Effect of Body Position on Episcleral Venous Pressure in Healthy Subjects
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PURPOSE. The mechanism of IOP change during a body position change is poorly understood. In this study, we investigated changes in episcleral venous pressure (EVP) between two body positions, sitting and inclined, and compared this with changes in IOP.

METHODS. This study was a prospective, comparative case series of 43 eyes of 24 healthy volunteers. IOP was measured using a pneumotonometer in the seated position. EVP was then measured in a selected episcleral vein by using an automated, slit-lamp–mounted venomanometer. Thirty minutes later, the subject was placed in an inclined position with the neck extended and the head resting on the chin rest of the slit lamp. After 5 minutes, IOP and EVP in the same vein were remeasured. EVP in the inclined position was compared with EVP in the seated position, and the change in IOP was compared with the change in EVP.

RESULTS. Mean IOP increased from 11.4 ± 3.0 mm Hg (mean ± SD) in the sitting position to 13.1 ± 3.4 mm Hg in the inclined position (P < 0.001). Mean EVP increased from 6.4 ± 1.4 mm Hg in the sitting position to 7.8 ± 1.7 mm Hg in the inclined position (P < 0.001). The postural rise in IOP was not different from the rise in EVP (P = 0.18).

CONCLUSIONS. In the inclined position, IOP and EVP are higher than they are in the sitting position. The posture-induced rise in IOP can be attributed to an increase in EVP.
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IOP is the most important risk factor for glaucoma and is the only variable that can currently be modified for the treatment of glaucoma. IOP is dynamic and can change rapidly on a timescale of seconds to minutes, depending on the stimulus. It is dependent on body position, increases as posture changes from sitting to supine, and increases more when a subject is inverted.1–5

Postural changes could in theory affect several variables that change the steady-state IOP. At steady state, IOP is determined by aqueous humor production (Q), outflow facility (C), uveoscleral outflow (U), and episcleral venous pressure (EVP) as described by Goldmann and later modified

\[ IOP = EVP + \frac{1}{C}(Q - U) \] (1)

Steady-state IOP can be increased or decreased by changes in any of these variables.6 IOP can also be affected transiently by deformation of the globe during eye movements, blinks, forced displacement, and changing choroidal blood volume.

The contributions of these variables to posture-induced changes in steady-state IOP have been investigated. Carlson et al.7 investigated the relationship between IOP and rate of aqueous humor formation while subjects were alternated between an upright and inverted body position. They found that body inversion increased IOP by as much as 11 mm Hg but did not change the aqueous flow rate significantly. Outflow facility also did not change between sitting and supine positions in a study by Selvadurai et al.8 A few studies have looked at the variation of episcleral venous pressure with body position,9–14 and EVP increased in supine and inverted postures compared to standing posture. However, the methods used to determine EVP did not use objective measurement end points and may have been inconsistent with pressure in episcleral veins measured directly by cannulation.15,16 The effect of body position on EVP, and its relationship to postural IOP change, therefore remains uncertain.

Understanding the mechanisms of IOP changes with posture are important for optimizing the treatment of glaucoma throughout the 24-hour period. In particular, during the nocturnal period, IOP is significantly higher than the diurnal period and is related to the recumbent position during sleep.17,18 Therapies targeted toward the mechanisms of postural IOP change may be useful in stabilizing IOP fluctuations, although evidence to support the clinical importance of postural IOP fluctuations in glaucoma pathogenesis is currently limited.

In this study, we measured EVP in sitting and recumbent postures by using semiautomated objective methods and examined the relationship between the increase in IOP and the change in EVP induced by changing from the sitting to inclined positions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twenty-four healthy volunteers, 15 male and 9 female, ranging in age from 24 to 75 years, were recruited from Mayo Clinic employees and students and local area residents. A medical history was obtained, and a complete dilated eye examination...
Measurement Techniques

IOP was measured in both eyes by using a pneumatonometer (Model 30 Classic; Reichert, Inc., Depew, NY, USA) after instilling proparacaine 0.5% in each eye. EVP was measured by using a slit-lamp–mounted, computerized venomanometer as described previously (Fig. 1). Briefly, a clear flexible membrane was placed against the surface of an episcleral vein, which was identified as being straighter and deeper than conjunctival vessels. The pressure behind the membrane was automatically and linearly increased by a computer-controlled motor drive to compress the vein. During compression, a high-definition video camera captured images of the vein as it collapsed, and pressure in the chamber was monitored, synchronized, and recorded with each video frame. The pressure associated with the initiation of venous collapse was assumed to be equal to the venous pressure and was determined from the video recording by using a method described previously.  

