking effectiveness. The point of optimal suppression effectiveness would then be the intersection between the two curves describing the increased chance to interrupt ongoing processing and the reduced effective contrast due to flicker fusion.

In terms of methodological implications, the current findings once more point out that the 10-Hz masking frequency used in most current CFS studies may not be optimally effective. Moreover, optimal frequency and mask density interact, highlighting the need for accurate and detailed description of CFS mask design in order to achieve better comparability across studies. Otherwise, our findings suggest that the range of spatial and temporal parameters that have been used in previous studies would tend to lead to inconsistent and possibly contradictory findings.

Keywords: continuous flash suppression (CFS), awareness, masking, temporal frequency, temporal integration, color, spatial density, spatial frequency
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