Measurement Protocol

Subjects were seated in a standard ophthalmologic examination chair. In all measurements, IOP was measured in the right eye before the left eye, and then EVP was measured in the right eye before the left eye. EVP was measured in the superior or superotemporal quadrant, and the mean of four measurements on one vein in each eye was calculated and accepted as episcleral venous pressure.

RESULTS

Forty-three eyes of 24 volunteers were studied, including 15 females and 9 males, with ages ranging from 24 to 73 years (43.3 ± 15.8 years, mean ± SD; Table). Five eyes were excluded from the study because of poor-quality images of the episcleral veins, rendering accurate measurement of EVP unfeasible.

Mean IOP increased from 11.4 ± 3.0 mm Hg in the sitting position to 13.1 ± 3.4 mm Hg in the inclined position (P < 0.001). Mean EVP increased from 6.4 ± 1.4 mm Hg in the sitting position to 7.8 ± 1.7 mm Hg in the inclined position (P < 0.001; Fig. 3A).

Statistical Analysis

IOP and EVP in the seated position were compared with IOP and EVP in the inclined position. In addition, the change in IOP between positions (∆IOP) was compared to the change in EVP (∆EVP). Significance of all comparisons were determined by using generalized estimating equation models to account for possible correlation between eyes of the same subject. The relationship between ∆IOP and ∆EVP was examined by using Pearson correlation and significance was determined by using generalized estimating equation models. The differences between ∆IOP and ∆EVP were expressed as a function of their means according to the methods of Bland and Altman. The limits of agreement, which include approximately 95% of the differences, were the mean difference between ∆IOP and ∆EVP ± 2 SDs of the difference.
The postural rise in EVP from sitting to inclined positions was 1.4 ± 1.7 mm Hg and was not significantly different from the postural rise in IOP of 1.7 ± 1.1 mm Hg (P = 0.18; Fig. 3B). The minimum detectable difference between the ΔIOP and the ΔEVP was 0.77 mm Hg (α = 0.05, β = 0.20, n = 43 eyes).

The ΔIOP was not correlated with the ΔEVP (r = 0.24, P = 0.1; Fig. 4); the minimum detectable correlation coefficient between the two differences was r = 0.40 (α = 0.05, β = 0.20, n = 43 eyes). The limits of agreement between ΔIOP and ΔEVP were from -3.2 to 3.8 mm Hg (Fig. 5).

**DISCUSSION**

IOP fluctuations have been implicated as potential risk factors for glaucoma progression. Postural fluctuations in IOP are very common, but the mechanisms of these IOP fluctuations have not been fully understood. From the modified Goldmann model of IOP (Equation 1), we would expect that EVP and IOP change in a 1:1 ratio if outflow facility, aqueous humor flow rate, and unconventional outflow rate remain constant. In our study, changing from the sitting to inclined position increased both IOP and EVP by a small amount and the lack of a difference between the ΔIOP and the ΔEVP suggests that IOP increased, at least in part, because EVP increased. Previous studies that investigated the concordance of postural changes in IOP and EVP have reported variable results. Friberg et al. measured EVP by using a magnifying, portable transducer in supine and head down vertical position. For every 0.83 ± 0.21 mm Hg increase in EVP, IOP increased by 1 mm Hg. In contrast, Lavery and Kiel reported that EVP and IOP responses to head
down tilt in rabbits were similar, with measurements obtained via direct cannulation. Similarly, Linnér et al.,9 and Leith 10 showed that both IOP and EVP increased by approximately 1 mm Hg on changing from sitting to supine positions, when they measured EVP by using variations of the pressure chamber technique described by Seidel in 1923. However, neither of these two studies reported the minimum detectable difference between the change in IOP and the change in EVP and both used subjective rather than objective measurement end points. In our study, we had an 80% chance of finding a difference as small as 0.77 mm Hg if it existed. If a smaller difference between the postural change in IOP and EVP existed, our study would not have been able to detect it. However, to detect a smaller difference between changes in these variables would be impractical; detecting a mean difference as small as 0.5 mm Hg would require 124 eyes.

Although other studies have evaluated the change in EVP with body position, we are not aware of any previous study that has evaluated EVP changes using an objective technique in living human eyes. Noninvasive measurement of EVP is based on the modified Goldmann equation. The lack of a significant correlation among our measurements was likely because of variability and the relatively small changes in these pressures between the sitting and supine positions. However, it may have been better demonstrated if EVP had been elevated by a greater amount, for example, by inversion. Unfortunately, our method for measuring EVP was not suited for measurements in an inverted subject. Another possibility is that the measurements of IOP and EVP simply represent two time points. Although we performed the measurements of EVP and IOP as close together as possible, they are not simultaneous measurements, and these parameters can vary relatively quickly with changes in intra-abdominal pressure or even deep respiration. This limitation highlights the importance of interpreting population differences instead of individual results in aqueous humor dynamics studies using current technology. Finally, it is possible that changes in IOP and EVP are temporally associated but vary due to independent causes yet to be identified.

In glaucoma patients, it is unknown if postural EVP changes, as well as the relationship between IOP and EVP, are similar to what we found in this study of normal subjects. Reported postural changes in IOP from sitting to supine in normal subjects range from 0.3 to 5.6 mm Hg or more, depending on the study, whereas, in glaucoma patients, the reported change is greater, ranging from 1.6 mm Hg to more than 8.6 mm Hg. Although EVP in glaucoma (other than in Sturge-Weber or carotid cavernous fistulas) is unclear, and various studies have reported conflicting results. If postural changes in IOP are directly related to postural changes in EVP in glaucoma patients, then we would expect to see a greater elevation in inclined EVP compared with normal eyes. However, further measurements in glaucomatous eyes are needed to understand changes in IOP and EVP with postural variations.

The mechanism for EVP change with posture is likely related to changes in hydrostatic pressure. However, the magnitude of EVP change is far less than would be expected from a simple fluid column. Assuming a distance of 20 cm between the eyes and the heart, we would expect a hydrostatic pressure difference of 14.7 mm Hg between the upright and recumbent positions if the venous system was an open channel. In the 45° position of our study, this would still result in a change in height of 14.1 cm or 10.4 mm Hg in hydrostatic pressure. Clearly this does not occur because orthostatic changes in venous pressures are regulated by the sympathetic system. Larger transient changes in pressure may occur, but measurements in our study were performed after at least 5 minutes after changing positions, whereas the orthostatic response in blood pressure is complete in less than 1 minute. Another regulatory mechanism may stem from the possibility that intraocular veins form a Starling resistor because they are subject to the surrounding IOP, which would prevent the venous pressure from dropping below IOP. Although episcleral veins measured in this study were superficial, they are part of a venous plexus that includes intrascleral and intraocular vessels.

A limitation of our study is the technique used to measure EVP in the inclined position. In the 45° position of our study, this would still result in a change in IOP and EVP to reach steady state in the new position. Other studies of IOP change with body position have reported that IOP increases immediately on assuming a headstand position and reaches a new steady state within 1 to 2 minutes. The changes in body position in our study were much more limited, and we assumed that IOP would equilibrate just as rapidly. Whether EVP behaves in a similar manner has not been investigated, but adjustments in systemic cardiovascular parameters due to body position changes appear to occur within 30 seconds. If postural IOP change was caused by postural EVP change alone, one would expect a correlation between these variables based on the modified Goldmann equation. The lack of a
vessels. Adequate magnification is also required to obtain images of sufficient resolution to detect changes in brightness easily. As well, the slit lamp provides an important point of stabilization at high magnification, enabling the capture of high quality videos. Although our long-term goals include the design and construction of a completely new system enabling EVP measurements in any position, this is far beyond the scope of the current study.

The changes in IOP and EVP in our study may have been greater if subjects had been positioned completely horizontal or had been inverted. Alternately, the change in EVP and IOP could have been elevated by the extended head and neck position, which has been reported to affect IOP. It is not known if the relationship between EVP and IOP is affected by alterations of the head and neck position. However, if conditions are at steady state at the time of measurements, the Goldmann equation should be valid. Nevertheless, further investigation of the elevation of EVP and its contribution to the elevation of IOP in the supine position will need to wait for the development of smaller and more flexible instruments that could permit measurements of EVP in any position.

Another potential limitation is that EVP measurements from our device have not been compared with venous pressures measured by direct cannulation. However, as discussed above, a study by Gaasterland et al. compared noninvasive EVP measurements using a manual pressure chamber technique analogues to our device with invasive measurements in anesthetized monkeys and found that the earliest detectable vein collapse most closely represented EVP measured by cannulation. However, this endpoint is extremely difficult to identify in real time when performing measurements in conscious human subjects. Our technique identified the initial point of collapse using image analysis of videos and associated pressure measurements during automated episcleral vein compression.

In summary, both IOP and EVP are higher in the inclined position than they are in the sitting position. Our study suggests that postural changes in IOP are consistent with the postural changes in EVP, as indicated by the Goldmann equation. Further investigation is required to determine the contribution of EVP to nonpostural IOP fluctuations. As well, further investigation is required to understand the role of modulating EVP to reduce IOP variability.
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